Town of Dedham Annual Report 2011/2012 Chapter I - Elections and Town Meetings

Article 35 would designate a portion of the "Striar" property off Sprague Street as a dog park, under the control and management of the Parks and Recreation Commission, and remove its designation as park land.

36. TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF PORTION OF DEXTER SCHOOL PROPERTY

ARTICLE THIRTY-SIX: By the School Committee. To see if the Town will vote to transfer the care, custody, management and control of a portion of the property known as the Dexter School property and further described in a Deed dated May 5, 1960 and recorded on May 6, 1960 with the Norfolk County Registry of Deeds in Book 3813, Page 436, consisting of a two acre parcel, more or less, from the Board of Selectmen for the purpose of a Senior Center to the School Committee for school purposes, or take any other action relative thereto. Referred to Finance Committee for study and report. BY 2/3 VOTE VOTED: That it be so voted.

Article 36 would return that portion of the Dexter School property on High Street, formerly designated as the site of a new Senior Center, to the control and management of the School Committee for school purposes.

37. TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF OLD AVERY SCHOOL PROPERTY

ARTICLE THIRTY-SEVEN: By the Board of Selectmen and the School Committee. To see if the Town will vote to transfer the care, custody, management and control of the parcel of land with improvements thereon located at 123 High Street, Dedham, formerly known as the Avery School property and further approximately shown on a sketch plan called former Avery School, 123 High Street, on file with the Town Clerk's Office, from the School Committee for school purposes to the Board of Selectmen for general municipal purposes and for the purpose of leasing, and further to authorize the Board of Selectmen to lease the foregoing property or any portion thereof on such terms as the Board of Selectmen deems appropriate, or take any other action relative thereto. Referred to Finance Committee for study and report.

VOTED: That it be so voted.

BY 2/3 VOTE

Article 37 would "decommission" the old Avery School property, transfer its control and maintenance to the Board of Selectmen and authorize the Board of Selectmen to lease the premises.

38. TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF TOWN PROPERTIES FOR PUBLIC AUCTION

ARTICLE THIRTY-EIGHT: By the Open Space Committee. To see if the Town will vote to transfer the care, custody, management and control of the parcels of land identified below from the board or officer currently holding the same and for the purposes for which they are currently held to the Board of Selectmen for the purpose of conveyance, and to authorize the Board of Selectmen to convey such parcels by public auction or otherwise, in compliance with applicable laws, on such terms and conditions and for such consideration as the Selectmen deem appropriate, which parcels are approximately shown on plans entitled "2012 Surplus Land" and on file with the Town Clerk, and described as follows:

it be indefinitely postponed. Is there discussion on Article 35? There being none, the vote comes on the motion to indefinitely postpone. All those in favor, please say aye.

(2

. 7

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, nay. The ayes have it. Article 36, transfer of the Dexter School property, transfer of town-owned land. It does require a two-thirds vote. Is there any discussion on Article 36? If not, we will vote on the motion that it be so voted. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. We move to Article 37, transfer of control of the old Avery School property. This has been passed by the Chair. It requires a two-thirds vote. The Chair recognizes Selectman Michael Butler.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

My name is Mike Butler. I'm with the board of selectmen. I'd like to read a summary of remarks of how we got here with Article 37. The board of selectmen created the Avery reuse committee in

--5

_

•

. 12

_ -

2010. Its purpose was to determine reuse options that would be, one, most desirable to the community; and two, feasible to implement.

The membership was comprised of eight people, one each from the board of selectmen, the planning board, the finance committee, the school committee, one member from Precinct 3, from Precinct 6, a member of the East Dedham business community, and one at-large member.

Transparency and public participation were priorities throughout. The committee held ten public meetings in 2011 to solicit public participation. All meetings provided extensive opportunities for public comment. Scores of town residents took advantage to voice their concerns, hopes, and preferences.

Notice of the intent of the process and next meetings were sent to all residents of Precincts 3 and 6. Separately, an email list of interested neighbors and residents was developed, and all were regularly apprised of meeting dates. All meetings were also posted at town hall, and copies of the minutes filed with the town clerk.

Ten different town agency heads

discussed programming needs and were asked to determine if the site was appropriate for municipal use. Members of the committee made site visits. They visited sites of a former Newton Middle School, the Wellesley Community Center, the Norwood Civic Center, the Norwood Middle School. They also visited a former high school in Concord that had been converted to an art center, and the Milton Art Center, which has been a former branch library.

The committee voted unanimously to recommend. The Avery is leased to a non-profit entity for the express purpose of creating an arts and community center to provide inter-generational arts and educational programming to seniors, youth, and the community at large.

The center would be required to be financially self-sustainable and would not require town funding to operate. The center would rent studio, gallery, and storage space to artists with a preference given to Dedham artists. It would not include housing in keeping with neighborhood preferences.

The center would also provide space to neighborhood meetings, social and cultural events,

16 [.]

performances. Those are all educational and municipal uses, such as a polling place or for mini town meetings, and perhaps some limited recreational and/or after-school programming for youth.

The need for facility space by local non-profits, the charitable organizations that serve the community, could also be served by the new center, depending on available space. The board of selectmen has unanimously endorsed this recommendation and respectfully request your support.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Butler.
Mr. Lawlor.

MR. LAWLOR: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Good evening. My name is Andy Lawlor, and it's my privilege to represent Precinct 6. I agree with this article's purpose to transfer the 3 1/2 acres and 35,000 square feet of building at the Avery site from the school committee to the board of selectmen. I question, however, the broad unrestricted authority this article grants the board of selectmen to lease the property.

My concern is raised based on the vote

and stated intent of the board of selectmen to transfer the property -- or I should say lease it for a long term to some form of artists' center.

Now, in the hierarchy of public needs and important wants, I'm not quite sure where providing studio and business space for artists lies, but I have a firm conviction that that need is less than public safety and less than the welfare of our senior citizens.

At present, we have a documented inadequate police station. We have insufficient space for a senior center. So I question deeming this property surplus at the time when we have two very important public infrastructure needs.

Now, I'm mindful that the police department and the Council on Aging told the Avery reuse committee that the Avery School as it's currently constituted doesn't meet their needs and wants. So I suggest to you that it was the wrong question or the answer to the question does the Avery School as it currently exists meet your needs for a police station, for a senior center, and the answer was obviously no was the wrong question.

I suggest to you that the right

:

questions weren't asked, and at present, we don't have the right answers. The right question, using the senior center to illustrate my point, would have been what would it cost to renovate the current Avery School, just like they did in Norwood with the junior high school, into a senior center.

And the follow-up question to that is okay, it would cost "X" amount of dollars to renovate the Avery School into a senior center, and we use "X" amount of public land, and it would be so close to senior housing. The follow-up questions would be all right, what's your alternative to the Avery School for a senior center? How much would that cost? How much open space would that use? How far away is that from senior housing and other list of questions. And the same analysis I suggest should and still can be done with respect to the siting of the police station.

Now, in all fairness to the Avery reuse committee, many of whom are my friends, and I have a great deal of respect for, they never answered these questions, because they weren't provided the funding to hire an architectural and engineering

firm to provide that hard-core data that you would need to evaluate the feasibility of the Avery School for our two current public infrastructure needs.

So in sum, it's my suggestion that until we identify and settle on a cheaper and better location for both the police station and the senior center, that we ought not to enter into a long-term lease for a much lesser public need. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Lawlor.
The Chair recognizes Ms. Labadini.

MS. LABADINI: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Michelle Labadini, District 5. My question on this article, is this building accessible to all? Because if we're going to make it accessible, that's going to incur financial hardship. So if someone can answer that question, that would be appreciated. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Does somebody want to tackle that question?

MR. MacDONALD: Can you just repeat the question, please?

MS. LABADINI: The question is is the building in question accessible to all? Last time

I was in the building, it didn't have an elevator, didn't have a ramp to the front door. If we're going to use this as an art center open to classes for youth and seniors, I would think that we would need that accessible to all.

MR. MacDONALD: So the building currently is partially accessible. It does have a lift to get from the first floor to the second floor, and it does have a ramp to get from the main building to the gymnasium and the library.

MS. LABADINI: Is there a lift from the gymnasium to the floors, or is the lift elsewhere in the building?

MR. MacDONALD: It's located near the far staircase.

MS. LABADINI: Near the gymnasium?

Because the gymnasium has access for accessibility to get into the building, but I'm questioning if the classes were to take place in other areas of the building, would it be accessible to all?

MR. MacDONALD: It would be accessible from the first floor to the second floor, yes. If you enter the building, and you go to the right all the way to the end, there's a staircase there.

That staircase has a lift that goes to the second floor.

MS. LABADINI: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's the first floor lift.

MR. MacDONALD: I apologize, you're right, to the first floor. You're correct.

THE MODERATOR: The Chair recognizes Mr. Panagopoulos.

MR. PANAGOPOULOS: George Panagopoulos,
Precinct 7. Forgive me, I didn't come too
prepared. I might be rambling a little bit. We've
been discussing for many different years now senior
centers and art centers and things like this. I
just wanted to stand up in support of this Mother
Brooks Performing Arts and Community Center. I
think this would be a great idea.

The youth, myself, I'm involved in art.

I'd like a place where I can go and take a class.

I can, you know, work on my painting skills,

creative skills. It's a way to get the community

involved again. There are so many young people who

are out just walking around. They have nothing to

do, walking aimlessly through town. They're not

involved in sports. They're starting to take their own path in life.

I think that this is a center that people can feel at home. They can go and they can use their creative skills and put them to good use. I've had a lot of support through Facebook with people contacting me seeing how they can get involved in something like this. I hope that we can support it. The school has been -- we've been working for many different years trying to find uses.

I think we should take a progressive movement forward and start implementing new programs, and I think this is a great idea, and this is a great new beginning, so I urge everybody to vote in favor of the granting the board of selectmen permission to use this building in the way that they see necessary.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Panagopoulos. Mr. Chaffee.

MR. CHAFFEE: Thank you, Mr. Moderator, town officials, my fellow town meeting members. I recognize and appreciate the hard work of the Avery reuse committee, and I don't have a problem with

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the transfer even of the property over to the board of selectmen.

What I do have a problem with is a long-term lease for this building. As Andy Lawlor stated, we still don't have a senior center. In order for our police department to have a fully-functioning state-of-the-art headquarters, it's going to require a lot more land and a lot bigger slot than what we currently have at 600 High Street. So I think we really need to be careful here.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Chaffee.
Mr. Driscoll.

MR. DRISCOLL: James Driscoll, District
4. I just have one question, and that's if a longterm lease is given to this facility, and it turns
out that the project is not self-supporting, what
takes place then?

MS. MacDONALD: Mr. Moderator, a point of information.

THE MODERATOR: A point of information from Selectmen MacDonald.

MS. MacDONALD: Thank you. I just want to comment on the term long-term lease that's being

used. What the article does is, in fact, give the board of selectmen the opportunity to lease the building. The process that would be used next is for us to put out a request for proposals with certain factors, requirements, qualifications, and actually determine what our term of lease would be.

It is not the board's position at this time that long-term lease being 20 years, 30 years be the case. It's certainly nothing we've discussed as a group, but in looking at how these types of projects have worked in other communities, it always starts with a shorter opportunity, and it is the board's understanding and belief that the recommendation of the Avery reuse committee to reoccupy that building in the short term is very important for the neighborhood.

So the idea is to propose immediate reuse of that building in some way that benefits the community and not leave the building empty and the town paying for the maintenance and utility and insurance costs. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms.

MacDonald. Mrs. Schortmann.

MS. SCHORTMANN: I'm Kathleen Schortmann

from District 4. I would like to actually propose a compromise alternate amendment to the current article that would limit the initial leasing period 3 to three years. The premise would be that this would be a reasonable amount of time for the art 5 6 center to determine if their project is feasible, while also giving the town ample time to determine if there are viable locations for the police 8 station and the senior center.

> And if at the end of the three years, the Avery School location is needed for one of these necessary town buildings, this would give the town the right to reserve the use of the land.

THE MODERATOR: So Mrs. Schortmann is proposing that the article be amended to limit the authority of the board of selectmen to lease the foregoing property or any portion thereof for not longer than an initial lease of three years. there a second to that substitute?

> UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Second.

THE MODERATOR: Now, there are a Okay. lot of people who want to speak. I think I've got everybody's name, so I just ask that you be patient. Mr. Divirgilio.

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

. 24

MR. DIVIRGILIO: Dominic Divirgilio,
Precinct 6. Mr. Moderator, a clarification of the article.

THE MODERATOR: Yes, sir.

MR. DIVIRGILIO: The way this article is written, does this allow the board of selectmen, if three members sort of agree, to sell this property?

THE MODERATOR: The way the article is worded, it says for the purposes of leasing. So it would be my interpretation that a sale of the building is not within the scope of the article.

MR. DIVIRGILIO: Or deem appropriate, or to take whatever action necessary.

THE MODERATOR: The action necessary refers to the leasing.

MR. DIVIRGILIO: The leasing. I would recommend that it be indefinitely postponed for a year until we determine what other needs we need for that building. As it's already mentioned, we're looking for a police station. We're looking for a senior center. There are a lot of town needs that we need to look at before we lease anything out to other people. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

7 8

Divirgilio. We have an unusual situation here. We have two amendments pending. One is to indefinitely postpone, and one is to amend the article, so keep that in mind as you line up to speak. Mr. McDermott.

MR. McDERMOTT: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator, Shaw McDermott from District 1. I rise
to support the article as originally proposed and
to oppose the amendments that have been since put
on the floor.

First, as to the obvious point, this has been the subject of a great deal of thought and analysis over a long period of time. Many people gave a lot of their volunteer time, including some distinguished architects who looked into the project and who concluded that among the various array of things that could be done on that site, that which was proposed was the best.

Secondly, it's a little hard to understand how we want to force the police department to take a facility that they have no interest in taking. Thirdly, it's a little difficult to bring up the senior center without full context, because we do know that there is a

vibrant proposal to put a senior center effectively in the barn on the Endicott Estate, which has the support of the Council on Aging. So if they don't want to go into the building, I'm not sure why we would want to force them into that building.

Fourthly, despite my esteem and affection for some people who have already spoken who say that we need to continue this analysis and that they have concern about the nature of the power given to the board of selectmen, what is true is that if you're going to do a lease, you have to have the people who are empowered to give that lease some flexibility.

A short-term lease of a period of three years, as was indicated, doesn't take into account some capital improvements that the potential group that would lease the property might be willing to undertake if they had a long enough period to do that. In other words, if you want to get a really nice facility that is really vibrant and meets the community needs, you may have to have a longer period of lease, and I think we can trust our board of selectmen who have taken a lot of care to think about this to come up with a term of lease that

makes sense with capital improvements included.

Fifthly, this is a thing that has won widespread community support in the very area in which it's located, and there's good reason for that. If you all remember the former senator of the United States, Paul Tsongas, when he was a city councilor of Lowell and then later as United States senator, one of the things that he did for the City of Lowell was to propose a lot of reuse programs for mill facilities and the like.

His widow, the current congressman of the United States, Nicky Tsongas, has said that one of the best things they did was to encourage the use by the arts community of some of these old facilities, and what it set in motion is exactly what we could predict will happen here, and that is that it would be a revitalization of small business throughout the whole Mother Brook community, not just at this location, but elsewhere.

There is a kind of a virtuous cycle that takes place when you get people who are willing to get into spaces that are unusual, and they create other centers of energy around and about, and it tends to generate a higher use of other buildings

and sites along the same area; in this case, the water course at Mother Brook. So you could predict that this is actually going to be an excellent thing to generate higher revenue for the town in various forms, and all the tax revenues considered.

So I strongly endorse this thing, and I think it's -- we've given it enough time and enough study, and the moment is now. We should support the original motion on the floor. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Thank you for your patience.

MS. ZEOLLA: Thank you. Martha Zeolla, Precinct 6. This would be really good for our neighborhood. I think that's my main concern is that it would be really good for us all. The fin. comm. reviewed it and approved it. The police I think would stay where they are, rather than go here, and the seniors would go without a senior center, rather than go here, so I don't think it's up to us to make those decisions for them and for me.

The selectmen will be developing an RFP, and during that process, they will research whether this is viable, whether the proposals are viable,

whether the building is one that can be successful with this, and they have the management experience both in their careers and in their managing of properties now, so that they can make a good decision on that. So I think we're ahead of ourselves to be worrying about an arts center that won't be successful. That's what we'll go and process to be looked at now. I'm in favor of this. I hope that you'll vote for it. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mrs. Pyle.

MS. PYLE: Margot Pyle, Precinct 1, member of the Council on Aging. The Council on Aging took a very serious look at the proposal that this be a suitable place for the senior center, and it simply isn't. Winter weather, since we're not going to have another winter like last one, unfortunately, for quite a while, ice and snow would make it very difficult for seniors to get there, and, of course, the amount of rehabbing that has to be done is extremely expensive.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs. Pyle. Yes, ma'am, go right ahead.

MS. EMERY-BUTLER: Cecilia Emery-Butler,
District 4. When the person suggested indefinitely

postponing this article, there is no money in the budget for fiscal year 2013 to support the Avery School as it is.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs. Butler.
Mrs. Keogh.

MS. KEOGH: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. I think we really need to get to the root of this issue. It's all about trust and faith in our board of selectmen. They represent us, and I believe that they will make the best decision for this community. So I would ask that we support the article. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs. Keogh.
The Chair recognizes Mr. Podolski of the finance
committee.

MR. PODOLSKI: Where were you when we needed you? When I started on this committee, we went through every department in the town trying to interest them to take this school over or move there or give us some suggestions, and talked to everyone, the school department, everybody, and we didn't get one shred of light out of any of them. It was the most difficult committee that I've ever served on, and I've been around here for 50 years.

And I really enjoyed the people in

Precinct 3, because they came in, they attend

meetings, and they came to every meeting. They

were there in attendance making sure we're doing

the right thing. They don't want any rental

apartments there. They don't want other things in

there, and that's one of the things that we were

trying to get to, and we had --

I don't want to offend anybody when I bring these things up, but every department, when they come in, they weren't interested. So you put yourself in our position sitting there. The Council on Aging wasn't interested. Nobody in the town, rather than name names, they weren't interested.

So the committee started to go out into the community, visiting other towns, seeing what they were doing. Mr. Lawlor did state one thing. We didn't have any money. We had a brief overview by the architect, but it wouldn't hold up anything. And also, there was no inkling from the town that we were to do anything but to go in there, see what we could find, and then present it to the selectmen. We had no authority to do anything.

I will say this. I'm not an art lover.

I'll probably never go there if there's an art

studio, but I'll tell you what. There's interest
in other towns. It's busting at the seams. So you

start hearing that stuff. You see the big old

school there. It's going to cost us a lot of money

for us to remodel. And so that's what turned the

committee towards this thing here.

If they could have found something from the Town of Dedham to go in there and really utilize the school, we would have done that. We didn't get that from the townspeople, from the police department, fire department, Council on Aging or anybody. So just put yourself in our position. I guess that's it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Podolski.
Mrs. Lombardi.

MS. LOMBARDI: Hi, Eileen Lombardi,
Precinct 6. My only concern is if we lease it, we
become landlords. And I know the art center is
very good, but who's going to pay for the
maintenance? Who's going to pay for the
reservation? Who's going to pay for the lighting?
When you say it's not going to cost Dedham a cent

to taxpayers, I find that very hard to believe, because there is no way we're going to come out even on this. We're going to end up paying for it, and that's my concern.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs.

Lombardi. Mrs. Schoenfeld.

MS. SCHOENFELD: Good evening. Cheryl Schoenfeld, Precinct 7. I think that we're missing the point on this. We're debating an arts center there, when actually this article is whether we're going to vote to transfer the care and custody to the board of selectmen, and I think that's what we should speak.

No one is against -- I'm not against an arts center. I got emails presuming that I was against it. I never said that. But let's speak to the article. An arts center's wonderful. I just want to make sure that whoever leases this building is able to pay for it, pay for the upkeep, the maintenance, the taxes, the insurance, the water and sewer, the landscaping, the electricity.

That's what you want to make sure. It's nothing to do with us dissing an arts center. We're not. We want something like that. The

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

community needs it. But let's speak to the article, transferring it to the board of selectmen. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Ms. Moran. MS. MORAN: Lisa Moran, Precinct 6. Similar to those that have already spoken, I'm not opposed to transferring it back to the selectmen. That's the natural process of what needs to be My only concern is because the accounting brain kicks in, fiscal year '13 starts July 1.

RFPs are going to take a while to kick in, whether

it's an arts center or whatever comes in there.

Whoever is there isn't going to be there July 1. My concern, based on what Derek said last week in mini town meeting, there is not a dime in this budget coming up to cover anything for this Avery School. I just spent ten years there, ten years with my kids as we transferred to the new school, and it's falling down around you. So my only concern is if something happens before somebody gets in there, whether it's the arts center or whatever it is, how is the town paying for it?

There could very well be some big costs

7 8

that come up, and we're going to have to pay for it if it is not transferred and if it's not under lease, and I'm just questioning. I'd like to hear what a game plan might be when you take from some other pocket. I'm a little concerned about that.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mrs. Gula.

MS. GULA: Barbara Gula, District 6. I served on the Avery reuse committee, and it was quite an experience. Once we transfer it back to the selectmen -- and I hope you will vote for that and not vote for the three-year lease. That's too short if, indeed, an arts center goes in there. The one thing an arts center will do for East Dedham is bring a vibrancy to the community that it needs. Please support this article.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs. Gula. Mr. Chaffee.

MR. CHAFFEE: Bob Chaffee, District 6, one more time. I don't think I got up there and said I wanted to force the Dedham Police Department into an existing building, nor do I want to force our seniors into an existing building. What I'm talking about is a huge lot of land that runs all the way from Mother Brook or the mill pond as we

call it, and I'm a lifelong East Dedham resident, all the way to High Street that could be used for multi-municipal purposes. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. Mr. Jay O'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: Hello, folks, Jay O'Brien, planning board. I sat on the Avery reuse committee as the vice chairman, and to be honest with you, it was quite a long process, almost a year. And as I was going over this, this is a long process and all that, a lot of these questions were raised, and I see the same — if we stick to what the vote is, and Ms. Schoenfeld brought up, you know, basically the right question.

There's really only three things you can do with this. It's either, A, reuse it, and we asked every chair to come up -- from every municipality to come up and see if they would be interested in using this facility at all, and most said it didn't fit their needs either logistically, financially, it would be a huge cost.

As an ironworker, I've been to the bare bones of buildings. I didn't really need to have the money as a report or an architect telling me

what it would take to restructure a building like this. I understand what it costs. It would be a huge amount of money to restructure this whether as a senior center, whether as a police station, and try to force people into something they didn't want to be in to begin with.

So what's the second thing, sell it. I think we've been through this before. The master plan basically specifically said any surplus buildings we have, try to reuse it to the best of the ability that we could. Just look at the Ames School. We let that go. There's talk about now taking it by eminent domain.

Now, I'm not saying that the Avery
School is a historical building, but it's a strong
building. That thing was built to last, and it
will probably be here for another hundred years if
allowed to. So sell it, you know, what are you
going to sell it for.

So the next thing we looked at after nobody wanted to use the building, finally somebody came and had an interest in using this building for viability. Then actually the neighborhood came out and went for it. I'm an East Dedham kid. I've

been there my whole life. My family's been there for multi-generations, and I never saw so much output that came out there and specifically asked for an arts community center.

Now, I'm not here to promote that.

Really, as Ms. Schoenfeld said, let's stick to what the merit of the vote is. It basically is to give it to the selectmen to vote for a lease. Now, that's the only way this building is actually going to be refurbished, is somebody else needs to come in, because obviously the town doesn't have the money to do this. Where are we going to get the money to do this?

Everybody can come up here and give a nay vote and say no, but where is the money going to come from? What's the alternative? Are we going to sit here for another year, another couple of months, while the building remains vacated. We actually have somebody sitting out here. It just so happens there's an arts community that's willing to come in here that actually has -- is looking, as we speak, money to be dropped in here to start doing some of this infrastructure that needs to be done.

I heard somewhere, well, let's -- the three-year idea is actually not a bad idea, but for infrastructure work, as Mr. McDermott says, three years is not enough for any kind of capital improvements. But if you have somebody that's coming in here looking at what they call in the real estate business, a turnkey operation. They come in there, they fix the building up, they use it for their specific purpose of use, and that's what we need to find.

The selectmen need to lease this out to somebody who is willing to put money into it for their particular use. If it doesn't work out, Option A, Option B is still available. The building is still ours. At least give this time for it to work, whether it's five years or longer. So that's all I'm saying. This was a long process. I'm thankful for the neighborhood coming out there and basically asking and saying this is what we want. So again, I appreciate your time.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. O'Brien.
Mr. Jim MacDonald.

MR. MacDONALD: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. First, you know, as I sit listening to

all this discussion, this is really a great position to be in, and you know why it's a great position, is because this body a few years ago voted to believe and to reinvest in the East Dedham community by building a brand new school that is a state-of-the-art facility that our children and grandchildren and relatives and friends have now to go and to get a great education by a great school system.

But to do nothing with this article, to indefinitely postpone, will accomplish nothing other than to create another vacant building in our town, which we don't need. To do nothing will put this once again that Dedham can't -- nobody can decide what they want to do. But we put together the board of selectmen, the Avery reuse committee who spent a long time interviewing, discussing, and you've heard Bill Podolski give a great history of what they did.

So I think we should look at what that committee did and the work that they did and their recommendation. And quite frankly, I think this board of selectmen has proven over the years that we're a very transparent board, that everything we

do is either up or noticed. We have frank discussions during our meeting.

So I would ask that the two motions that were made that, first of all, the three years, that you reject that, because if you accept that, then you're not giving this board of selectmen the tools in order to do it correctly, in order to find a tenant through an RFP, a procurement process that will be open, that will be public, that would be chaired by our town administrator, who is the chief procurement officer of the town.

And one of the parts of the RFP, which Sarah and Mike have been working on, is that there will be no town subsidy. The question was asked earlier I don't want the town to pay anything. I don't want the town to have to be able to subsidize, so to speak. Well, the proposal that we would put forth will not have a subsidy from the town, that it will be self-sufficient. And, in fact, many others have more eloquently stated the benefits to this community.

So I would ask that you support the recommendation of fin. comm., that you not accept any of the amendments, and that you let us, the

board of selectmen, proceed with what you elected us to do, and that is to continue to move this town forward, to continue to revitalize neighborhood, and to continue to revitalize the East Dedham community. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

MacDonald. Yes, sir.

?: ?, Precinct 5. So here's my
problem. I agree with everyone. I want to go be
an artist, absolutely. I'm also an accountant, and
I'm looking for actually someone to give me an
answer, because, again, I agree with everyone. So
we voted a few years ago to build a brand new
school, because I thought a school was falling
apart. The kids weren't safe in there anymore. I
may be wrong, but the kids weren't safe in there.
We needed a new facility.

We're talking about handing it over to someone. And again, I'm confused, because the committee said Mother Brook arts should do it, but we're developing an RFP to go out for anyone who wants to take it. Do we know if a group takes over, they can financially pay for a 100,000-dollar roof, fixing the walls, making it accessible, all

the issues? I'm just confused. I'm wondering does anyone have any of those answers?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. Yes, ma'am.

MS. McINTOSH: Susie McIntosh, District

1. I propose that we move the question.

the question, which means cutting off debate, as you know from our previous venture down this path. It requires a two-thirds vote. It's not debatable, so we're going to go right to the vote. If you want to stop debate and move to consideration of the three motions before you on this article, you should vote yes. If you want to continue discussing it, you should vote no. All those in favor of closing debate, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.

(Nay)

THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds vote.

Now, we have the original motion, which is that it
be so voted, we have an amendment from Mrs.

Schortmann, which limits the initial term of any
lease to three years, and we have an amendment from

Mr. Divirgilio, which indefinitely postpones the entire article. The town's bylaw makes provision for what do you do when you have more than one idea about how to dispose of an article.

MR. DIVIRGILIO: Mr. Moderator, is it possible for me to withdraw my motion?

THE MODERATOR: Sure, absolutely.

MR. DIVIRGILIO: I make a motion to withdraw my recommendation that we indefinitely postpone this and limit it to three years.

off that I read the book. You could have left it for a few more minutes, Archie, and let me get through that. Thank you, Mr. Divirgilio. So we are now voting in a more simple fashion. The amendment from Mrs. Schortmann would amend the original motion by limiting the term of the original lease, the initial lease and the initial lease only, to three years. If you are in favor of that, you should vote yes.

If that vote fails -- even if that vote passes, we would then go and vote on the final motion, and the final motion, if you vote yes the first time, will include that three-year provision.

If you vote it down, it will be exactly as it's printed in the book with no limitation on the term

of the lease.

We're going to vote on Mrs. Schortmann's amendment that any lease be limited to three years. Now, what I will tell you is that if you approve that, we still have to take one more vote. How about if I put it that way. So if you're in favor of limiting the lease to three years, you should vote yes. If you think that's not a good idea, you should vote no.

Is that sufficiently clear?

So all those in favor of the amendment which would limit the term of an initial lease to three years, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Those opposed, say no.
(Nay)

THE MODERATOR: The amendment fails.

Now we go to vote on the original motion unamended, because you've chosen not to amend the original motion. The original motion is that it be so voted exactly as it is written. This is the position that has been promulgated by Mr. MacDonald and Mr. Butler, et cetera. All those in favor, please say

1.6

aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.

(Nay)

THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it. It is a two-thirds vote. Is there any question that it's a two-thirds vote? There being none, we move to Article 38. Article 38 also provides for the transfer of land. It requires a two-thirds vote. Is there any discussion on Article 38? We're almost done, folks, almost done. We're within minutes of going home. You've already missed Dancing With The Stars. Just hold on a few seconds. Is tonight decision night? It can't be decision night, because my wife is still here, and she'd be gone.

Article 38, all those in favor of the original motion, please say aye.

(Aye)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.

(Nay)

THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it. The original motion carries. We move to Article 40.

Article 40's been passed. Is there discussion on

ì