## TOWN OF DEDHAM

In Re:

DEDHAM TOWN MEETING

**DATE:** Monday, May 20, 2019

PLACE: Dedham High School Auditorium

140 Whiting Avenue

Dedham, MA

**COMMENCING:** 7:10 p.m.

## PROCEEDINGS

THE MODERATOR: Ladies and gentlemen of the town meeting, a quorum of 212 having been established by the town clerk, the 2019 spring annual town meeting will please come to order.

This is the 94th year that the Town of Dedham has used the representative town meeting form of government. I might add that the average attendance over the last four annual town meetings was 87 percent. So congratulations to you for your accountability and your endurance.

Please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

(All rise.)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Would all newly elected and reelected town meeting representatives please remain standing to be sworn in by the town clerk.

MR. MUNCHBACH: Just raise your right hand and repeat after me: I, and state your name, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully and impartially and to the best of my ability

perform the duties as a town meeting representative for the Town of Dedham, so help me God. Congratulations.

THE MODERATOR: The Chair is once again pleased to nominate my colleague,
Cherylann W. Sheehan, of District 6 as deputy moderator. Is there a motion? Is there a second? All those in favor, say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, nay. The ayes have it. We'll ask the town clerk to swear in the deputy moderator.

(Ms. Sheehan sworn in)

THE MODERATOR: The tellers for this evening's meeting: On my left, Dan Hart and Fred Civian; in the center aisles, Charles Krueger and James Driscoll; and on my right, Jim Sullivan and Jonathan Pape.

The Chair would like to welcome

Maryanne Levin Martin, chair of the public

service recognition committee. Please come to
the podium.

MS. MARTIN: Greetings. Welcome to the Dedham 2019 public service recognition award

1.3

2.4

committee. My name is Maryanne Martin. I am the current chair of this wonderful committee.

Along with representation of all seven precincts, this committee consists of Fred Wofford -- where is Fred -- come down, Fred -- Jerry Roberts, also missing from up here -- Denise Connell, Bakita Bass, Lindsey Looney, and Andrew Sullivan. This committee submits nominations from all of Dedham to recognize the unsung heroes amongst us whose everyday kindness makes Dedham a truly special community that we all call home.

Before I begin, I wanted to take a moment just to thank Nancy Howell, who's a former Dedham resident and a famed artist who paints individual portraits of the Fairbanks House, for her talent, which makes these awards so beautiful. I also want to thank Michael Humphrey, who's a Dedham resident and owner of Cherokee Trophy, who donates the placards, which memorialize these recognitions. Thank you to Michael and Nancy.

This year, we had a remarkable and overwhelming response for our call for nominees.

2.4

We received about three dozen nominees, all worthy of the recognition we're presenting tonight. In all my years on this committee, this might have been our toughest review to date. So those of you who took the time to bring these names to our attention, on behalf of this committee, I say thank you, thank you, and thank you, again. And if your nominee was not on this year's recipient list, please nominate them again. We honestly wish to recognize all of them, because everyone was a worthy recipient, and it's a wonderful problem to have.

So how do we choose who we nominate?
We read all of your nominations, we request
additional information from the nominators, we
share our own firsthand knowledge of these
candidates, and then I do something to eliminate
debate on who is more worthy of whom. I ask
each member to list, in no particular order,
what nominations spoke to them, and first, we'd
look at our top three.

We look to see who we have in common, and then we whittle it down until we all are on the same page. This year, we were deadlocked.

2
 3
 4

1.3

2.4

We had two adults whose nominations spoke to us on two different levels. Bakita recommended that maybe we look at a quiet personal actions of one, as well as the public more wide impacting actions of the other, and so we decided we would have two adult recipients this year. We love this idea. So tonight, our adult recipients are Janet Holmes and William Olsen.

Thank you. We were also looking for someone in our younger community whose day-to-day actions brings about the best among those around him. Tonight we recognize not big John, but John Junior Kramer. I'm going to ask my co-committee member Lindsey to come and speak on one of our recipients, Janet Holmes.

MS. LOONEY: Thanks, Maryanne. Good evening, everyone. So my name is Lindsey
Looney, and I have the pleasure of introducing
my fellow Riverdalian, Janet Holmes. For anyone
that doesn't know Janet, you have certainly seen
the fruits of her labor throughout Dedham, from
her work at Dedham Civic Pride, where she has
helped transform our center, walkways, and
intersections with beautiful plantings, to her

work with the River Banks Garden Club, the Endicott Community Greenhouse, the Dedham Land Trust, and even the Riverdale Gardening Program. Janet's green thumb has certainly sprinkled lots of love throughout the town of Dedham.

Janet has also played a huge role in the Dedham Junior Women's Club, where she's been an active member since 1988. She has been instrumental in the craft fair, the holiday tree jubilee, the summer art program, and Breakfast with Santa, just to name a few. Janet is also part of the Friends of the Dedham Library, St. Susanna's Parish, and the Dedham Square Artist Guild, where she volunteers countless hours of her time throughout the year, not to mention she's an amazing wife to Bob, mother to Michelle, Lauren, and Bobby, and the most amazing grandmother to Jason and Nia.

You may have also seen Janet volunteering in our local elections, at the ice rink, Girl Scouts, or at your local school. For those of you who have the pleasure of knowing Janet, you know that she has a huge heart, she's full of spirit and life, she has a strong faith,

2.4

and is consistently giving back to our community. I am so proud to know Janet and to see her recognized with this honor. Thank you.

I would like to take a moment to talk about our second recipient, who is a truly wonderful man and most deserving of this recognition, but we don't believe could make it here tonight, and my words are going to pale in comparison to what an amazing man Bill Olsen of Oakdale is.

Bill is a longtime Dedham resident of the Oakdale neighborhood. Besides being a loving husband, dad, granddad, and neighbor, Mr. Olsen is a volunteer to those who need it most, those battling physical and communicative limitations requiring the services of the Spaulding Rehab. Beyond fighting the daily traffic and personal obstacles to get there, Mr. Olsen arrives by 7:00 a.m. in Charlestown every day.

And Mr. Olsen knows a little something about overcoming obstacles. We have learned that Mr. Olsen has had some medical issues which have interfered with his verbal

communication skills. Knowing this limitation,
I decided to deliver the news of Mr. Olsen's
nomination and subsequent recognition to him in
person. Let me tell you, I encountered no
communication barriers when speaking with Mr.
Olsen. His expansive, non-verbal skills trump
my poorly crafted speech today.

We learned of Mr. Olsen's impact on the patients at the Spaulding from another Dedham resident, Bernie Chikotas (?). I'm killing her last name. We could feel her tears of appreciation in one of the most heartfelt nominations we have ever received during my tenure on this committee. Bernie, you were spot on. This is one of the most loving, humble, kindest men I have ever had the pleasure to meet.

All of Dedham thanks you for your nomination, and Mr. Olsen, we thank you for your demonstration of giving, no matter what. Many of us would give up and say why me when confronted with a challenge. You taught me that we need to exercise our purpose in this life, no matter what. On behalf of Dedham, we

2.4

1 thank you.

1.3

And now, our third recipient. Our third recipient is a graduating senior from Dedham, who is known to have traveled the halls of this school for the past four years. John Kramer, not to be confused with his father, received a number of nominations. In conducting some brief interviews with those who know John best, we asked what made John a worthy recipient of this award. Everyone who answered instantly smiled when thinking about John and his impact on this community.

What we learned was John has a gift of bringing out the best in those around him. Principal Jim Forrest told us, and I quote, "John's love for life, sense of humor, and compassion are unmatched. He is what makes Dedham High School a special place." This sentiment was matched by all other respondents, both adult and peer, who we asked. So John, we'd like you to join us. On behalf of Dedham, we want to thank you for being one of our unsung heroes.

I'm going to ask Janet and John to

come forward. We're going to present them with their awards and ask them to say something.

(Pause.)

1.3

2.4

So what I just gave both of them is their hand-painting, one of a kind portrait of the Fairbanks House, with a little thing to embarrass them for the rest of their days to hang in their living rooms. So if you'd like to say anything, you're most welcome. We invite you to.

MS. HOLMES: When my children were little, I used to read a book to them called "Alexander and the No Good, Horrible, Very Bad Day," and that's the kind of day I had today, from misplaced keys to possible identity theft, and the whole gamut. But receiving this award tonight has totally wiped out that, and I feel so honored to receive this.

And as everybody knows who volunteers

-- and I know there are a ton of you out there
who do -- you don't usually do everything on
your own. And I have so many people that I'm
thankful to: the women at the Dedham Junior
Women's Club, the Fairbanks Garden Club, the

2 | 3 |

Friends of the Dedham Library, Dedham Artist Guild, Kerry Hawkins, in particular. I wouldn't be able to do any of this without friends. And it certainly does take a village, and I thank you all for this honor. Thank you.

MR. KRAMER: Hi, everyone. Maybe you guys do know me or don't know me. I'm John Kramer. I'm a student from Dedham High. I want to thank the nominees we have here. I want to thank the lady who is here with us. I just want to thank to my school and everyone from Dedham High. You guys are the best.

better watch this by now. You know me. Amy O'Brien, I know you, because we're all rest of our lives been here at Dedham High. It's really great. Mr. Scotty O'Brien, the best custodian ever. I'll never forget one of the ladies we have here as custodians. I want to thank my teachers, Stacy Conrad, Diane Sedgwan (?) for everything what you guys done. Thank you so much. I miss you guys, Dedham High. Dedham High, God bless you. Scotty, I'm going to miss you. I'm going to miss everyone here.

2.4

Thank you for the men in service, the police, fire, ambulance, and men and women serving our country over at Dedham High. I want to thank you all who serve our country. Thanks to Dedham again for everything that you do here, and especially our seniors. We wish this school is better than nothing out of all other schools. Our team, Parker Field, we are undefeated from 5-0. Well done, Dedham High. Oh, I love you all, Dedham. We wish you good luck. Go Marauders. Good luck seniors, 2019.

THE MODERATOR: Our thanks to the committee for once again coming up with exemplary examples of the spirit in Dedham.

The Chair would like to express gratitude to those members of the finance and warrant committee whose terms are expiring this year, Cecilia Emery Butler, Kevin Preston, and Susan Fay, and we'd also like to extend a warm welcome to Dedham's new director of human resources, Lauren Bailey, and the director of planning, Jeremy Rosenberger. Welcome to Dedham's town meeting.

While we're talking about

transitions, I think most of you know that our town manager is retiring, Jim Kern. Jim will be the first to tell you that different residents in their own ways, elected officials, town staff, have been saying their goodbyes to Jim, but this is the last opportunity for town meeting to express its best wishes and gratitude for the years that Jim has served the town of Dedham.

During Jim's time with us, Dedham has undertaken much that has improved the town's financial strength, and more importantly, its livability as the home choice for so many of us. The town has added recreational facilities, and in working with the school administration, has been a partner building schools. The Dedham Square project continues to flourish, and we are on our way towards replacing town hall, police headquarters, a main fire station, and finally, a senior center.

Now, Jim will tell you that many others were involved in the planning and implementation of these projects, but for those who have been part of these projects, it is

2.4

2.4

clear that his strong support and skills have been critical in moving these projects forward and becoming implemented.

Behind the scenes, out of sight of most of us, Jim's leadership has contributed to a very, very strong financial position for the Town of Dedham. Our bond rating is Triple A, an achievement that makes it less expensive for us when we need to borrow, which can only be done with a two-thirds vote at town meeting.

The pension and retiree health obligations are among the best managed in the Commonwealth, and our budget is well under the Proposition 2 1/2 tax levy, something that no other community in Norfolk County can say.

I've worked very closely with Jim over these years. He's a true professional.

More important, he's a good person. The true indicator is not whether one can say that they agree with every decision that he's forced to make. No one could pass that test. But if you look at his overall body of work over these years and consider the basic fairness and honesty that are his way, I think we can

conclude that we are better off having him work with us and knowing him as a person. So thank you, Jim Kern. I'd like to ask Jim just to say a word or two, please.

MR. KERN: Thank you, and thank you for those very kind words, Dan. Dan is among a small number that I'm going to list tonight, but the number of people that I would properly thank, as I think most people know, extends far beyond this room.

This can be a very singular job at times, but I think everyone here also knows that this job doesn't get done without the association, friendship, guidance, and collaboration and cooperation with so many people. It's one of the things that makes these jobs so attractive.

Some of you will remember that I started -- my first day was at town meeting four and a half years ago. It feels like the blink of an eye sometimes. That's part of the reason why I'm making the change that I'm making. I'm trying to slow that blink down just a little bit. I don't know that anybody really retire,

retires. This isn't that either. It's a semiretirement. I'll be still involved in this kind
of work, I think, in some way, and I may cross
paths with some of you in the future.

1.3

2.4

But I did want to thank, as I said, a list -- a small handful of people that have been particularly critical to my job and my success. It starts with the board of selectmen. It goes without saying that the collaboration between the two groups in this form of government is crucial.

Unsung heroes behind me on the stage of finance committee, also that working relationship has to be good, and it's been really good in my time. School committee, and I want to particularly call out the school superintendent. I've worked with eight of them in the positions I've had in three different communities. Mike Welch isn't one of the best, he's the best, and he represents an area of Dedham, I think, that is on the rise.

And lastly, the department heads, from the two public safety chiefs department head -- or the director of public works. Those

are the ones that often get the most attention, but all of the others, appreciate the effort and the professionalism.

When I look at Dedham, I see unlimited potential. To the extent that I'm qualified, maybe differently, to some people, I can tell you that Dedham has resources, and not just financial; human capital, location, history that other towns just don't have. And I'm qualified to say it in a different way now than I was four and a half years ago, but I knew it then. So it's my hope that working with each other you reach that unlimited potential or continue to strive forward, and I'm sure you will.

Lastly, the assistant town manager,
Nancy Baker, who's not here, gets the biggest
thanks. She's not here tonight because of a
family circumstance. I don't think you can
overstate her importance to this town and to
this position, and I hope her -- I hope Nancy,
the board of selectmen, and all the other
leaders, including everyone in this room, the
very best going forward, and thanks a lot.

2

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Jim.

3

When you are speaking, please use the microphone

meeting, which means only elected representative

Let's quickly go over the rules before we begin.

4

and state your name and district. This is for

5

the benefit of the official stenographer.

6

This is a representative town

7

town meeting members may vote. Any others found

9

8

participating in the voting will be asked to

10

leave the hall. At the request of seven town

11

meeting members, a standing vote can be held,

12

and at the request of 15 town meeting

1.3 14

The moderator may call for either at his or her

All the articles will be deliberated

representatives, a roll call vote can be held.

15

discretion.

16

17 in the order in which they appear in your

18 warrant book, unless you vote otherwise. Again,

19

as a reminder, all articles and all of the line

20

items in the budget will be read by number at

21

the very beginning of the meeting. If you wish

22

23

information on any article or any budget line

to question, discuss, amend, seek more

2.4

item, when I call out that number, please call

1 out the word "pass."

Those that are passed will be set aside for further discussion. We will then take one vote to accept the original motion that's printed in your book for all articles and budget line items that have not been set aside for discussion.

Please remember that the recommendation of the finance and warrant committee is the original motion. That is always what you're voting on. The exception is zoning articles, in which case the original motion is the motion proposed by our planning board.

All substantive amendments or substitute motions must be presented in writing and in triplicate. We also have a rule that, as an issue of fairness, any town meeting representative who wishes to increase or decrease a money item should present that substitute motion, that amendment, before we begin voting on any of them. That way, you will know everything that is going to be before you during the course of the evening.

2.4

2 3

2.4

That rule is enforced to about the 95th percentile, because we do recognize that there are times when there is an unintended twist in the way things go, and it may be necessary to adjust a budget line item. But if you're sitting there now with an idea about something you want to increase or decrease, you should come forward and present in writing three copies to the town clerk.

I think as the veterans know, that when there are two numbers on a given money article, we vote the higher one first. Matters for reconsideration require a majority vote. So if a majority of people want to reconsider, and it's within one hour of the original vote, we can reconsider. If it's greater than an hour, it requires two-thirds of the town meeting members to agree to that. Matters of law will be referred by the moderator to our town counsel. Questions of town meeting procedure are the sole responsibility of the moderator.

A word on one of the more troublesome motions, called move the question. Move the question means stop debate. It is not debatable

2.4

to debate stopping the debate. If somebody is recognized -- not sitting in the chair yelling it out. If somebody is recognized and moves the question, we then vote on moving the question, because that will end debate.

Because it ends debate, there is a high barrier to reach. Two-thirds of the audience has to agree to stop debate and then move on. So if the question is moved, even if you have previously said to me I want to speak on article such and such, once the question has been moved, and if that vote carries, then there is no choice but to move on.

Are there any questions before we begin? Please feel free, as I mentioned to the newly-elected town meeting members, a good way to stop the train if you've got something that's really confusing, you are simply to stand up, catch my eye and say Mr. Moderator, point of information. That covers a whole variety of almost anything. And so we'll stop, and you can say what's on your mind.

All members of town meeting are asked to please remove their hats and silence their

phones.

1.3

2.4

Let's take a look at what we're working with tonight. This is the warrant book. There is a supplement to the warrant book for some last minute changes. I will point these out to you, but you do have this in writing, and you also have in writing the report of the planning board. So those three sums of paper constitute everything that we're going to be discussing and voting on this evening.

Within this warrant book, on the page right before Page 1, the unnumbered page, all the sources of the revenue in the town are listed, and they're shown for about a four- or five-year period. So every penny that comes into the town is listed, where it comes from, and you can judge how it has increased or decreased over a four- or five-year period. Additionally, all spending for the past four or five years is summarized on this page.

The way that the warrant book handles articles is the name of the article is numbered and listed in bold print. So Number 2 is personnel bylaw changes and bargaining

agreements. Right under that is the motion being made by, in this case, the finance and warrant committee, and then there is a little box at the bottom, which translates everything into a little bit of English and makes it a little bit clearer. In all cases, what we're voting on is the recommendation of either the finance and warrant committee or the planning board. So before we begin commencing, are there any questions at this point?

1.3

2.4

Article 2.

## UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass

THE MODERATOR: Pass on Article 2.

Please see the supplement. One additional union did come to agreement with the town after this book was printed, DPW Unit B.

Article 3, the town budget. I'm going to read each line item. Number 1, 2, 3, 4. In Line Item 4, the amount that is listed in the far column in the right, fiscal year 2020 FinCom; that's always, by the way, the column you're voting on. The column right to its left is what the town manager requested. That last column is what you're voting on. There is a new

	Page 25
1	original motion, which adds \$40,000 to that
2	amount for the purposes of dealing with the bus
3	issue. So the \$978,775 is now \$1,018,775, a
4	40,000-dollar increase, Line Item 4.
5	5.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
7	THE MODERATOR: Pass on 5. 6.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
9	THE MODERATOR: 6 is passed. 7, 8,
10	9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14. Line Item 20, 21, 22,
11	23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and
12	34. Environmental services, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39.
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 39 through 46.
14	THE MODERATOR: 39 through 46.
15	They're all passed. So we can keep going, then.
16	So we're on Page 4 now, and we are looking at
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	So we're on Page 4 now, and we are looking at Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49,
17	Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49,
17 18	Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49, 50, 51, 52. In the fire department, 53.
17 18 19	Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49, 50, 51, 52. In the fire department, 53.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
17 18 19 20	Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49, 50, 51, 52. In the fire department, 53.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.  THE MODERATOR: 53 is passed. 54.
17 18 19 20 21	Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49, 50, 51, 52. In the fire department, 53.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.  THE MODERATOR: 53 is passed. 54.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
17 18 19 20 21 22	Line Item Number 47, 48, police department, 49, 50, 51, 52. In the fire department, 53.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.  THE MODERATOR: 53 is passed. 54.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.  THE MODERATOR: Is passed. 55.

59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64. 1 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 3 4 5 6 7 Line 71. 8 9 THE MODERATOR: Line 71 is passed. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 personal services, 87 --21

22

23

2.4

THE MODERATOR: 64, building inspection is passed. 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, and 70. Going over to Page 3 [sic], the entire Dedham Public School budget is all included in

## UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

Line 72, 73. Engineering, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85. In the supplement are Line Items 86. This is under facilities -town error on the printer's part -- facilities, town 56, personal services. Line Item 57 is overtime. Line Item 80, supplement.

Let's see, not counting the cover page, the second page of the supplement says town operating budget, bottom of the page, the town facilities department. Line Item 86,

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass on 86. THE MODERATOR: I'm sorry, was that pass on 86 or 87?

> UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 86.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. 1 87 is overtime. 88 is purchase of services. 89, 2 3 supplies and materials. 90 is utilities. 91 is 4 other charges and expenses in the facilities 5 department. 6 Going back to Page 6 in your book 7 under Board of Health, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 8 9 112, and 113. On Page 7, the library department, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118. Parks and 10 recreation, 119. 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 12 Pass. 13 THE MODERATOR: Pass. 120. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 14 Pass. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Through 124. 16 THE MODERATOR: All passed. Line 17 Items 119 through 124 are all passed. We move 18 to the Endicott Estate, 125, 126, 127, and 128. 19 129, civic pride, 130. Move down to debt 20 service, 131. Turn the page to employee 21 benefits, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 23 **THE MODERATOR:** 136 is passed. 137. 2.4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

and 137 are passed. 138, 139, 140. The missing department showed up back on Line Item 15. I'll read this all to you. It's central purchasing. Line Item 15 is personal services, and the amount is \$10,000. Line 16 is central purchasing overtime, \$60,000. Line 18 is supplies and materials, \$75,000. And Line 19 is other charges and expenses, \$65,000. So the central purchasing department is \$210,000, 1.6 percent of the town budget.

So if you look at the total expense line, right about in the middle of the page on Page 8, you'll see on the far right is the finance committee's recommendation. The printed amount is \$107 million. Remember, that's gone up by \$40,000. To the left is what the town manager recommended, \$108,782. And you can see the current year's budget and what was spent the last two years.

Moving to Article 4, this is the capital improvements budget. The recommendation of the finance committee is listed. The Chair is going to pass on this article because some

elements in Article 4 require a two-thirds vote
because they involve borrowing. Article 5 is on
Page 11. Article 6, some left over bills from
the prior year. The Chair will past on this
because it requires either a unanimous vote or a
four-fifths vote.

1.3

2.4

Line Item 7 transfers from the current fiscal year. Line Item 8, the general stabilization fund. Line Item 9. Line Item 10 will be passed by the Chair. It requires a two-thirds vote. Line Item 11. Line Item 12, involving funds -- I'm sorry, Article 12. Article 13, turning to Page 16.

Article 14, and the Park and
Recreation master plan is in your appendix as
Appendix A-3. Article 15. Article 16 will be
passed because it does require a two-thirds vote
to borrow money. Article 17. The
recommendation of the finance committee is on
the top of Page 18.

Article 18. It passed -- no, called off. The proponent of the article has asked that it be indefinitely postponed. Article 19, mixed use residential moratorium and zoning

1 study.

1.3

2.4

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

requires a two-thirds vote passed by the Chair, as is Article 21. 21 does not require a two-thirds vote because the original motion is that it be indefinitely postponed. 21 and 22 are passed. And by the way, the original motions are in the report of the planning board, which you have received.

Article 23. The Chair will -- the report of the planning board is it be indefinitely postponed, so that does not require a two-thirds vote. Article 23.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

THE MODERATOR: Is passed. Article 24.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

THE MODERATOR: Article 24 is passed.

Article 25. Article 26. Article 27. Article

28. At the request of the proponent of this article, the finance and warrant committee is recommending that it be indefinitely postponed, not so voted. So the original motion on Article

	rage 31
1	28 is that we don't do it. Mr. Hart. Can you
2	go to a microphone, please, Mr. Hart?
3	MR. HART: Dan Hart, Precinct 6. Did
4	you say Article 28 is indefinitely postponed?
5	THE MODERATOR: The original motion
6	from the finance and warrant committee is that
7	it be indefinitely postponed. That's at the
8	request of the town department head that
9	proposed it in the first place.
L 0	MR. HART: Thank you.
L1	THE MODERATOR: Yes, sir. Yes,
L2	ma'am.
L3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just a point
L 4	of clarification. I don't know if Line Item 4
L 5	about the bus
L 6	THE MODERATOR: Yes.
L 7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think
L8	somebody passed on that, but I didn't know if
L 9	you heard that.
20	THE MODERATOR: When we come to it,
21	then would be the time to pose your Mr.
22	Keaney?
23	MR. KEANEY: Thank you, Mr.
24	Moderator. Brian Keaney from Precinct 4. Was

Article 9 passed? I didn't hear it. 1 2 THE MODERATOR: Article 9 is not 3 passed. MR. KEANEY: I believe that requires 4 5 a two-thirds vote, does it not, to put money 6 into a stabilization fund? 7 THE MODERATOR: No. 8 MR. KEANEY: Two-thirds in, two-9 thirds out? That was my understanding. 10 THE MODERATOR: Majority in, two-11 thirds out. If you want to put it in, we'd be glad to take it. If you want to take it out, 12 1.3 it's going to be a little more work. Yes, sir. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 14 (Inaudible.) 15 THE MODERATOR: Yes, 27 is passed. 16 Any other questions? 17 So now, the Chair will entertain a 18 motion to accept the original motion on any 19 budget line item or any article that has not 20 been set aside, in other words, has not been passed. Is there such a motion? 21 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved. 23 THE MODERATOR: Second? 2.4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,

please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you. Article 2 is passed. Is there discussion or questions on Article 2?
The Chair recognizes Mr. Keaney from District 5.

MR. KEANEY: 4.

**THE MODERATOR:** 4. Are you sure?

MR. KEANEY: Pretty sure.

THE MODERATOR: You're just down the street from me. I'm in 5.

MR. KEANEY: You can ask Chief
Driscoll, but I think so. Article 85-29 of the
bylaws requires that all contracts be sent to
town meeting members at least two weeks before
town meeting, or we can't vote on them. I got
the DPW's Unit B two days ago, not two weeks
ago. And if we're going to get technical about
it, the law requires in at least two different
places that the entire contract be sent to town
meeting members, not just the memorandums of
agreement, which are printed in the book, and it
requires a two-thirds vote to waive those

requirements.

Now, I don't want to see anyone expecting a raise on July 1 not get their raise, but I also don't think that it's fair for town meeting to be expected to vote on this with two days' notice.

THE MODERATOR: It's one of the downsides of the town meeting form of government that we only meet twice a year, and collective bargaining takes its own course. Both sides have rights and prerogatives in collective bargaining. The alternative would be for us to not pass the collective bargaining agreement for the two people that are in Unit B, or we could call a special town meeting for the purpose of addressing this one. And I think those are about it, unless we want to wait until November and deprive these people of what they bargained in good faith.

I guess what I'm saying is this is not due to a callous disregard. This issue comes up, frankly, almost every town meeting. You can't compel either party in a collective bargaining to meet an artificial deadline such

1 as two weeks before town meeting. However, it 2 is up to you if someone wants to move that we 3 vote on Article 2. Despite the lack of notice on Unit B in the Department of Public Works, the 4 5 Chair will entertain such a motion. 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved. 7 THE MODERATOR: Has it been seconded? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 8 Second. 9 THE MODERATOR: All those in favor of waiving the provision, say aye. 10 11 (Aye) THE MODERATOR: It is a two-12 No. 1.3 thirds vote. Thank you, Mr. Keaney. We do try on that, Mr. Keaney, but it does come up, but 14 15 thank you. 16 MR. KEANEY: I wasn't opposing it. 17 THE MODERATOR: That's right, you 18 didn't vote no on that, did you. 19 I want to say a word about your role 20 in passing the budget before we begin because 21 there has certainly been -- probably the major 22 topic of discussion, both in informal 23 conversations around the town and in formal

meetings. One of the most important duties that

2.4

1.3

Massachusetts law delegates exclusively to town meeting is the authority to approve the annual budget.

I know in my talks with many of you that many of you find the process to be confusing, and human nature being what it is, when people find a process to be confusing, that can -- especially when it deals with their pocketbook, that can lead to a degree of skepticism and concern and worry.

The most common comments that I, and I believe my colleague elected officials here are, on one hand, taxes seem to go up and up, and we don't know why this is happening, and we're not happy about it. That's a point of view. We also hear the town needs to make more investments that will impact the quality of life in Dedham, recreation, environmental, sports fields, streets and sidewalks, public health, schools.

I think the statement that concerns me the most is town meeting doesn't really have much control, because the process is difficult, and after all, the real power is with -- and you

2.4

can pick your own here -- the board of selectmen, the finance and warrant committee, the town manager, the school committee, and I've even heard the poor assessors thrown on that list, too. They probably have the least to do with that list.

There are some straightforward points that I think will help us all to better understand what your authority is in improving the budget, which obviously directly influences the tax levy. The simplified bottom line is town meeting approves the budget, and the budget is ultimately what determines the tax rate. A follows B, or B follows A.

The budget presented to town meeting, which you see in that thin blue book, is really the result of a very lengthy process. First, the town manager, after working with the department heads and with the school superintendent, prepares a proposed town manager budget. That is the budget that you see in your book in the second column in. It's headed up Fiscal Year 2020, Town Manager. That's the town manager budget. That budget has been reviewed

and approved in some process by both the school committee for the school side and the board of selectmen for the town, in general.

Now, as I've stated, you have the final word, but how did 273 town meeting members review and understand such a document? This is where the second step comes in. Town meeting has its own set of advisors appointed by the moderator. It's the finance and warrant committee. They report directly to town meeting, and they are independent of any political process. They negotiate with the school department, they negotiate with the board of selectmen, but they do not report to them. They only report to the town meeting.

These folks spend months analyzing
the budget proposals. I hear people say we need
to know where every nickel in town is. They
know. They work with the department heads.
They hold open public hearings at which all of
the town's department heads, the school
department, including the superintendent, the
assistant superintendent, some of the school
department heads and members of the school

committee all appear before the finance and warrant committee to explain the budgets that they are proposing.

They review a much more detailed version of the budget than the one that is printed in the warrant book. This is what the finance and warrant committee work on for about three months. This is the book that they work with, an awful lot of detail.

Finally, they make recommendations, but these recommendations are just that. They are recommendations, and they come before you, and those are the recommendations that are in the final column in the warrant book. You have the prerogative of accepting the recommendation or changing that recommendation up or down, but how do you make that decision. You can't all be budget experts. You need some information on how to make that decision.

The first point is the town's budget cannot by state law exceed the Proposition 2 1/2 limit. That's a number beyond which town meeting, even if it votes, cannot implement that budget, unless there is a Proposition 2 1/2

override on the ballot. When the town's tax levy is at that state-imposed limit, which it was for very many years in Dedham, there is a very real restriction on your ability to amend the budget.

This year, as well as last year, we are below that mandatory limit. That means you have more flexibility. However, you still have to be very conscious of the impact that your decisions have on taxes. For the past two years, former selectman Mike Butler and I have made some presentations that have included information on how spending translates into taxes. Many of you have heard those at the Endicott reorganization meetings. Hopefully that was helpful. That having been said, we're here tonight.

The town manager and the finance and warrant committee use a calculation that shows the relationship between spending and the tax bill, and we can pass that information on to you. The proposed budget, as recommended by the finance and warrant committee with no changes — it's the budget in that column — will add

2.4

2.4

approximately \$285 to the average tax bill in the town of Dedham. The average tax bill is a home that is assessed at \$525,000.

The average tax bill in Dedham right now is \$7,074. If this budget is approved as it stands with no changes, the average tax bill will be \$7,359, \$285 higher. We can evaluate any additional proposal to amend the budget by applying this rule of thumb. For every \$1 million in budget dollars spent, the average tax bill will go up by about \$80 per year. If the proposal is for \$200,000, the average tax bill will go up by one fifth of \$80, because \$200,000 is one-fifth of \$1 million.

So using this formula, a 100,000-dollar increase in expenses would add about \$8 to the average tax bill, \$200,000 would add about \$16 to the average tax bill, and \$300,000 would add about \$24 to the average tax bill.

Now, those of you that have been town meeting members for many years will remember that this is not new information.

Before Proposition 2 1/2, when town meeting had unbridled authority to raise or

lower the budget, there was a blackboard on the stage, and every time somebody made a proposal, the town clerk would go over with an eraser and write the dollar amount that it would impact the tax bill. That was crystal clear. Then you could decide is that idea a good idea. Is it good enough for me to want to spend another \$5, \$10, \$20, \$15 on the tax rate.

So when we're looking at any proposals this evening that have to do with spending, we'll very quickly make that translation. We'll very quickly do that math for you and let you know exactly what you're voting on. Are there any questions? Mr. Heaslip, District 3.

MR. HEASLIP: Thank you. Steve

Heaslip, District 3. So I have a question about

the -- are we voting only once on the total

budget, or are we going to be able to vote on

the line items that have been passed?

THE MODERATOR: That's a good question, Mr. Heaslip. When I went through the budget and read each budget line item, any budget line item that people wanted to question

2.4

or amend were the ones that people said pass on, and those were pulled out. That omnibus vote that we took a few minutes ago accepted the original motion, accepted what's in that column, if it was a budget item, as is written.

So we have ten, fifteen budget items that have not yet been decided. The others, we accepted the original motion. Now, if that wasn't clear to you at the time, and you want to pass one of those, the Chair will accept that. The idea is not to be a slave to the rules. You have to follow the rules, but you can always exhibit a little bit of flexibility so that you feel like you have the ability to exercise your authority to approve the budget.

I should point out that when we took that omnibus vote, Article 2 was included in that omnibus vote. Because remember, we voted to waive that requirement. So Article 2 then stood with all the other articles that were in the pass column to be accepted. So Article 2, as is printed in your supplement, has been passed. That's the collective bargaining one. Any other questions? The Chair recognizes the

chair of the finance and warrant committee, Mr. Kevin Preston.

3

4

MR. PRESTON: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Just before we begin, I just want to

say a few words about the process and a couple

5

6 of observations about the context in which

7

you'll be discussing these items. The first is

8

when we received -- when the finance and warrant

9

committee received the town manager's budget in

10

January, on paper, and on its face, we were

11

looking at a projected increase to the average

12

residential tax bill of 7.3 percent.

1.3

Now, that was never a real figure,

14

because there are some things that we

15

anticipated would happen that happened pretty

16

overlay being the big item that brought that

much every year, and that, in fact, did, the

17 18

down without any reductions or cuts or any other

19

work on our part to about six percent.

20

work on a projected tax increase of six percent.

So when we went to work, we went to

21

And I think to a person, the committee felt that

22

23

given that that was considerably more than twice

2.4

the increase in the consumer price index, that

that was not a workable figure, and that we then took our pencils out and began working to get that figure down as much as we could, and we did -- basically, after -- in addition to a lot of negotiation with the town manager and department heads that made a lot of other changes to the budget, we came up with five major adjustments to the budget that was recommended to us by the town manager.

And I just want to highlight what those are to you now. We'll talk about them in detail as they come up for debate, and we'll get into the reasons and why we did what we did. But the first was that we took a look at the operation of the town bus, and the committee felt that it was not a good expenditure of town money.

We spent some time working with town officials to come up with an alternative to that. We'll be discussing that in more detail. But we spend \$140,000 a year on the bus that drives around the routes during the day on weekdays, and we achieved, we believe, what will next year be a savings of somewhere around

2.4

\$100,000. We don't know exactly, but again, we'll talk about that in more detail.

1.3

2.4

The second is the school department came to us with a budget request that was, again, considerably more than -- or more than twice the increase in the rate of inflation.

The committee recommended a budget that fully funds all existing school department operations and makes allowance for step increases, collective bargaining increases, and other adjustments, as proposed by the school department.

The only difference between the school department's request and the finance committee's recommendation is that the finance committee did not recommend that all of the proposed expansion be funded; rather roughly that half of the proposed expansion be funded, and again, we'll discuss that in more detail.

The third major item is that on pensions, we received a letter from the Dedham Retirement Board informing the town that in their view, our obligation for unfunded -- our unfunded pension liability and our ongoing

pension liability was roughly \$3.7 million. As many of you recall, we've pumped a lot of extra money over the last few years into funding our pensions. There are 85 cities and towns in Massachusetts that have their own pension systems. We are the best funded of all of them.

We are number one of all of the pension systems in Massachusetts. We thought that entitled us to a little room to move some figures around. The retirement board did not see it our way. We used different assumptions than they did to arrive at our figure, which reduced expenditures, at least that you're being asked to be made now, by \$700,000.

We're not confident that we'll be able to sustain that. This is a legal issue that they may well have the authority to order us to do it. We're going to continue dialogue. We're going to continue to try to work it out because we think we have a very reasonable case to make. But the thing that I said at mini, I will say again to everyone here tonight, that this is a situation where if we get into a legal dispute, you will be paying, Dedham tax payers

2.4

will be paying the lawyers on both sides of the case, and that is never a situation you want to put yourself in.

So what we're recommending is that we'd like to continue the dialogue. We'd like you to approve \$2.9 million now, and we'll continue the dialogue, see what develops, see if other steps can be taken, and if not, we'll come back in November for the balance. In the meantime, we won't have broken any laws or suffered any irreparable penalty. But it's a big chunk. It's \$700,000. It's obvious it makes a big dent.

The fourth thing. We've had debates at the last three town meetings in a row about kicking money into the Robin Reyes Fund to build up the -- we spent a lot of what was the corpus of the fund on the public safety building, the ECEC, and other things. The fund was projected to get very low very quickly. If we didn't, there were different points of view about this.

This committee was a big advocate for funding it according to the schedule that was adopted when we voted to do the public safety

1.3

building, and it's with great reluctance that we're here tonight proposing to you that you only do half of what that projected contribution would be, simply on the theory that we want to get the increase on the tax rate down. We think we can spread this out a little bit, but it's with distinctly mixed feelings that we make that recommendation.

And then the fifth and final thing that we've done to try to manage this rate increase is we're proposing — in Article 4, there are a couple of kinds of capital money that we spend. One is what we call operating capital. It's capital items, like vehicles, that you could spread out in bonds. You could borrow the money for them because they have a long life expectancy. But we've tried to pay them with cash on a year-to-year basis because it's just a fiscally more responsible thing to do.

We're proposing to defer about \$300,000 of those purchases, not for a year, but just until November. If we do them now, they'll end up on the levy. They'll be part of what

2.4

goes into determining how much the tax rate goes 1 2 up and how much your tax bill goes up. 3 4

wait until November, we might get a break. don't know what our free cash situation will look like at that point. We know it doesn't look very good right now.

So all we're proposing is not that we not buy those vehicles -- you can identify them by the box, the empty box where it says FinCom recommendation, and there's nothing in it. Those are the items we're proposing. Let's take another look at them in November. I believe that we'll be recommending them, but that's not our -- we haven't voted on that yet.

It isn't that people don't support those purchases. It's that we're taking a conservative wait and see attitude, and then take a look at whether we do it, how we do it, and how we finance it when we come together again in November.

The only other point I'd make is that what's going on here besides just us deciding how much money to spend is there's a somewhat unusual thing going on with real estate values

23

2.4

that is affecting what we do in this room, and that is that Dedham is fortunate to have a very strong commercial and industrial base of taxpayers. They pay a goodly rate on the commercial industrial property that they own.

But what's happened over the last five years is pretty consistently, commercial and industrial property values have been stagnant. They've pretty much stayed the same.

A lot of the big box stores, some of the empty properties you see here and there, that they haven't increased, where residential property has zoomed. It's been going up five percent a year or -- and the net effect of that and why it affects what we do here tonight is that over the total of that process over the past five years, five years ago, commercial and industrial property paid more than 35 percent of all the property taxes paid in Dedham.

This year, they pay 31 percent, and what that translates into is \$3.5 million that five years ago would have been paid by commercial and industrial property owners has been shifted over to residential, and it

2.4

magnifies the effect. Every dollar that we spend has the impact on residential rates of something like a dollar and a half.

So we ask you to be cognizant of that. If we seem to have been more conservative than you might have thought we might be, part of the reason is because of that magnifying effect of everything that we do.

One final thing before I stop is that the only thing I would ask you is that the one reason I would ask you not to vote for or against something is that it's only \$1 or \$2 or \$10 or \$15 on the rate. Virtually everything we look at in the budget, our entire budget, is made up of things that only cost 50 cents or \$1 or \$10. Everything sounds small when you look at it in isolation. What we look at is the \$7,359 that the average taxpayer is going to end up paying, even if you approve the more conservative budget that we recommended here tonight. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Preston. We're going to begin voting on -- I
said there were eight or nine. There are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1112

14

1.3

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

2324

actually 26 line items in the town budget that people want to either talk about or debate, etcetera. So the first is Line Item Number 4. It's on Page 2. It's in the town manager's department, and it is where the bus money is located. Ms. Woods.

MS. WOODS: Georganna Woods, Precinct I understand the reasoning behind the cutting of the bus. I agree it's been really frustrating to see it riding around empty for five years. But I hope that there is consideration given for an active transportation committee to help maybe salvage the idea of a town shuttle, and also other ideas for getting people out of their cars, because the number one complaint that I've heard at town hall meetings, you know, the town hall to you events, are traffic, and then the other big complaint are speeding cars. So there's no way we're going to be able to solve that if we don't try something. So that's all.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Woods.

I'm going to ask if somebody can open the doors
to the outside. These are open, those are

closed. Maybe we get a little additional draft here. We're in no danger of throwing the air conditioning off by opening the doors, believe me. Thank you, sir. Ms. Tobin.

MS. TOBIN: Hi. Patty Tobin,

Precinct 2. I wanted to raise a couple of
concerns about the bus funding as well. I

didn't quite understand -- I think it's a point
of clarification -- has there been \$40,000 added
back into the budget for the bus? And I guess
one of my concerns, just based on utilization
currently, were that some sectors of the
population might be left out or stranded, for
example, public housing residents who may not be
elderly or disabled and veterans. I'm not sure
if the COA service is going to, you know, take
in all veterans or just disabled or elderly.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Tobin. Sharna Small Borsellino.

MS. BORSELLINO: Thank you. Sharna

Small Borsellino, Precinct 6. I would just like
to ask that whatever you do with the bus that

I'm -- and this message is more to the board of
selectmen. What I heard at last week's mini

2.4

town meeting was that the town manager's office does not have the staff to oversee the bus because they have other responsibilities, which means that transportation is not getting the attention that it deserves from town hall.

So I would ask that the board of selectmen find a home for transportation, because transportation is not something that is used only by people of -- those people who are low income. Transportation can also be used and is also used in our neighboring communities by people who care about air quality, by people who care about traffic congestion, by people who want to get out of their cars.

And I know that our bus company has submitted proposals to the town manager's office that would reroute the bus, that would use the bus for multiple use purposes, and those proposals were not acted upon because the town manager's office didn't have the staff allocated to do so or didn't have -- staff had other responsibilities.

So again, we've missed an opportunity to improve this bus service to make the bus

something that can be utilized by commuters and by high school students to after school jobs.

And I just ask that in the future we be more mindful that public transportation isn't something that's just reserved for those people or the low income or seniors or the disabled.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms.

Borsellino. Mr. Kern.

MR. KERN: I'd like to make a couple of clarifications on the comments that were just made. If you go back more than six years, there was a proposal relative to the bus at a town meeting. There was a decision made to continue the bus service as it was, but there was considerable effort put in, first by the town planner, Rich McCarthy, and followed after that when Rich left by John Sisson, the community development director, relative to the bus service as it existed and as it exists today.

We thought our first -- the first approach that we should take before we reengineer the bus was to make sure it was providing the service that it was designed to provide, and it did it in a courteous and

professional way. So there's actually been significant effort put in over the last five years in making the bus a better bus. It's not accurate to say that there hasn't been effort put in, and it's also not accurate to say that the proposals that have been discussed with the new vendor were not pursued just because of staffing.

1.3

2.4

Before the decision is made to pursue those proposals -- and those proposals are primarily ways to market the bus such that there is more ridership. And as I said last week, I think the community needs to decide philosophically whether they want to spend limited resources. And I will remind you when I proposed my budget, the full funding of the bus was in it, but it's still fair to ask is that an expenditure that you want to continue, and if you want to continue it, what's really the objective, as opposed to --

And Sharna brings up a number of these. Are we trying to service those that get on the train and commute into the city? Are we trying to service people who are employed and

work on the Route 1 corridor, school students in the middle school to early high school age?

We've had those conversations. Other communities have had those conversations. There are very few communities on the Route 1 corridor or in the Boston general area that run a town bus. That's not to say Dedham shouldn't. It's just to say what do you really want to do, and how do you want to do it. Our first objective was to do what we were doing and do it well. After that, I think it's fair to ask do you want to expand it. Do you want to target some of the groups that Sharna and others have talked about.

The other part of that, and we've included Sheila Pransky, the director of the Council on Aging, is to incorporate the two transportation efforts, that of the Council on Aging and that of the larger transportation bus system. It isn't to say that we're just going to service Council on Aging clients or constituents. It goes back to that other question, do you want to develop a bus system that provides transportation for the rest of the group.

I think you really need to decide 1 2 what you want to do. I think there is capacity 3 to pursue it. It's not unlimited capacity, 4 staff and citizens, but to me, it's really a 5 matter of what's the objective to begin with. 6 Thank you. 7 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Kern. 8 Further discussion? Just so you know what 9 you're voting on, the bus, as it runs right now, costs \$140,000 a year. This \$40,000 is in 10 11 addition to the \$30,000 that was already included. So there's \$70,000 in this line item 12 13 for the bus plan, as has been described by Mr. 14 Kern and Mr. Preston. So we're voting on 15 \$1,018,775. That's the \$978,000, plus \$40,000. 16 All those in favor, please say aye. 17 (Aye) 18 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 19 (No) 20 THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it. 21 Thank you. Line Item Number 5, legal purchases 22 service. Mr. Delloiacono. 23 MR. DELLOIACONO: Mr. Moderator, 2.4 thank you. Town meeting members, thank you.

1 Quick shout-out to my daughter Sarah, 2 congratulations from Stonehill. Love you. The newspapers printed a few 3 I don't want to know anything about 4 articles. 5 that. I just want to know what the town has 6 spent to date and how much of this service is 7 for future litigation on that one item. 8 THE MODERATOR: On Line Item 5, or 5 9 and 6? MR. DELLOIACONO: 5 and 6. T wanted 10 11 to cover all bases, Mr. Moderator. MR. KERN: The item Mr. Delloiacono 12 1.3 is referring to is covered by insurance, and 14 that's who's paying for that litigation. 15 There's a small deductible, and I'm not sure 16 what it is, and that would have come out of 17 litigation and judgments. 18 THE MODERATOR: Any further 19 discussion on either 5 or 6? There being none, 20 the vote comes in favor of the original motion 21 on 5, which is \$250,000, and on 6, which is 22 \$25,000. All those in favor, please say aye. 23 (Aye) 2.4 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The

ayes have it. We move to Line Item Number 39.

This is the planning board, Page 3. It looks

like the entire planning board and economic

development budgets have been passed. It might

move things along if anybody who wants to speak

on that feels free to address any of them rather

than come up each time. Is that okay?

MR. DELLOIACONO: Yeah. I passed all
of them.

THE MODERATOR: I know, Carmen.

MR. DELLOIACONO: Mr. Moderator, thank you. I passed all of them for a reason, because of historically how items have gone through the town at town meetings. So if I read this correctly, and I try to understand what happened here, it looks like the re-class expenses to planning economic development are going into that, but I see it funded at \$98,551, still under economic development. So is there a new job position that is now, as I see further on in Article 27, a planning developer? Is there a new position, and is the economic development officer gone?

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Kern.

1.3

1.3

MR. KERN: The difference between the \$171,000 at the bottom of the economic development and the \$98,000 is primarily professional services. As you can see in Line 44, it's a purchase of services. It's not salary. So it doesn't relate to a position. It relates to paying consultants to provide planning services in the period of time that has extended almost a year. While we were out to hire a planner, we hired a planner. The person stayed here a short period of time, and then we went back out.

In the planning department, you can see -- back up to Line 39. You can see -- if you go back to the 2017 actual, and I would remind people that that column is the actual expense, 2018 is actual, and then 2019 is the current budget that we're in today, and then 2020 is the town manager's proposed budget.

If you take the \$151,445 that's in the 2017 actual, project it forward, say, three percent, you get 156 in '18 and 162 in '19. So that's about what that would have been had what I just described with respect to the

professional town planning position not
happened.

1.3

2.4

So that's kind of a proper projection of that department, which is a town planner and an administrative assistant. The town manager's budget this year proposed that planner, and also a full-time staff planner, subordinate to that position. The finance committee made an adjustment to that that I will let the chairman address, if he's so inclined, but they made an adjustment such to fund half of that staff planner. Do you want to comment on it?

MR. DELLOIACONO: I'll try to streamline, Mr. Moderator. So there is no added job, Mr. Kern.

MR. PRESTON: There's one-half of an FTE increase.

MR. DELLOIACONO: So we still have an economic development. Because what I mean by what's happened historically in the past is at the next town meeting, will the economic development be zero funded and all go to planning. Do you follow me? Because if it stays in the books, some future can fund it.

MR. KERN: If it stays on the -- a future town -- this doesn't bind any future town meeting in any way. So the way we list it really doesn't have a great deal of bearing unless we're referring to how someone might imagine it would work. There was a proposed full-time position the finance committee funded -- I should have said it better, a half a year of a full-time position. And the economic development, personal services is remaining as it's listed.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Carmen, do you want to speak on any of the others, or should we just --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR.}}$  DELLOIACONO: No. I wanted to cover all the bases.

THE MODERATOR: All right, you've covered them. Any other questions on anything in either the planning or the economic development department? If not, we will vote the original motions, which are in the column to the right, starting at \$190,292 and going straight down the bottom of the page. All those in favor, please say aye.

	Tage 03
1	(Aye)
2	THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.
3	(No)
4	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it.
5	Thank you. We're going now to the oh, yes,
6	ma'am. Could you go to the microphone, and
7	state your name and district, please?
8	MS. DIBIASIO: Diane Dibiasio,
9	Precinct 1. I just have a quick question. In
10	my book, when I was passing, I passed on Number
11	2, and we didn't vote on that.
12	THE MODERATOR: We did.
13	MS. DIBIASIO: We didn't discuss it,
14	and I didn't know if that was being delayed for
15	later.
16	THE MODERATOR: No.
17	MS. DIBIASIO: I just was concerned
18	that we
19	THE MODERATOR: Article 2 was the
20	collective bargaining, and we voted to waive the
21	requirement that the contract be sent out.
22	Therefore, it was included in that big vote that
23	we took. But if you have a question on it, I'll
24	be glad to entertain that.

I do not. I just had 1 MS. DIBIASIO: 2 it marked down as a pass, and I wanted to make 3 sure we had voted on it. THE MODERATOR: 4 Yeah. 5 MS. DIBIASIO: Thank you. 6 THE MODERATOR: Thank you for 7 pointing that out. In the fire department, Line 8 Items 53, 54, and 55, we encourage speakers to 9 address them collectively if their concern goes that way. Mr. Driscoll. 10 11 MR. DRISCOLL: Mark Driscoll, 12 District 4. I didn't mention 54, but I did 1.3 mention 53 and 55. 55, I probably don't even need to talk about. It's 54. I bring it up 14 15 because --16 THE MODERATOR: Mark, can you pull 17 that microphone a little closer to you? 18 MR. DRISCOLL: The reason I passed 19 this is it was brought to my attention at mini 20 town meeting where it was passed by the panel, 21 and it brought my attention to the atypical 22 increase in the fire budget. 23 So I went and looked on the town 2.4 website, and I see that there was about a

2.4

600,000-dollar increase in personnel, and that was primarily, it appears, from five officers -- or five firefighters becoming officers. And I have three questions. My first one is looking at past years, this hasn't happened. What changed this year that all of a sudden, fire firefighters became officers?

THE MODERATOR: Chief Cullinane.

MR. DRISCOLL: While he's coming, I also say that the chief mentioned last week that he found some money that he inadvertently put in purchase of services. So I appreciate that he brought that up at last week's meeting, too.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Driscoll. Chief.

CHIEF SPILLANE: Good evening. Bill Spillane, fire chief. To answer your question on the captains' positions, those were not five new positions. Those were an upgrade.

Previously on the department, we had four deputy chiefs, and we had 17 lieutenants. We created five captains' positions. What that did is it created more structure within our command staff, so we took -- now, we have eight lieutenants and

five captains, as opposed to the previous amount

of lieutenants.

So it was an upgrade for them, which what it does is it provides more structure, if you will, to our officer's staff, and it also provides for an opportunity for professional development, and they're also eligible to work out of grade as a deputy chief in the absence of the deputy chief when they go on vacation or on leave. That increase -- just to answer that question as well, that is a 12 percent differential between the ranks.

MR. DRISCOLL: Thank you. If I may, through the Chair again, is that the number of -- when I look at the number of superior officers, it's 13, which is about one -- between the chief and superior officers, one for every two and a half firefighters. Is that typical for most towns?

CHIEF SPILLANE: The way that it's typical is that for each truck that we have, each piece of apparatus, the standard for staffing on that is one supervisor with three firefighters. So in both cases, a lieutenant

2.4

1.3

2.4

and a captain -- they're both considered company officers, if you will. They're on the trucks with the firefighters. So it's an officer, whether it's a lieutenant or a captain, along with three firefighters. And yes, that's the national standard.

MR. DRISCOLL: Thank you. If I could just go back to the -- so the difference between the lieutenant and the captain is different responsibilities? Is that what it is?

CHIEF SPILLANE: Correct. It's more administrative responsibilities, and as I said, he's the gap in between the deputy chiefs and the lieutenants. We recognized that there was a gap there, and what we also recognized was that in the absence of the deputy chief, it became more fiscally responsible as well to have a captain to act in the role of his deputy chief when he is out.

MR. DRISCOLL: One last question, if I could. You brought up last week the training cost increases, and I didn't know the number, but I found it on the website. There was a 400 percent increase in the cost of training, and

hopefully you could explain that, too, and then
I'm done. Thank you.

CHIEF SPILLANE: The increase that you see is under personal services, and what that does is it kind of encompasses a few things. One of it, again, comes back to professional development. What we observed is we actually -- we were lacking professional development within our officer corp. We're trying to plan for future officers. We have senior officers who held these positions for many years. They've gone on to retirement or being close to retirement. What we recognized is that the additional training has not been provided.

Our job has always been very complicated. And on top of that, it remains complicated. Now it's become more complex, if you will. The job has changed so much, and we need to stay ahead of the curve. We've made an incentive to send the fire officers and firefighters go to the Massachusetts Fire Academy to achieve these certain levels of certifications that will allow them to become

2.4

the future officers of this department.

2.4

As it stands right now, we're not prepared for that. We're trying to move forward, trying to educate our firefighters, both through professional education, and also the practical experience that they gain. Hence, the captains' positions again.

We've also initiated over the last two, two and a half years a health and wellness program. We're investing in our firefighters. We're investing in their health and wellness. Over the last two and a half years, we've seen our injury rate drop dramatically, specifically our long-term injuries.

Our job -- that's the nature of the beast. You're always going to have injuries. We're even seeing -- even with the short-term injuries, they're recovering that much quicker and they're coming back. This is a structured program that we brought into the department, as I said, over the last two and a half years.

We've now made an incentive for the firefighters to continue with this program throughout the course of the year. It's not

just about joining a gym. I'm sure everybody has done that. You join a gym, you stay on board for about the first two or three months, and then we've had enough.

We wanted to make sure that the firefighters stay on board with this. And it's not just physical fitness. It's also nutrition and wellness, as well as -- another big aspect that we tend to forget is mental wellness and resiliency. All these three issues are addressed throughout the course of the year.

One of the other components of personal services that's included in this is the increase in the cost for uniform replacements. For many years, the firefighters have been receiving \$500 a year to replace their normal daily work uniforms, basically the shirt and pants that they wear, sweatshirts, what have you, when they go out on the trucks. It's just not enough.

Not even looking at the number, we're basically looking at when the money becomes available in July, I'm looking at them, and by the end of the fall, they don't look so good.

23

2.4

They basically needed to double that to get the double amount of the uniforms, you know, to be presentable throughout the course of the year. The uniforms get basically beat up, if you will, over the types of calls that they go on, and

You can only clean these things so many times and have to dispose of them. there was an increase in the uniforms for both the firefighters and the officers. That was an additional increase within personal services. So all of those included, that accounted for the majority of the increases under personal

Thank you, Chief.

MS. KILROY: Marjorie Kilroy, Precinct 6. Just a question for you. Three years ago, I think we voted in four new firefighters because of the overtime, and wasn't that supposed to bring it down a little?

MS. KILROY: It looked like it was inching up a little. I just was wondering.

2 3

CHIEF SPILLANE: Well, actually, with the overtime what it is is the way it's reflected this year, I believe it shows an increase of about \$40,000 over last year. What that also reflects is the contractual wage adjustment that just was signed into agreement last July. And what it also looks at is that with that increase with the mutual aid calls that we go out to service, the other cities and towns who call for our aid, we also welcome them when we have a significant event in our town as well.

So mutual aid means you give and you receive. This year, in particular, I'm sure everybody saw it on the news, there were several major incidents. There was many in Boston that we responded to to cover their stations. The big gas incident they had up in Lawrence, and also in Quincy, several times we were over there as well. Those incidents — fire departments are busy, and we rely on our neighboring cities and towns.

So every time we send the trucks out, we'll send -- we're available to send two trucks

2.4

out of town anytime they request them, a ladder truck, and also an engine company. Each one of them is staffed, as I mentioned before, with four individuals, an officer and three firefighters. We don't leave our town stranded, if you will. We bring back in off duty firefighters so that we still staff the reserve apparatus in our town so we can still protect everything that's going on throughout the course of our day.

MS. KILROY: Thank you, very much.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms.

Kilroy, and thank you, Chief Cullinane. Any other questions on the fire department. I said Chief Cullinane twice. Well, what was that, only 15 years ago? Sorry, Chief Spillane.

We're voting now on the original motions that are in the book. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you. We are moving now to Line Item 64, overtime in the building inspection department. Mr. Delloiacono.

2.4

MR. DELLOIACONO: Mr. Moderator, town meeting members, Carmen Delloiacono. I meant to mention -- I forgot to mention earlier I am an employee of the Town of Dedham. This Line Item 64 is the department that my job is in, and it's about the overtime.

In the past town meeting, when Mr.

Keegan was here, he consolidated things,
supplies, purchases for town hall, into one
central fund, all overtime into central
overtime. I'd just like to know why this is the
only department in town hall that they're
identifying and now carrying a budget for.
Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Kern. Not Keegan, not Griffin. Mr. Kern.

\$MR.\$ KERN: I answer to Bill. There were two, and there were two Cullinanes, if I have that right.

This was to give the department head better flexibility in assigning overtime.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Any other questions on Line Item Number 64? If not, we vote on the original motion. All those in

favor, please say aye. 1 2 (Aye) 3 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The 4 ayes have it. Thank you. Line Item 71, Dedham 5 public school education. Discussion? Mr. 6 Gallagher. 7 William Gallagher, MR. GALLAGHER: District 6. I would like to thank Mr. Preston 8 and the members of the finance and warrant 9 10 committee for their dedication and service to 11 the Town of Dedham. With that noted, I would like to 12 1.3 propose that Line 71 of Article 3 be amended to restore the amount requested by Dedham's elected 14 15 school committee, which was approved by the town manager when looking at Dedham's finances. 16 17 ask that the town meeting vote to increase Line 71 by the amount of \$196,000. 18 19 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. 20 Gallagher. Is there a second? 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second. 22 THE MODERATOR: Discussion? 23 being no discussion, we vote on the higher 2.4 amount first. You've got to give me a break

here. The lights that come here make it a little difficult. Mr. Galvin.

MR. LAWLOR: Good evening. My name is Andy Lawlor, and it's my privilege to represent Precinct 6. In my view, public education should be, and often is, the great equalizer in our society, and so I haven't been shy in taking this microphone over the years and urging that we increase our taxes by tens of millions of dollars for public education.

Conversely, when I think we're making a strategic mistake in painting ourselves into a corner, I've opposed expenditures of tax dollars for education.

Now, this issue here is not of the magnitude of \$28 million for a middle school and \$24 million for an Avery School. \$200,000 is a relatively small number, but it's an important question. And while it's helpful to know that this 40,600,000-dollar line item is a 4.2 percent increase from last year, it isn't sufficient enough context to make such an important decision. So I spent some time researching historical numbers for our support

1.3

of the public schools here in Dedham and how we stand relative to our peers and our neighbors.

So two decades ago, 2001, we were spending our contribution, exclusive of health insurance and benefits, about \$22 million to educate 3100 kids, or just a little over 3100 kids. So now, 20 years later, in 2020, we're debating whether to spend \$40,600,000 to educate 2700 kids, because we've lost 400 kids in our school system over the last 20 years.

So by my math, my Oakdale School math, is we're spending about 84 percent more next year, or proposed next year, based on the FinCom's recommendation, than we did 20 years ago. And I checked the CPI index, and between March of 2001 and March of this year, inflation for the Newton, Cambridge, Boston area has gone up 30 percent.

So we are today proposing -- or
FinCom is proposing to effectively spend about
54 percent more over inflation than we were two
decades ago, and that number is actually quite a
bit better when you consider that we're
educating 400 less kids in the town than we were

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2.4

20 years ago. And 400 kids equals an elementary school and a third of another.

So as far as historical context goes, we've been doing pretty well. The question is did we start from a base that was so small that -- you know, 65 percent above inflation, is that really good or excellent. So I took a look at what other towns are spending, and I encourage you, if you're interested in all this stuff, to take a look at the Department of Education's district profiles online. There's a tremendous amount of data, financial data, performance data, and some of it -- all of it's interesting, some of it's helpful, and some of it's quite sobering.

In any event, 2017 is the last year where you can compare yourself to all our peers. In 2017, for in-district tuition -- I'm sorry, in-district expenditures, we were spending about \$18,500 per student. You take a look at the most affluent communities in our neck of the woods -- Concord, Lincoln-Sudbury, and Wellesley -- and we are spending more than all of them per student. We're just a little bit above

Wellesley, and we're about \$500 more than
Concord and Lincoln-Sudbury.

So okay, you know, they're going to

-- they have a different demographic than we do.

They don't have as many kids with disabilities,
they don't have as many English as a second
language type of kids, people from economically
disadvantaged houses, more high needs. So then

I took a look at our peers, people who are
within our economic -- our economic peers. I
took a look at Canton, Norwood, Braintree, and
Milton. Again, for 2017, these towns were all
spending between \$13,500 and \$15,500 in the case
I think of Norwood.

\$3,500 to \$5,000 less per student for indistrict tuition. So I said okay, I'm feeling pretty good or proud of my community that we're spending so much money on education, because I think it's a terrific thing, and it should be the great equalizer in our country.

So I then wanted to see, well, are we getting good value for that exceptional amount of funding that we're making. And

2.4

unfortunately, of all those communities I
mentioned, they all have better standardized
performance test scores than we do. And I said
all right, let's compare apples to apples. I
know Fred Civian will come up here in a minute.
I took a look at -- Milton and Canton doesn't
have the same demographic group that we do, but
Norwood and Braintree are very comparable,
within one or two tweaks up or down of us, for
kids with highest needs, English as a second
language, first-time English learners, kids with
disabilities, kids from economically
disadvantaged students -- I mean, I'm sorry,
kids from economically disadvantaged families.

1.3

2.4

So all right, if Norwood and
Braintree are spending \$3,000 or \$4,000 less per
child for in-district tuition than we are, and
their overall programs are doing better than
ours are in MCAS scores, I've come to the
conclusion that since we are funding our public
schools at the levels of the most affluent
suburbs in Eastern Massachusetts, that we are -this body is meeting its obligation to fund our
schools to enable them to provide an excellent

1 education.

I think we provide a good education here in Dedham, but the question I suggest is can we be spending our money more effectively. I would submit probably.

The last point I want to make is as a town meeting representative, I view myself as representing not only the school children in the area and their parents, but also the elderly in our town and in Precinct 6. And I've come to know a woman in our town who lives in a two-family house, she's elderly, she's living on the rent from her first-floor apartment, social security and food stamps, and she's going to have to move, and then we're going to do a second -- or they're going to have to sell the house and put her in assisted living.

So \$500 a year in tax increases is real money, and it's particularly real money for those of us who don't have two earners in their house. So I respectfully request that you consider both of those obligations. Understand that we should be providing good, if not excellent, financial support for our schools,

but we should also be taking a look out for the elderly in our town so they don't have to be compelled to leave. Thank you.

4

3

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

5

Carlene Campbell-Hegarty. Lawlor.

6

MS. CAMPBELL-HEGARTY: Carlene

7 8

question. Could someone from the FinCom please

Hegarty, Precinct 5. I just have a quick

9

tell us why -- since they see the whole budget,

10

and we only get the numbers, if they could give

11

us the reason why they felt they were going to

12

deduct \$196,000 from the proposed budget from

1.3

the school committee and the town manager?

14

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

school department is very different legally than

15

MR. PRESTON: The situation with the

16

with the rest of town government. In the rest

17

18 19 of town government, we look department by department, position by position, and can

20

recommend targeted cuts or increases.

21

The state law with respect to the

22

school department is that the only thing that

23

the finance committee can recommend, and the

2.4

only thing that town meeting can do, is to

approve a total figure for the department. It's then within the discretion of the superintendent and school committee as to what they actually do with the money and what programs they fund.

So when we meet with them and we consider the school budget, we always talk about it in a program sense, but it's not our decision to make in the final analysis. For example, when the school department gave us their budget, they basically gave it to us in two pieces. One is how much would it cost just to keep doing what we're doing now and to give everybody their step increases and collective bargaining increases and to pay for the increase, you know, lights and pencils and whatever else it takes to run a school department.

So they gave us that figure. That figure was 3.65 percent in the budget they presented to us, and that was the full cost to roll over everything, you know, maintain the same number of staff, teachers, etcetera. They then gave us what we talk about as the expansion budget, places -- and, in fact, what they proposed was to keep everything, every person on

2.4

board, and then they proposed some expansion, and there was about \$400,000 worth of proposed expansion that they were asking to be funded from the levy. There were some other expansion items that they were going to fund with tuition increases from the preschool program.

But we looked primarily, obviously, at stuff that was going to the levy, and it broke out into that there were two particular programs that the finance committee believed and the school department folks represented to us that, in fact, they'd probably save us money if we added.

There were programs -- funding of programs that would enable the school department to be in a better position to take some kids who are out of district placements right now who are -- very expensive out of district placements, you know, \$100,000, or more in some cases, and they could bring them back into the system if we funded these programs, and not only save a lot of money, but more importantly, even provide a better education to the kids that were affected by it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

13

14

12

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

So the other programs were improvements that we believed were well worthwhile, but just given all the other budgetary needs and the -- that we are already around 4 percent increase in taxes, we thought maybe we space these out over a couple of years, or we just didn't think we could do it all in one year, and that's how we looked at it. But again, at the end of the day, whatever figure you approve tonight, the school department and the superintendent and the school committee have the ultimate say. And they would have every right to go back and say, you know, if this is the amount we're going to get, we're going to do this instead and do very different things that we know about.

We never heard any suggestion that anybody -- any teachers might be let go or staff people or anything like that. It was -- we looked at it in terms of what does it cost to maintain, and what do we want to add on top of that.

MS. CAMPBELL-HEGARTY: So if I may ask a quick follow up question. So what you're

2.4

telling me is that per the information that you were given, some children who are getting services outside of the community are going to be brought back in, and Dedham is going to provide the services here, and this \$196,000 will be the savings? Is that how you came to this determination?

MR. PRESTON: It was the other way around. The \$200,000 that we funded was what you just referred to, to fund the programs that would enable them to bring kids back into the district. But again, we don't ultimately make the decision as to which one they get.

MS. CAMPBELL-HEGARTY: I know. I guess I'm trying to find out what prompted you to go against what the town manager is and the school committee and everyone came up with to cut the budget by \$196,000, because I didn't get to see the complete budget, nor was I a part of that discussion. So I'm just curious for my own — before I vote on this, I'm curious how you folks came up with that number.

MR. PRESTON: Let me just say this. The most important thing I could say here

I understand

tonight is we did not cut the budget. We increased the budget by 4 -- we recommend -- you decided. We recommended increasing it by 4.2 percent, which if you approve the 4.2 percent that we recommended, it would be the second largest increase that the school department has received in more than ten years.

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

7

that. You're still not answering the question. There is a difference between what the town manager is for the FY 2020 budget at 4.2 percent, and you folks are offering a 4.2 percent increase. I want to know why you chose that five percent -- or .5 percent, I should

MS. CAMPBELL-HEGARTY:

15

16

17

18

MR. PRESTON: Because as we did with the other items that I described that we tried to reduce expenditures on, we did not believe

have said, decrease from what the town manager

1920

that a 6 percent tax rate was supportable, so we took a number of steps to get it down to 4, this

22

23

21

MS. CAMPBELL-HEGARTY: I'll just

2.4

agree to disagree on that.

being one of them.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Heaslip.

MR. HEASLIP: Steve Heaslip, District

3. Thank you, Mr. Moderator. I don't know if
I'd be out of order at this point, but I'd like
to submit another motion.

THE MODERATOR: Certainly.

MR. HEASLIP: That we go back to the 2017 budget of \$35,231,444. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Just write that down, Steve, and bring it up to me, please. Other speakers? Chairman of the school committee, Mr. Coughlin, and the school superintendent, Mr. Welch.

MR. COUGHLIN: Good evening,
everyone. Kevin Coughlin, school committee. A
lot of thought and careful planning went into
this year's budget proposal. It reflects our
priorities as a school committee and a district.
It also is responsive to many issues raised in
these past years by parents and staff, in
particular, the need to respond to a very large
class moving through the middle school.

We respect the work and consideration given to our budget by the warrant and finance

committee. We advocated vigorously for our budget right up to the time of their vote. Our position remains the same. The budget we passed and submitted to the FinCom is what we believe is necessary and appropriate for the educational needs of the district next year. We will abide by whatever decision town meeting makes. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Superintendent Welch.

MR. WELCH: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

Mike Welch, proud superintendent, Dedham Public

Schools. I first want to thank the town

manager. Those are some humbling words, and

right back at you. Thank you for all of your

support.

I just wanted to bring a couple of issues to the town meeting's attention. I appreciate Mr. Lawlor's research and a good bit of what he did looking at all of the different comparables. At the request of the finance committee, a year ago, in 2017, the school department produced a benchmark report. We did extensive work making sure we were looking at comparable communities. Some of the communities

that were mentioned by a previous speaker don't align well. That benchmarking report is available on our website, and I encourage anybody to get a look at it.

1.3

2.4

Some things that come about as a result of making comparisons that look like apples and apples, one of the items that is included in that 40-million-dollar budget is the facilities portion of the budget. That's also included with the school department's annual allocation. So that's a change from prior to 2001 when that was mentioned.

In addition, the district is doing, I think, very, very aggressive work trying to maintain students within the district. To the statement that was made supporting that effort by the chair of the finance committee, I wanted to clarify it on a couple of points.

Number one, the additional monies needed to maintain students within the district for next year, for FY '20, are not about bringing students back from outside placements. These are students who are currently in the district who would otherwise be going out and

are 18 to 22 years old. The Dedham Public Schools requires -- the responsibility is required to provide education to students with significant special needs up until their 22nd birthday. So we have a number of students who are aging out of the typical K-12 system and will require significant investments in education moving forward.

1.3

2.1

2.4

So we've designed a program internally within the district to keep these students within our neighborhoods and in Dedham to make sure they're getting a better education at considerably less cost. The figure cited by the finance committee around the cost to educate a student in an outside placement is accurate. It's approximately \$100,000 per student.

I don't think I need to mention to anyone the explosion in the number and percentage of students with autism. We have a significant program that's designed for students with autism spectrum disorder that has grown from three students three years ago. Next year, we project it at 11. These are students that require significant additional resources in

order to meet their educational needs.

I also want to bring forward a couple of pieces. Again, we have a significantly large bubble of students in the middle school that are going to be in seventh grade next year. We've already moved some staff around, as we do every year, moving staff from our elementary level to our middle school level, so we will be making cuts here and there to adjust to make sure we monitor that.

However, the needs of these students are significant and will cause us to be going away from our vision moving forward with the restructuring that was done two years ago, and if we were unable to fund these additional positions, we anticipate the reduction of a number of positions at the high school and the elementary level, about three positions, and again, that's what we will do.

This is the will of town meeting, and we'll respect the votes that you will take. And I want to thank the finance committee for the work that they have done working with us trying to understand the difficulty that will be faced

by the taxpayers of Dedham. We appreciate the support that we've received all the way along. We believe, as the town manager mentioned, we are doing great work in the Dedham Public Schools, particularly against comparable communities.

per pupil spending from the DESE website,

Department of Elementary and Secondary

And when comparing those items around

2.4

Education, it's important to also know that included in those numbers is debt service on school buildings, of which Dedham has some significant amounts. So it's very difficult to make those apples and apples comparisons, despite what it appears from looking at those numbers right away.

Again, I appreciate your support.

Moving forward, we believe that we're moving the district in a very positive direction, particularly when you look at the investments

Moving forward, we believe that we're moving the district in a very positive direction, particularly when you look at the investments we're making in early childhood education. If you haven't been out to the brand new, on time, on budget early childhood center, I encourage you to go take a look. We've moved toward full

day kindergarten for free, a significant change over the past few years. I believe we have what is the best early childhood center and education program for preschool and kindergarten students, not only in Massachusetts, but in New England.

I continue to value your support and appreciate your confidence in the directions we're moving as a district, and I'd urge you to seriously consider the amendment posed on the floor. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Other speakers on Line Item 71, the school department budget? Seeing none, we now come to a vote. Dedham's rules of town meeting say that when there are competing dollar amounts, we vote on the higher one first. If the higher one is accepted, that's the law of the land. If that does not receive a majority vote, we then go to the second highest one, because we have three.

So the three proposals that are before you, starting from highest to lowest, are the suggestion made by Mr. Gallagher that the school department budget be \$40,833,100. The original motion -- in other words, the

2.4

recommendation to you from the finance and warrant committee -- is that that be \$196,000 less than that number, which is \$40,637,000. The lowest number, as recommended by Mr. Heaslip, is \$35,231,000. That is \$5.5 million less than the original motion. Are there any questions before we proceed to this important vote?

All those in favor of Mr. Gallagher's amendment that the finance committee recommendation be increased by \$196,000 to the level of \$40,833,100, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.

(No)

THE MODERATOR: I doubt that vote.

Please, all in favor stand, and remain standing until you're counted by the tellers. Mr.

Krueger is asking to respond to a point of information.

The question was asked of Mr. Krueger as chair of District 3, where are we getting the \$196,000. What are we cutting. As I mentioned earlier in the evening, we do not have to cut

2.4

from another line item because we are below -we are \$9 million below the Proposition 2 1/2
ceiling. So we're back to the regular order,
when town meeting could increase or decrease.
Is that clear? Thank you, and thank you, Mr.
Krueger.

Thank you. You may sit down. All those who are voting no on Mr. Gallagher's higher number, please stand, and please remain standing until we get everybody counted.

124 town meeting representatives having voted in favor of Mr. Gallagher's amendment, and 105 having voted against it, it carries.

We'll move to Line 86, which is in your supplement. You have facilities, town facilities. Personnel services has been passed. Is there any discussion on this line? If not, we'll vote on the original motion. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Move to Line 119, Park and Recreation. I believe we -- who passed on Park

2.4

and Recreation? Mr. Loporto. Do you object to us discussing the whole department at once, or does that work against your point?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$  LOPORTO: We'll do it all together.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

MR. LOPORTO: Bob Loporto, P5. I'm not sure which line item my question is relevant to. It's just more of a general question. In the past several months, there's been a lot of construction at the Striar property. I recently learned that Park and Recs has been leasing the land for a profit, without the input from zoning, planning, or ConCom, along with no notification to neighbors.

I've been told, just last week, the town seized the money from Park and Recs. The town seized the money that Park and Recs was receiving from the contractors. There's a meeting tomorrow night at town hall at 7:00 p.m. on the subject. I hope you all will come.

So my question is where are the various Striar contracts in the budget, and now that the funds have been removed from P&R, is

1 the budget even correct?

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Loporto. Mr. Kern.

MR. KERN: The Park and Rec

Commission, although they don't operate

separately from the town manager, they are a

separately elected commission and make

decisions, in some cases, separate from the more

central administrative kind of decisions, but

let me clarify a couple of things.

When they first -- and I'll allow -I don't have to allow. The moderator will allow
any of them to respond to the assertions that
zoning, planning, and ConCom haven't been out
there or weren't notified. I'm quite sure
that's not true. But to the point -- your real
question, which is the funding, the agreement
they made with the group using the property was
originally deposited in a revolving fund.

So in each of the things I'm going to describe, we're talking about a revenue source, not an expenditure. And the budget that is in the book in your hand is the expenditure side.

So the expenditure side is accurate.

2.4

What we're talking about is the money that's coming in. It's not for profit, technically. Municipalities don't profit at anything. It comes in, and they placed it in a revolving fund. There should have been a different conversation with the finance director previous to that happening. So as a result of some conversations in the last couple of weeks, the finance director, in conjunction with some other people, town counsel, etcetera, have made the decision that the revenue will now go into the general fund.

So the process that you're referring to as seizing is really changing where the revenue will go in based on the finance director and the town counsel's determination. It doesn't really change the budget as it's presented here, and it also doesn't change the agreements that the Park and Rec Commission has the right to make. There have been a number of changes in municipal law, in Massachusetts municipal law recently that allows for these kind of arrangements to be done different ways.

So I'll finish by saying two things.

It's not all that unusual for there to be a 1 little bit of confusion about how to handle 2 3 revenues, and oftentimes, even people who are experienced are not aware of the most recent 4 5 changes. So what's changed is where the revenue 6 is going. It will all go into the general fund, 7 as opposed to a revolving fund, and then there 8 will be a determination by town meeting as to 9 what's done with that money, and that will 10 happen in a future meeting. 11 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. 12 Anything else, Mr. Loporto? Oh, sorry, Ms. Hanlon. 1.3 MS. HANLON: 14 Maureen Hanlon, Precinct 15 Jim, as a follow up to what you just 16 explained, in the revolving fund, how much of 17 that revenue was already spent, if any? MR. KERN: The answer is zero to 18 19 date. 20 MS. HANLON: Zero. So everything 21 that has come in as part of that Striar money 22 has not been touched at all? 23 MR. KERN: That's correct. 2.4 MS. HANLON: Thank you.

1	THE MODERATOR: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DELLOIACONO: Sir? Rich
3	Delloiacono, Precinct 7.
4	THE MODERATOR: I always call the
5	Delloiaconos "sir." It's just safer that way.
6	There's so many of you around town.
7	MR. DELLOIACONO: I added four also.
8	There's a bunch more going through the school
9	system now.
10	Quick question. How much money per
11	month are we getting for this property that's
12	going into this fund, no longer the revolving
13	fund, and why is it no longer in the revolving
14	fund, because state law has changed?
15	THE MODERATOR: Thank you. I'll get
16	you an answer.
17	MR. KERN: I have an answer from kind
18	of the financial side, but I'm going to let Bob
19	Stanley, the parks director, answer kind of a
20	more practical answer.
21	THE MODERATOR: The Chair recognizes
22	Mr. Stanley.
23	MR. STANLEY: The revenue coming in
24	we've had three different agreements over

1 there. One is just coming to a conclusion, and 2 we took in approximately \$5,000 a month for the 3 past 12 months, and then we've got another 4 contract -- this is all part of the MWRA project 5 that's going through Dedham, and it was recommended us through the town and through the 6 7 DPW that we assist in this process. 8 So one contractor is just finishing 9 up, and he's went through the kind of east part of Dedham, and the second contractor now you've 10 11 probably seen out in Rustcraft area --12 MR. DELLOIACONO: Well, I don't --1.3 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Delloiacono is 14 asking --15 MR. DELLOIACONO: I quess you can 16 answer the question --THE MODERATOR: He's asking how much 17 money has come in, so let's get right to the 18 19 gist. 20 MR. DELLOIACONO: I got you. 21 understand the whole project, where the money is 22 coming from, the MWRA, and I think it's an 23 excellent opportunity for the Town of Dedham to

at least get some type of revenue out of that

2.4

2.4

property that's been sitting there for so long. So my main concern is, and I want to know why, that money should be staying with the Park and Recs revolving fund. That's how I feel. I'm not sure about anybody else in this room.

MR. STANLEY: Thank you, Rich.

MR. DELLOIACONO: I want to know if the laws have changed, and is that why that money was taken from Park and Recs.

MR. STANLEY: Thank you, Rich. I also agree. I could use the money, but I also want to do it the correct way. And it has been pointed out to me through town counsel -- we met with town counsel on Friday, and they said the proper way to do it is to have the money go to the general fund, and then I can come back to you at some point and ask for it to come back, but I'll have to tell you exactly what it's for.

And to answer the other question. So we had \$60,000 come in from the first contractor, we've got approximately \$10,000 in on the new contractor, and then there was a third contractor not related that we're terminating that contract, and I believe we had

\$7,000 come in on that.

2

Stanley. Mr. Kern.

3

Stanley. Mr. Kerr

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

2.4

MR. KERN: A couple of quick

Thank you, Mr.

THE MODERATOR:

clarifications there. It is true what Bob said. There was -- Park and Rec did confer with DPW director Joe Flanagan and myself about making that property available to support that project, and in general -- this is a good thing to keep in mind, in general -- revenue that comes into the town goes into the general fund, unless it's

specifically allowed otherwise by an exception.

A departmental revolving fund is really something different than what you would use this kind of revenue for. And as Bob said, it is perfectly reasonable to keep track of that money. You don't technically set it aside in a different fund, it's just not allowed, but you can keep track of it, and requests can come in next year to use that money for purposes that support Park and Rec.

That's happened a number of times in the time I've been here, and I've done it other places. So it's not as if it goes into the

abyss and we forget about it. It's just 1 2 required to be done that way by municipal -- by 3 finance law. THE MODERATOR: 4 Mr. Loporto. 5 MR. LOPORTO: Sure. Thank you. 6 Loporto, P5. Mr. Moderator, you had mentioned 7 that every \$100,000 means \$8 to the taxpayer. 8 THE MODERATOR: No, every million is 9 \$80. MR. LOPORTO: Well, every \$100,000 is 10 11 \$8 --THE MODERATOR: 12 \$8, yes. 13 MR. LOPORTO: -- per house? Yeah. 14 THE MODERATOR: 15 MR. LOPORTO: So Bob, correct me if 16 I'm not wrong. This project basically brings in 17 \$100,000 per year, saving every household \$8. 18 And on top of that, this is causing the 19 neighborhood grief from all the construction. 20 MR. STANLEY: Yes, the \$100,000, and 21 depending upon how long this current contract 22 goes, it might be more, but yeah, that is 23 correct. We understand. We've got the message 2.4 over the past month, and that's why we're trying

to address this, and that's why we have a meeting, and we hope everyone shows up at this meeting tomorrow where we're going to address many of the concerns and what we're going to do going forward.

MR. LOPORTO: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mr.

Burkett.

MR. BURKETT: Gustavo Burkett,

Precinct 6. I would like to make an amendment
to use the revenue that was generated to reduce
the FinCom recommendation by that amount and
that we vote on that amount as the budget for
the Parks and Rec.

THE MODERATOR: I'm going to call on town counsel, because I believe there are some statutory limitations on that. Lauren Goldberg, town counsel.

MS. GOLDBERG: Mr. Moderator, through you. The expenditures are the only thing we're focused on here at town meeting. The revenue sources are estimated by the town as part of the budget preparation process. A reduction in the amount of the appropriation would reduce the

2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 1.3

14

15

16

17 18

20 21

19

22

23

2.4

amount available to the Park and Recreation Department.

I want to confirm what the town manager said, which was that this type of money comes in. It's a general fund receipt, but then it can be appropriated by town meeting. Town meeting is the only entity that can choose to spend it for whatever purposes are appropriate, including Parks and Recreation programs.

THE MODERATOR: There is an article every year at the annual town meeting where you approve the transfer of money into the various revolving funds, whether it's the pool or whatever. So that is within your authority.

MS. GOLDBERG: Mr. Moderator, through you. When the revolving fund statute was amended in 2016 as part of the municipal modernization act, the requirement for that annual vote changed a bit, and so what we have now is if the town wants to make a change to the amount of any revolving fund, then it has to be voted by town meeting. Otherwise, it stays --

THE MODERATOR: I stand corrected.

MS. GOLDBERG: -- stays the same.

	1 age 110
1	THE MODERATOR: Thank you.
2	MS. GOLDBERG: And I just want to
3	remind people, too, what revolving funds are.
4	Revolving funds are intended to allow
5	departmental programs, particular programs to be
6	funded by receipts from that particular program,
7	so little league or, you know, the pool. So you
8	take in receipts for people using the pool, and
9	then you use it to provide the pool programs.
10	And that isn't what we're talking about with the
11	current issue, the Striar property.
12	THE MODERATOR: So Ms. Goldberg, is
13	it fair to say that each revolving fund has a
14	limit, which has been established by town
15	meeting, and since 2017, instead of confirming
16	those every year, we only vote if the limit
17	already approved is changing? Is that fair to
18	say?
19	MS. GOLDBERG: That is fair and
20	accurate.
21	THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Ms.
22	Campbell-Hegarty.
23	MS. CAMPBELL-HEGARTY: Mr. Moderator,

could I move the question, please?

THE MODERATOR: Move the question means we end debate. All those in favor of ending debate and moving the question, please say aye.

( Z

\_\_\_

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a two-thirds vote. I'm going to put before you Lines 119 through 124, unless there's any objection. That is the Park and Recreation Department. All those in favor of the original motion, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you. We now go to Line 135, OPEB liability contribution. OPEB stands for other post-employment benefits. It's basically health insurance. 136 -- oops, 135, OPEB liability. The town clerk had 135 passed. Did anybody pass on 135? We'll take a vote, just to be sure so it doesn't come back to bite us. All those in favor of the original motion, the 135, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The

ayes have it. Thank you. 136, pensions contributory. Selectman Teehan.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. TEEHAN: So I have a brief
presentation --

THE MODERATOR: Dennis, just give your name and title.

Dennis Teehan, board of MR. TEEHAN: selectmen. So I know that the last thing everyone wants to see right now is a presentation, so I'll try to be brief, because we're moving slow, and it's hot. But I wanted to give this because it's important and because this is big potatoes. You've heard the term "small potatoes." Well, this is big potatoes. And I would also think in my opinion as a selectman that the process that we've undertaken to get to this point hasn't been the best. I think when that happens, it's important the town meeting understands and knows what's going And I want town meeting to know what's going on, because this is important.

We have committed \$35 million to this fund over the last four years, a little -- five years, a little less than \$35 million. We have

given 25 million taxpayer dollars in the last three years. It's a lot of money.

How we manage our retirement fund is key to the financial future of the town, and it's key to what our taxes are going to be, what we've paid the last few years, what we will pay the next few years. So I know it's hot, and I know you're exhausted, but I hope you'll indulge me ten minutes so you can all understand this, and I'll try to be as quick as I can.

As Kevin Preston said, we can only modify our budget in a few places, and this is one of them. Last year, this year, it's amazing that our taxes only went up four percent. I give credit to the finance committee. They made some tough decisions and the good fortune of having \$1.5 million in the assessor overlay account. Why do I say that, because last year your taxes were \$3.5 million less than they should have been.

The town believed that it achieved full funding of its pension fund last year, in fiscal '19, and the budget that you approved only funded about \$1.6 million to our pension

2.4

fund, not the \$5.1 million that you had given in previous years. The remaining \$3.5 million was approved without a pass last fall, and it came from free cash, which is really residual money we had built up over a few years. So if we had done things in retrospect, that \$3.5 million would have been in a levy, and what you paid last year would have been much more than what you did pay.

So I'm hoping just to give you a little background about the retirement system. It basically includes how we pay pensions to all our town employees. It doesn't include teachers. It's governed by a five-member retirement board. These board members are not elected by the general public. Two are elected by the town employees. One is the town accountant, one is appointed by the other four board members, and one is appointed by the board of selectmen.

Retirement assets come from an annual appropriation from the town. Both the employees contribute, and the town contributes. And the town didn't contribute enough for a long time,

and because of that, we were left with a big liability. So about 30 years ago, the state passed a law that said that towns had to catch up, and that's what Dedham has been doing.

In fact, Dedham has been doing it better and more aggressively than almost any other community in the state. At that time, town meeting set a schedule to fund, and the unfunded liability was agreed to, and we've been making those required payments now for over three decades.

So every year, you guys have approved a budget that had an annual appropriation with both a normal cost, which is about last year's \$1.6 million and then a payment towards our liability, the money we should have paid in the past but didn't, and that's about \$3.5 million. We've been putting about 5 million taxpayer dollars every year into this fund.

Now, when we had extra free cash a few years ago, we accelerated the payment schedule because we had analyzed with the finance department what was going to be the best deal for the taxpayers with that extra money,

and we felt like it was to put money into the pension fund, and the reasons for that were many. First of all, it was an expensive liability. To maintain the liability this fund costs, the direct costs of that are more than the interest on most of our bonds. So we felt like we were getting a good deal for our taxpayers.

Number two, it was essential to the financial health of the town, and number three, it helped us maintain our bond rating. We just had our Triple A bond rating renewed. That saves our taxpayers money. So it was important to do.

The assets of the fund are managed by the State Pension Reserve Investment Management Board, and every two years, an actuarial study is done to tell us where do we stand. The numbers come out on January 1, and as the actuarial said to us in October, on January 2, they were at least a little bit off, because the market is always changing. But in the January 2, 2018 report, there were some important things that I want people to know.

2.4

1.3

First of all, the projected interest rate in the fund is so important to assessing what our remaining liability is. What we say -- we have a cash -- we have a sum of money in the fund, and how we project the interest of that fund over the future years is going to tell us how much liability we have. If we say the interest rate is going to be higher, the liability will be smaller. If we say the interest rate is going to be smaller, the liability will be higher.

voted to reduce the projected interest rate from 7.75 to 7.5 percent. They also voted to change the funding goal, the fund, from 100 percent to 105 percent. What did that mean? Those two things meant the liability increased. So we had felt, as I said, that the town had met its full funding of the pension fund in fiscal '19, but when those two things happened, we no longer had met full funding of the fund, because the liability increased.

Now, the projected interest rate right now in the fund is currently 7.5 percent.

2.4

Historically, the fund, over the last 20 years, has performed at 9.3 percent. So for years, we were operating projecting at 7.75, the vote last year took us down to 7.5, and the historical performance of the fund is far in excess of that.

Now, with a projected interest rate of 7.5 percent, the market value of the fund of our last report was 99 percent. So we were essentially touching full funding at that time. The assets in the fund was \$143 million, and this was before last year's appropriation where we gave almost \$5 million.

At 7.75 percent, which was the interest rate we had operated under for all those years, it was actually over 100 percent. So if the interest rate -- projected interest rate in the fund had never been changed, we would be over 100 percent. And it's important to note that this is based on market value, which is a little different than actuarial value.

This chart came out sideways, so I'll ask you all to turn your necks. But you can see

the amount of money we've put in on the right side, 1996 up to 2018, the value of our fund, and this is from the January 1, 2018 report, has skyrocketed, and that's not an accident. That's on the backs of our taxpayers. Our taxpayers have put money, and we've made that commitment as a town to put money into this fund, and rightly so, for a lot of reasons.

So we were presented with actuarial scenarios about what to do at this point in January of 2018. So we have this fund. We vaulted to the top of the state. We are literally at the top of the state in terms of funding right now. Of the 365 communities, we're right at the top. And we really are only legally obligated to meet full funding in 2040, so there's no urgency. We're way ahead of most of our other communities in Norfolk County. Most of other comparable communities in the state, we're far in excess of them.

So this is just rehashing that last year you guys approved initially, the \$1.6 million in the spring, and then another \$3.6 in the fall. And we had the actuary in to discuss

in October about how we were going to land the plane, so to speak, what we were going to do.

In that meeting, the actuary said that the interest rate could be anywhere between 7.5 to 8 percent, said that the state was pushing boards to lower interest rates to 7 percent, and acknowledged that we have a lot of flexibility in how we manage the fund from this point.

So we have new actuary numbers coming in July, because Mr. Sherman is no longer the actuary for the retirement board. They brought in a new actuary, and we're waiting for new numbers. So in March of 2019, the board of selectmen, after looking at the situation, there was a lot of conversation about how to manage the fund.

At that point, we asked for three requests of the retirement board. We asked to bring the funding level back down to 100 percent from 105, and we've been told that's going to happen. We asked the town manager to ask for a stepwise reduction in the projected interest rate in the fund; that is to say if you lower it from 7.75 to 7.5, why do we have to do it in one

year. Let's bring it down slow, and let's lessen the blow to our taxpayers. And we asked a lengthened funding schedule, because, again, we're not obligated to reach full funding until 2040, so why are we sprinting across the goal line when we have, you know, ample time to do it, and at the same time putting so much stress on our taxpayers.

So the current appropriation, the retirement board asks for \$3.6 million, and the finance committee approved \$2.9 million, as Kevin told you, and the retirement board rejected this rejection last week and are seeking the full \$3.7 million.

So to summarize, overall, we remain in great financial health. Our retirement fund is literally at or near the top of the state in terms of funding. And really, our remaining liability is based on actuarial assumptions at this point. And if you look at one set of assumptions, we're over 100 percent. If you look at another set of assumptions, we might be far less than 100 percent, but it's all based on assumptions.

2.4

1.3

2 3

2.4

By any measure, we are close to achieving full funding. Now, a lot of people are going to ask did we err by putting that money in a few years ago, and the answer is no. The only reason we're having this conversation is because we did that. If we hadn't done that, we'd be asking for \$5.1 million in this year's budget instead of \$3.7. So that was a good move.

Moving forward, the retirement board of the town should have flexibility in how we proceed. The way the fund is managed will have a tremendous impact on the financial health of the town and your taxes, and that's why I ask you to take time to learn about this. And we need to have a collaborative process that balances the needs of our retirees in the context of the town's larger financial picture.

Because right now, as I said, we are approaching full funding, if not over it, and we should be in maintenance mode. And when we put stress on our taxpayers to fund a fund that's already at the top of the state, then I think we really have to question how we're managing our

1 assets and how we're managing the fund.

So I don't know what's going to change in fiscal '19. There's a substitute motion to lower the number from 2.9 to 2.5 that you'll vote on, and that will put another \$400,000. It would lower your taxes about a half a percent, which might balance some of the previous votes you had, you know, but whether you go with the 2.9 or the 2.5, in fiscal '21, it's going to be really important that we get this right and that we get the number right, because this is really going to affect the taxes we ask for in the coming years.

So thank you for indulging me. If you have any questions, I'm happy to come back up, and thank you very much, Mr. Moderator.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Selectman Teehan. Mr. Delloiacono.

MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Dennis, your book, notes, pen.

Thanks for the time and effort, Dennis, putting into that. I hope everyone actually picked up on what he was saying.

I was hoping someone would be here,

1.3

2.4

Mr. Moderator, from the retirement board to at least explain why, and I feel -- I did offer a substitute motion on this, which I just withdrew, because FinCom did reduce it to \$700,000. I was hoping to reduce it another \$433,000.

And just to let you know, I am a member of this system. So we are very good, the Town of Dedham. Funding this system, obviously, was just shown by Selectman Teehan, but the retirement -- I feel the retirement board has got a stranglehold on this, and the last thing I want is to go to where we're paying, as it was said earlier, legal fees on both sides. That doesn't make sense. I was hoping that someone from the retirement board was here tonight, and at least accept what is proposed by the FinCom with the 700,000-dollar reduction and move forward. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Delloiacono. Are there any further questions on this? I know it's a complicated subject, but your options here are quite limited. Is there a motion to accept the original motion of the

1 finance and warrant committee?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved.

THE MODERATOR: It's been moved.

Second.

1.3

2.4

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

THE MODERATOR: All those in favor,

please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Please be aware that this may come up again at the November town meeting, the annual town meeting in November, so just keep that in mind.

We're moving now to Article 4. But before we do that, there's still a degree of consternation among some of the members about Article 2, which was the collective bargaining about did we vote it, did we not vote it. So certainly, in the interest of assuring everybody, this is the collective bargaining agreement. The Chair will accept a motion to vote the original motion on Article 2 of the collective bargaining agreement. Is there such a motion?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved.

2

THE MODERATOR: Is there a second?

3

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

4

MS. GOLDBERG: Mr. Moderator, the

5

original motion requests the amendment in the

6

supplement.

7

THE MODERATOR: Yes. I'm sorry. The

8

supplement. That is the original motion.

9

original motion is the one in your supplement.

original motion is the one that's in the

10

11

It basically adds that other union that has two

12

people in it. Any discussion? All in favor,

1.3

please say aye.

14

(Aye)

15

THE MODERATOR:

Opposed, no.

16

ayes have it. Thank you to Ms. Dibiasio and Mr.

17

Keaney for keeping us honest on this. We're

18

moving to Article 4 now, which is the capital

19

improvements budget.

20

There are two parts to this.

21

will notice on Page 8 that the first sentence

22

under Recommendation is that the sum of a little

23

projects 1 through 24, it's actually 1 through

over \$1 million from free cash to pay for

2.4

24, with the exception of the ones that have no number in there. So that's 3, 6, 7, and 24.

And as Mr. Preston described, those are being, from a conservative point of view, held aside until we see what our free cash is. Free cash is not certified by the state until the fall.

And so in November, we could reconsider some of those projects that do not have a number.

So that would be the first. That's only a majority vote. Does anybody have any questions on 1 through 24? If not, the vote could come on the original motion. All in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

ayes have it. The second part of the vote has to do with those items for which we need to borrow. They are on Page 10, and they are listed on the bottom of Page 10 as B1 through B7. And the finance and warrant committee is agreeing with the capital expenditures committee, chaired by Mr. Pepoli (?), to fund all of those and to borrow that sum of money in order to do that. Are there any questions? Mr

1 Keaney.

1.3

2.4

you.

MR. KEANEY: Brian Keaney from

Precinct 4. B1 and B5 deal with Walnut Street

and Rustcraft Road. Those streets are getting

torn up because of the MWRA project we were

talking about earlier. I thought the deal was

that they come and they tear up our roads, and

they pay to re-pave them. So why are we

borrowing \$4.5 million?

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Kern.

MR. KERN: I'm going to let our DPW director, Joe Flanagan, answer this in more substance. However, the two numbers were B1 and B3?

THE MODERATOR: B5, I think you said.

MR. KERN: B5, all right. I'm with

THE MODERATOR: Walnut Street and Rustcraft Road.

MR. KERN: Right. So there's kind of an arrangement on the sidewalks that I'd like Joe to come down and explain. So Rustcraft Road sewer improvements, I should let our town engineer, Jason Mammone, take a turn at this,

1 but I'll do it while Joe is coming down.

We're on not just the MWRA project -that's going to go out that way -- but we're
also on what they call the TIP, the
transportation improvement program, that the
state funds for Rustcraft Road.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Kern, can I ask you a question? B5 is for sewer work. That would not be affected by street re-paving? Somebody digging up the street would not be required to do any sewer work; is that correct?

\$MR.\$ KERN: It's true as it relates to this, yes.

THE MODERATOR: So Mr. Keaney, the digging up of those streets doesn't come into play on B5.

MR. KERN: This is in addition to that. This is what I was going to get to. So the road is going to be renovated in 2021, fiscal 2021, by the state, and this relates to additional capacity that's needed for that part of town, which is, as you know, highly developed and highly developable.

THE MODERATOR: Thanks. Mr.

2.4

1.3

Flanagan, director of the Department of Public
Works.

MR. FLANAGAN: Good evening. Joe
Flanagan, the director for the Public Works
Department. So B1 is the Walnut Street sidewalk
granite curbing. This would be to replace to
the -- right now, the MWRA is working on Walnut
Street, but they have not damaged all of the
sidewalks. They will replace what they have
damaged. This also puts a granite curbing and
concrete sidewalk on both sides.

Right now, there is not sidewalks on one side. There is a -- some of the -- there's not all granite curbing on Walnut Street. This will go from Whiting Avenue, the intersection of Whiting and Walnut, to Mount Vernon Street. So this will put granite curbing and concrete sides on both sides. Right now, there is not granite curbing on that street.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Any further questions? The vote comes on the original motion, two-thirds vote. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

2.4

1.3

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. We move to Article Number 6. It requires four-fifths. Any discussion? Let's try for unanimous. All in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a four-fifths vote. Thank you for your cooperation on that. It's a unanimous vote. It can be a unanimous vote. It was. Unanimous vote. Thank you.

Article 10, special purpose stabilization fund appropriation, putting money in, two-thirds vote. Any questions or discussion on Article Number 10? If not, we will vote on the original motion. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. Article 16, also a two-thirds vote because there's borrowing involved. Are there any questions about Article 16? All those in favor -- is that a question, Mr. Borsellino, or are you just stretching your

1 legs?

1.3

question. Joe Borsellino from Precinct 6. I know this is a financial assistance program, and yet we're borrowing, and I just want to know what the vehicle is. Do we borrow and qualify for the program and commit the funds, and then we get reimbursed, or is it a matching fund?

MR. BORSELLINO: Yeah, quick

THE MODERATOR: Is there a simple answer to that, Mr. Kern?

MR. KERN: Yes.

THE MODERATOR: Is there a 9:53 answer to that, Mr. Kern?

MR. KERN: I was just trying to point out the relationship between Rustcraft and the sewer improvement. The answer to that, because it's going to come up next year, it's -- the answer is yes. It's partly a low interest loan through the clean water abatement trust and a grant, but you borrow it, and they reimburse it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Are there any other questions? All in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.

2.4

THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. Article 19. Article 19 has been passed. Mr. Bethoney. We'll get the report of the planning board.

(No)

MR. BETHONEY: Good evening, Mr.

Moderator, town meeting members. John Bethoney,
planning board chair. I hope everyone received
the planning report to town meeting, dated May
10 of 2019 regarding Articles 19, 20, 21, 22,
and 23. Our report explains the purpose and
rationale of each article and indicates the
planning board recommendation of each of those
articles.

Article 19, in summary, would authorize a seven-month moratorium on the issuance of any special permit on any mixed use development through the planning board. The moratorium will expire on November 30, 2019. During the proposed moratorium period, the planning board would undertake a planning review process to study, analyze, and address whether or not any revisions to the zoning bylaw

relative to mixed use development are needed or desired to provide mixed use developments consistent with the town's future and general planning goals for economic and housing needs.

Also, Article 19 proposes that town meeting appropriate or transfer from available funds the sum of \$50,000 for consultant services for said study and, if necessary, propose revisions to town meeting bylaw to provide mixed use developments consistent with town's general and specific planning goals, with the understanding that any proposed regulatory reforms be presented to town meeting in the fall of 2019.

In April of 2019, the planning board held a public hearing, we took testimony, and we voted unanimously to recommend that Article 19 be so voted. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Bethoney. Are there any questions? Mr. Bethoney, just for the benefit, mixed use development is?

MR. BETHONEY: Mixed use development is a development in which there is

	Tage 133
1	nonresidential uses on the street level and
2	residential uses above.
3	THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Are there
4	any questions? The original motion of the
5	finance committee Ms. Preston-Barry.
6	MS. BARRY-PRESTON: Diane Barry-
7	Preston, Precinct 2. You're having a good night
8	tonight.
9	THE MODERATOR: I'm just going to
10	start saying hey you.
11	MS. BARRY-PRESTON: Okay, that's
12	fine. This says \$75,000, and I believe Mr.
13	Bethoney said \$50,000. So can somebody clarify?
14	THE MODERATOR: The original motion,
15	which is printed right below it, is for only
16	\$50,000.
17	MS. BARRY-PRESTON: Thank you.
18	THE MODERATOR: The \$75,000 is what
19	the original article called for. Any other
20	questions? All those in favor, please say aye.
21	(Aye)
22	THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.
23	(No)
24	THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it.

Thank you. Article 20, report of the planning 1 2 board. Mr. Bethoney. 3 MS. GOLDBERG: (Inaudible.) THE MODERATOR: Two-thirds vote. 4 5 It's not a zoning change. 6 MS. GOLDBERG: (Inaudible.) 7 THE MODERATOR: A moratorium requires 8 a two-thirds vote. I didn't know that. 9 was a two-thirds vote. Do you need any more than that, Lauren? Do you need four-fifths or 10 11 anything, or are we all set? We're okay. 12 Bethoney, Article 20. Thank you. 1.3 MR. BETHONEY: Report from the 14 planning board on Article 20. In April of 2019, 15 the planning board held a public hearing. took testimony, deliberated, and voted 16 unanimously to recommend to town meeting that 17 18 this article be indefinitely postponed. 19 THE MODERATOR: Any questions of Mr. 20 Bethoney on Article 20? Mr. Keaney. MR. KEANEY: Did I hear the chairman 21 22 say that the planning board recommended that it 23 be indefinitely postponed? 2.4 MR. BETHONEY: We're on Article 20.

Page 137 We recommended that it be so voted. 1 So sorry. 2 THE MODERATOR: Nice try, John. 3 just happy that I'm not the only one making mistakes tonight. 4 5 MR. BETHONEY: I wouldn't do that to 6 you. 7 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Joe. 8 other questions? The vote comes on the original 9 motion, as recommended by the planning board. 10 All those in favor, please say aye. 11 (Aye) 12 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a 1.3 two-thirds vote. Article 21, also a zoning amendment. The report of the planning board. 14 15 MR. BETHONEY: Regarding Article 21, 16 the planning board held a public hearing, took 17 testimony, deliberated, and voted unanimously to 18 recommend the town meeting vote to indefinitely 19 postpone. 20 THE MODERATOR: Are there any 21 questions on this article? So we're voting on 22 the original motion. The original motion is 23 that we do not change the zoning ordinance, so

that only requires a majority vote. If we were

2.4

voting to change the zoning ordinance, it would 1 2 require two-thirds. All those in favor of the 3 original motion that this not be done, please 4 say aye. 5 (Aye) 6 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The 7 ayes have it. It is indefinitely postponed. 8 Article 22. Mr. Bethoney. 9 Report from the MR. BETHONEY: 10 planning board on Article 22. Similar to the 11 other articles, the planning board did hold a public hearing on this article in April of 2019. 12 1.3 We took public testimony, we deliberated, and 14 voted unanimously to recommend that town meeting 15 vote to indefinitely postpone. 16 **THE MODERATOR:** Any questions? All 17 those in favor of the original motion as 18 proposed by the planning board, please say aye. 19 (Aye) 20 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. ayes have it. Article 23, also a zoning change. 21 22 Mr. Bethoney.

MR. BETHONEY:

record was requested by the proponent that it be

23

2.4

Article 23 for the

indefinitely postponed. We did -- although we did hold a public hearing in April, and we did take testimony, we deliberated, and we voted unanimously to recommend that town meeting vote to indefinitely postpone Article 23. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Questions? The vote comes on the original motion of the planning board. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you, Mr. Bethoney.

 $\label{eq:mr.bethoney:} \mathbf{MR.\ BETHONEY:} \quad \text{Thank you, town}$  meeting members.

THE MODERATOR: We move to Article 24, a proposal to create a snow removal study committee. Ms. Butler.

MS. BUTLER: Hi. I'm Susan Butler,

Precinct 6. I have a question about this

warrant article. Where has it came from, what's

the basis of having a committee about snow

removal, knowing that the past few years there's

been warrant articles for snow removals for

residents who don't shovel in snow. So I'd like

to know where this idea came from, because I

really don't have a problem in checking around with other neighborhoods with snow removal at businesses.

4 5

3

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. The Chair recognizes Fred Civian, the proponent of the article.

67

8

9

MR. CIVIAN: My name is Fred Civian,
Precinct 1. I proposed this article. About
three or four years ago, I proposed a specific
article that would have required commercial,
industrial, and institutional uses to remove
snow. It had a lot of specifics in it. That

11

12

1.3

10

was voted down by town meeting.

After I'd submitted that article, I

1415

wish we would have had more context for why this

got in conversations with people that said we

17

16

was a good idea or not a good idea. People

1819

wished that the specifics that were in that

21

motion had been somewhat different. So instead

20

of eight hours, ten hours, fifteen hours,

2122

whatever after a snowstorm, commercial, industrial, and institutional uses would have

23

to shovel their sidewalks.

We've had some good snowstorms over

2.4

the last few years and got questions from people saying it's probably time to look at this again. So this is really a question for town meeting. Is town meeting interested in getting some analysis and report about how we might -- not will, but how we might require commercial, industrial, and institutional uses to shovel their sidewalks after a storm.

If you want to find out more information about that, how many towns do that sort of thing, how does enforcement happen, what does enforcement really mean, are there fines, not fines, all that sort of stuff, then please vote yes for it. If you don't want to have that information, don't want to have that analysis, then please vote no.

THE MODERATOR: Are there any questions of Mr. Civian?

MS. BUTLER: I just have one.

MR. CIVIAN: Yes, ma'am.

THE MODERATOR: Ms. Butler.

MS. BUTLER: Sure. Thanks, Fred.

Has the town or the police or our board of selectmen received any complaints from residents

2

3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

of our businesses or institutions who have not plowed and shoveled?

MR. CIVIAN: I don't know if they have or not. I have multiple pictures of sidewalks blocked by snow, anywhere up to five or six days after a snowstorm, and that's going to be -- if town meeting votes yes, it will be part of the report. If your question is does that problem exist, I think each of you as town meeting members could cast your minds back over the storms we've had and calculate for yourself whether the sidewalks are cleared routinely or not. They're not routinely cleared off. Yes, ma'am.

MS. BUTLER: Sure. I'd just like to say -- because I have had conversations about this with the DPW about the piles of snow that are on corners and block sidewalks. And that may not necessarily be the problem of the resident or the school. The superintendent can tell you I've had conversations about him as well -- with him. It's not necessarily about the business or the residents. It's how the town has to plow the street and the limited

1.3

2.4

space that we have to dump the snow. So I'm just putting it out there that, to me, it's just another burden that we're putting towards our businesses.

Dedham, I go to the square, I do my errands, I go to Village Manor, the Brick House. I just don't see this as being a problem in town, and whether or not it's just going to be another appointed commission. Though I respect the idea, I just personally don't think we need it, because I don't see any problems with any businesses. And I think if we're going to go with the businesses, then maybe we should go with the residents as well instead of just targeting the businesses who already contribute a positive way to our community. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

MR. CIVIAN: Thank you. I deliberately did not include single-family residences in there, since there's no interest in doing that.

MS. BUTLER: Okay.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Driscoll -- I

mean Mr. Sullivan. What's going on here. Well, there are three Driscolls out there -- there's two besides me. One of them is going to get up to speak, I know it. One already has.

MR. SULLIVAN: Jim Sullivan, Precinct

2. Is there any monies attached to this

committee? Are you going to be doing --

MR. CIVIAN: No money attached to it. The research will be done by volunteers.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Ms. Martin.

MS. MARTIN: Elizabeth Martin,

Precinct 1. I live fairly near Dedham Square, and I tend to walk downtown when there's been snow, because I feel it's safer. It's hard to park. Often businesses will clear the sidewalk until they reach the property line, and then they'll leave a large pile of snow, which you then have to go out into the street to get around, which is dangerous. All we're asking is that they clear the sidewalk the whole way to the next property so that it's a fully clear sidewalk and people can walk on it. At least that's what I've seen in Dedham Square.

1 I think it is a concern. They try to 2 do a good job. Maybe it's their plowing company 3 that they're hiring, not the business itself. But they need to make sure that the job is done 4 5 so that there isn't a blockage at the property 6 line so people can use the sidewalks as they're 7 That's it. intended. THE MODERATOR: 8 Thank you. 9 Woods. MS. WOODS: Hi. Georganna Woods, 10 11 Precinct 6. If you do walk in town a lot, like 12 I do -- I don't have a car half of the week, so 1.3 I have to walk a lot -- it is a problem. 14 hope this committee happens. Thanks. 15 THE MODERATOR: Any other discussion? 16 Thank you, Mr. Civian. All those in favor of 17 Mr. Civian's idea of forming the committee, 18 please say aye. 19 (Aye) 20 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 21 (No) 22 THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it. 23 Article 27. Somebody passed on Article 27. 2.4 Questions on Article 27? Mr. Delloiacono.

MR. DELLOIACONO: Mr. Moderator, town meeting, fortunately, the last one. This article is proposed to put together, obviously, this improvement. It shows that it does not raise or lower taxes, but it's taking money from new growth. So it's a percentage that doesn't specify a percentage, but it will be based on the new growth if you look at the chart on A7. But to me, this whole article is open-ended.

It mentions development within the district would be allowed to pay for infrastructure improvements within the district for a set period of time. What period of time? Who decides? Is this going to a committee? When does it actually start? One of the biggest things I noticed is we don't own Route 1. Providence Highway is a state highway, so why would we put our taxpayers' money into a state highway?

So I understand the beautification,
but I also -- if this was earlier in the night,
I'd say let's take a stroll down Providence
Highway, but since it is this time, let's do a
quick jog. We'll go by the Dedham Mall. The

2.4

Dedham Mall at one point was a haven for gocarts and dirt bikes and everything else. Now there's trees, there's development there. There's been improvements, for one reason.

You go a little further up, the old
Lechmere's, if anyone remembers that, that was
asphalt jungle across. Now, we have development
there. We have trees. It's beautified, for one
reason, the Dedham Mall, same scenario. Further
down, Legacy Place, all for the same reason,
because this town happens to have a very
powerful planning board that makes sure that
they do their job the right way and have the
development do the beautification.

It's the most powerful planning board in Norfolk County. You just have to go back in time and look. Route 1 was disgusting. Route 1 now is developed. It certainly has plenty of businesses, but there's no need to actually take any future monies and then put it into a state road, when it's actually done now in-house with the planning board and the way they do their due diligence and make the applicants do everything that's needed. Please do not support Article

1.3

27. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Driscoll. See, I told you. I think Jim just got up to save me the embarrassment.

MR. DRISCOLL: Jim Driscoll, District

4. When you had the public hearings on this,
how many Dedham businesses came and supported
it?

THE MODERATOR: I recognize John Sisson, the economic development director for the town.

MR. SISSON: Thank you, Moderator.

Through the Chair, we have really reached out to the voters of Dedham. It's up to the voters of Dedham. We have made sure that property owners that would be included in the district -- as the best of our ability, we have reached out to some of them. We would like to -- you know, there's not a reason to reach out to all of them unless the district is created.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay, but you didn't answer my question. How many came and supported it?

MR. SISSON: Private property owners

2.4

in support, I don't know. We didn't take roll call, so I do not have a number for you.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.

 $\label{eq:The MODERATOR: Mr. Panagopoulos and Mr. Civian.} \\$  Mr. Civian.

MR. PANAGOPOULOS: George

Panagopoulos, Precinct 7, town meeting member, as well as an associate member to the Zoning Board of Appeals. I want to start by saying that at our last Zoning Board of Appeals meeting, the Zoning Board of Appeals unanimously supports this article. We issued a memorandum in support of this article. This article does not impose any tax burden to the taxpayer.

Right now, town meeting would be in charge of this money, and we can come up with what the percentage of the funds that would go into the diff fund are. Questions that we got are, you know, how do we make up for this.

That's easy. This is through the new growth, new businesses, new ventures, and new development or redevelopment that would happen on Route 1. And I keep wanting to tell the town meeting members not to forget that we are in

control of all aspects of this money.

1.3

2.4

Over the next 30 years, this would produce double digits in millions. This is for the future of Dedham. Some of us won't be here in the next 30 years, but our grandchildren and our children will be here, and we want to create a better town for them. With this money, we can bring something to the table when negotiating with the Commonwealth. Right now, this isn't a proposal but an idea. I want you all to think how nice it would be to see a continuation of the VFW with trees and canopies extending to Legacy Place.

Right now, initiatives such as this can bring more green space, safety, development and new redevelopment to our town, without encroaching on residential neighborhoods. And I repeat without encroaching on residential neighborhoods. I hope that we can all stand up and support our economic developer, John Sisson, in working to vote yes on this article. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Panagopoulos. Are there any other speakers on

Page 151 this article? If not, we'll vote. The vote 1 2 comes on the original motion that it be so 3 voted. All those in favor, please say aye. 4 (Aye) 5 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 6 (No) 7 THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it. 8 Any question on that? 9 If not, please turn in your lanyards, 10 and remember that there is that voluntary email 11 form. It's been moved by Mr. Warren and seconded by Ms. Keaveney that the 2019 spring 12 annual town meeting be dissolved. All those in 13 14 favor, please say aye. 15 (Aye) 16 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The 17 ayes have it. Thank you. Goodnight, and God 18 Bless. 19 (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded 20 at 10:15 p.m.) 21 22 23

2.4

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS NORFOLK, ss.

I, ARLENE R. BOYER, a Certified Court
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
certify:

That the proceedings herein was recorded by me and transcribed by me; and that such transcript is a true record of the proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and notarial seal this 5th day of June 2019.

Arlene R. Boyer, CVR Notary Public

My Commission Expires November 21, 2025