TOWN OF DEDHAM

In Re:

DEDHAM TOWN MEETING

DATE: Monday, May 16, 2022

PLACE: Dedham High School Auditorium

140 Whiting Avenue

Dedham, MA

COMMENCING: 7:10 p.m.

These

PROCEEDINGS

THE MODERATOR: We are very close to the quorum, so in order to save some time, we're going to ask Town Clerk Paul Munchbach to introduce you to electronic voting. Clerk Munchbach.

MR. MUNCHBACH: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. So everybody upon check-in should have received an electronic voting device. If you have not, please make sure you go to the front foyer and get one. You should have also got a name badge. Your name badge will have the electronic voting device's number on it so that it coincides with the back of the device -- you'll see a number on it -- and that will be your device for the evening.

A little bit about the device.

internet. They're used by a reliable radio
frequency and are secure. If you leave your

seat for any reason, and you leave the

devices are used -- they're not used by

auditorium, please check with one of our staff

members that are out in the foyer, and leave

your device with them. Please do not exit the school building with the device. They're expensive units, and they won't work outside the

auditorium at this time.

When you look at your device, it's very simple to use. There are only two choices for you to use when using electronic voting.

The choices are it is, number 1, green for yes, 2, red for no. So when you're voting on the articles tonight, you'll have two choices.

The first choice will be either yes or no. If it's yes, you'll vote with the green button, which is number 1. If it's a no vote, you'll vote number 2, which is red. The abstention button, the yellow button, has been disabled. We did that just to make sure that it didn't affect any of our quantum votes for the evening.

When you do vote on the article, the moderator will let you know how much time that you have. It will either be 20 or 30 seconds, depending on the article. The moderator will let you know at that point in time during the vote that the vote is now open. At that point,

you will then be instructed to either vote yes or no on your device. Once you vote, please look at your device. A number will pop up, and the word "okay." That means your results -- excuse me, your vote has been cast.

So, for an example, if you were voting yes on an article, the number 1 would show up, and it would say "okay." If you are voting no on the article, the number 2 would show up on your device and the screen, and then it would say "okay." If by some chance that you change your vote within the time during the 20 or 30 seconds, you can change your vote up until the vote closes at that point.

So remember, your first vote cast will be your only vote cast if you do not do it within the 20 seconds or the 30 seconds that's allotted. After that, your vote will be cast. When the votes are cast, you'll look up on the screen, and you'll see we will have a total number of votes that will show up first. Then the next -- when the vote is closed, the moderator will say show the votes. The votes will be shown, and it will have a yes, no, and

1.3

then it will be decided whether it's passed by majority or by a two-thirds vote.

If you look, once again, at your electronic voting device, we had a handout similar to the handout that we had at the mini town meeting, and it lays it out pretty easily for you. Green, or 1A for yes, red, or 2B for

1.3

no.

The only thing that I ask you for at the end of the night is that -- we have signs posted. We know everyone will be anxious to leave. We know it's hot, and we'll try to move through this as quick as possible, while making sure that we get the legislative business done, but just make sure that you drop your electronic voting device into one of the drop boxes or hand it to one of the town clerk staff or one of the board of registrars at the back of the foyer or the hall.

Does anybody have any questions about the use? In the case of a roll call vote, if a vote is challenged, and 15 town meeting representatives challenge a vote, we will then go to a roll call vote. What will happen at

that point, the moderator will then reread the article, let you know about the yes or no vote, and then you'll be instructed to vote. again, you'll have either 20 to 30 seconds to vote. Nothing will change. If you're voting yes, it will be 1A, green, for yes, 2B, red, for no, and then at that point, you'll be able to look on the screen.

> Because we have 273 town meeting representatives, the screen will scroll through all 273, and then what will happen at that point a light blue -- the screen under your name will be light blue. That means your vote has been casted.

> Once the vote is closed, it will then show everybody's name, and it will show if you voted yes or no by your name. It will either be red or green. If the screen is gray, that means that you did not vote. It could mean that you weren't here, or you decided not to vote on that, but that's the way it will show on the screen.

Once again, we ask you to be -- this is our first time using electronic voting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

have done a lot of research over the two past years to get this to town meeting and to present this to you. We ask you to be patient with us. We're doing the best we can that we think will help represent and get our legislative business done. And once again, if you have any questions, please let us know, and at this time I'll turn it over to the moderator.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Just a couple of additional points on the system. We will be using voice votes for some very routine and noncontroversial issues. So for example, when you pass on an item, it's not really a vote, but you'll do that by voice. If a non-town meeting representative who's a resident of the town wishes to speak, that will be put to a vote.

I should say that in an effort to understand what works well and what doesn't work well in town meeting, we convene something called a town meeting forum, and Sarah Sally, Kevin Preston, Cherylann Sheehan, Michael Butler, and myself, with some help from Susan Fay and Maryellen McDonough, had hosted a bunch of town meeting representatives in another ten

or 15 sent in by email or phone, their ideas.

1.3

2.4

So you're going to see a few changes during the night, and I will try to correlate those back. And one of those is is that the previous relaxed approach to letting non-town meeting members speak will stop. If somebody is not a town meeting representative, we'll ask for a vote from the body to allow them to speak. I would hope that in most cases, you would extend that courtesy, but that would be up to you.

The two-thirds voting method is programmed into the system. It is .666667, which is as close to divide by two, multiply by three as is possible in a system of this sort, and it is consistent with also the process recommended by town meeting time, which is the book of procedures and rules that we do.

I just want to reiterate if you leave the hall, to go to the rest room, go out and get some fresh air, do not give your clicker -- don't leave it behind for someone else to hold. This is not bingo. Nobody can cover your cards for you. You'd have to turn it in, please, and then, when you come back, you'll get your

1 clicker back.

1.3

2.1

We'd like to thank the members of the electronic voting committee, as Clerk Munchbach mentioned, who worked hard on this. If we have one message for you tonight, it is patience. Paul has been to at least three town meetings with this system in place, but every town is different, every auditorium is different, and so there will be parts of this that you'll want to question or ask us to look more closely at.

We will certainly take those ideas and try to -- if there's any shortcomings, we'll try to do a better job in November. But please understand that this is the first full run with people that we've been able to do this.

A quorum of 236 having been declared by the town clerk, the 2022 spring annual town meeting will come to order. This is the 97th year that the Town of Dedham has used the representative town meeting form of government. Please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance to our flag.

(All Rise.)

THE MODERATOR: If you could please

2.4

2.4

remain standing for a moment of silence for those members of our town government community who have passed away -- and while we are mourning our own, please also keep in mind the deaths in Buffalo, and most recently, the deaths in Laguna Woods, California -- from the Town of Dedham Pat Delsignore, a long time native of Riverdale; Theresa Hall; Helen Butler; from the police department, Sergeant Michael Buckley, Chief Michael Ware; Walter "Butchie" Nee, from the parks department; Ethel Pirelli, from the tax collector's office; Patricia Joyce, from the library; Ron Mada, a member of our planning board; Frank Stapleton, a member of the board of assessors. A moment of silence, please.

Thank you. All newly-elected and reelected town meeting members, which is almost all of you, please remain standing to be sworn in by the town clerk.

MR. MUNCHBACH: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. At this time, will all the town meeting representatives please raise your right hand.

(Town meeting representatives sworn in.)

2.1

THE MODERATOR: The Dedham town meeting has 80 newly-elected town meeting representatives. That is about 30 percent of the entire body. I don't think the number has ever been this high. So we are going to be sure to make this a welcoming experience for our new members, maybe a little extra explanation, and a little bit more patience from all of us.

Before we proceed, I want to express my gratitude for the commitment of Dedham town meeting representatives. For the past four town meetings, you have been asked to meet outside, once on a 90-degree day in August, you've been asked to learn Zoom for those of you that weren't familiar with it, you were asked to meet in the airplane hangar, known as the Dedham Health & Athletic Club, and in all cases, the attendance was just wonderful.

So our gratitude and thanks to you for acknowledging your responsibilities as elected town meeting representatives. And we say this with full appreciation for those members whose personal or family circumstances, either tonight or even the last four, led them

3

to a decision not to participate, and we must, and do, respect those decisions.

45

aye.

6

7

8

9

10

1112

1314

15

16

1718

1920

21

22

2.4

The Chair is pleased once again to nominate Cherylann W. Sheehan from District 6 as the Deputy Moderator. All in favor, please say

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The town clerk will please share in Ms. Sheehan.

(Cherylann Sheehan sworn in.)

THE MODERATOR: We're very pleased this evening to have the opportunity to commend a Dedham native, a distinguished member of the United States Navy, Commander John A. Benda, except I've heard a lot of people calling him "Johnny" here tonight, so we know he is certainly a native guy.

This evening, the town meeting will vote on a resolution, which town meeting is empowered to do, provided for in our procedural rules honoring Commander Benda. This resolution was submitted by Amy O'Brien, Jim Maher, and Brian Keaney. So the Chair would like to

recognize Mr. Keaney to introduce the commander.

Thank you, Mr.

MR. KEANEY:

1.3

2.4

Moderator. My name is Brian Keaney, and I've got the privilege of serving as a library

trustee.

I'm sure that just about everyone in this room would agree that one of the best parts of living in Dedham is the people who are here. Going back almost 400 years, we've had congressmen and senators and members of the president's cabinet, we've had scientists and artists, professional athletes and famous businessmen, and we've even had our fair share of military heros.

That includes not one, but two commanding officers of the USS Constitution, the oldest commissioned war ship in the world. So tonight, Precinct 2's Amy O'Brien, Precinct 5's Jim Maher, and myself would ask you to recognize one of them, Commander John Benda of the United States Navy.

Before he was a naval officer, Johnny and I walked these halls together as students, and since then, he's gone on to have an

illustrious career, much of which is outlined in
the yellow sheets that were at the door.

This is the first time I can ever remember a town meeting being asked to just have a day for a distinguished Dedhamite, but I hope it won't be the last. There is certainly no shortage of good candidates out there, but tonight is for Commander Benda.

So Mr. Moderator, I move that the town meeting resolve that the Town of Dedham sends its most sincere congratulations and heartfelt appreciation to Commander Benda for his service to the nation, the United States Navy, and the USS Constitution, and be it further resolved that the select board shall issue a proclamation declaring October 21, 2022, the 225th anniversary of the launching of the Constitution, as Commander John A. Benda Day, and recommending that it be observed by the people of Dedham with appropriate exercises, and be it further resolved that the town clerk of the meeting -- or that the clerk of the town meeting shall affix a copy of the town seal to this resolution and forward copies to Commander

3

4

5

6

Benda and the Secretary of the Navy. Thank you.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Is there a second? All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. A unanimous vote. That doesn't happen often, commander. Please.

COMMANDER BENDA: Unanimous. Thank you. After the Revolutionary War, the leaders of our country voted to disband the content of militia and sell off the last of the continental navy ships. So I appreciate and respect the difficult decisions that you have before you tonight. Vote smartly.

Years later, President George
Washington decided that wasn't such a good idea,
so he commissioned the first original six United
States Navy frigates. He personally chose the
name USS Constitution after our founding
document. She was laid in 1795, and she was
launched October 21, 1797 from a private
shipyard at Boston Harbor right across from
Charlestown. The first commanding officer of
that ship was Captain Samuel Nicholson, who did

live right here in the Town of Dedham.

1.3

2.4

It was the honor of my life, my professional life, certainly, to be named the 76th commanding officer of America's ship of state, Old Ironsides, to represent the American people, to represent the United States Navy, and to represent the people of Dedham. Every event I ever went to, I made sure that they introduced me as Commander John Benda, 76th commanding officer from the great Town of Dedham, Massachusetts.

I'd like to thank Amy, I'd like to thank Uncle Jimmy, and Brian, of course, for putting this in motion. It's a great honor to be recognized by my hometown. My mom and dad are still Dedham residents, living on Commonwealth Avenue in Riverdale. Dad is not feeling well, otherwise, he would be here, another navy veteran.

To all my other friends and family that are in the audience, aren't you jealous because you don't have a day named after you. I can't wait to rub it in to all my friends that aren't here. No, but thank you again for this

great honor. It's an honor to serve in our great navy for our country and for all of you. Goodnight. I'll see you all at the American Legion.

THE MODERATOR: I'm going to review the procedural rules for the meeting, again, remembering that for almost a third of the group, this is their first town meeting. Please make sure your cell phone is turned off or on the silent mode.

This is a representative town meeting. Elected town meeting representatives shall have precedence over others in speaking, unless voted otherwise by the town meeting.

Speakers who are not town meeting representatives should please identify themselves as such when they approach the microphone.

Speaking of which, when you are speaking, please use the microphone. State your name and your district for the benefit of the official stenographer and for Dedham TV. The official stenographer creates a record of town meeting under the direction of the town clerk.

2 3

2.4

Upon any article, the body, by motion, or the moderator may direct that no person speak for more than ten minutes on any question, unless extended by a vote of the body. In the case of complex articles or articles involving significant sums of money, the moderator may allow speakers who are responsible for presenting critical information to speak for more than ten minutes.

Please note that in accordance with requests from members attending or the town meeting forum, we now have a time clock, and our veteran's agent, Joe Hamilton, has been seconded to operate the clock, and we thank him for that. It also means he can't go home until we're finished, so sorry, Joe.

As I mentioned, only elected town meeting representatives may vote, including voice votes. Now, we have relaxed a little bit the usual rules about town meeting representatives sitting in a certain area and non-town meeting representatives sitting in a different area, both because of Covid and because of the heat, so we ask for your

2.1

2.4

cooperation with that. Others found to be voting who are not representatives will be asked to leave the hall.

When electronic voting is being used, a roll call will be held at the request of 15 members or at the discretion of the moderator. Any member who believes his or her vote was recorded in error may stand and request that their vote be confirmed by the town clerk.

All orders will be deliberated in the order -- oh, I'm sorry. All articles will be deliberated in the order in which they are printed in the warrant, except that any town meeting representative may move that an article be taken out of order. This motion requires a simple majority.

In order to make town meeting more efficient, Dedham's bylaws call for the use of what's called a consent calendar. We all know it as the pass procedure. This means that the moderator reads all article numbers and line items in the budget. If a member wishes to discuss, question, or amend the motion that's printed in the warrant, he or she should

indicate by simply calling out the word "pass."

You do not have to be recognized. Just call out
"pass."

Those articles or line items that are passed will be set aside for deliberation. All other articles and line items that are not set aside for discussion will be voted in one single vote, and you'd be voting on the recommendation of the finance and warrant committee or the planning board. This allows for noncontroversial matters that people aren't interested in discussing to be dealt with quickly so that our time can be focused on the matters that require more attention.

I should add that one of the other issues that came up with the town meeting forum was that the word "pass" is very counterintuitive because in most situations, "pass" means accept something, you pass a bill, but it doesn't. So one of the things we're going to do between now and fall, with the assistance of our town counsel, is look in detail at the way it's worded in our bylaw and see if we have the flexibility to change that

wording.

1.3

2.4

The finance and warrant committee has met with the proponents of all the articles and all of the relevant town department heads. They have closely reviewed, and they have publicly posted hearings on all articles and the budget, with the exception of those that are under the jurisdiction of the planning board. Their recommendations is what is printed in the warrant book.

When you vote, you're voting to accept, reject, or amend their recommendation.

So in the warrant book, don't study the article.

Look for the recommendation right under it.

That's what you'll be voting on.

The planning board holds public hearings on zoning articles, and they, too, study the matter and issue recommendations, which are printed in the warrant. When you vote on zoning articles, you're voting on the recommendation of the planning board.

Any town meeting representative may propose an amendment to those recommendations.

They are only recommendations. If you're

2.4

proposing a significant change or amendment, we'd like to have those amendments submitted in writing before we can deliberate them.

Significant changes to the motions that are printed in the warrant book are sometimes referred to as substitute motions, but at the end of the day, a substitute motion and an amendment are essentially the same thing. Simple amendments we will accept as presented on the floor.

Some motions require a two-thirds

vote. In some cases, that's state law, and in

some cases, it's our own procedural rules. Some

articles generate no debate and are

noncontroversial. Articles that require a two
thirds vote, the moderator may call for a voice

vote and make a declaration that it is two
thirds. We've been doing this in Dedham now for

a number of years.

However, any seven members can rise and challenge that, and an electronic vote on what the moderator felt was a noncontroversial issue will be taken. And if an electronic vote is not acceptable, the first one, then for 15

2.4

members standing, the second electronic vote will be a roll call vote with your vote and your name showing on the screen.

Please remember the motion to move the question. That's one of the terms that you only hear two nights a year, move the question. Move the question means cut off debate. Once somebody who has been recognized goes to the microphone and says Mr. Moderator, I move the question, all discussion has to stop, even if you're in line behind that person.

That proposal to stop debate is then put to the body -- the moderator cannot stop debate -- and it requires two-thirds of you to stop debate. That's a high threshold. Please remember that no action that we take is official until the meeting is finished and dissolved. So we have to resolve every article in the warrant, dissolve the meeting, and then those things that you voted go into effect.

Matters of law will be referred by the moderator to the town counsel. Questions of town meeting procedure are the responsibility of the moderator. Those are our rules. Are there

2 3 4

1.3

any questions on the rules? You can question them anytime during the evening, but any questions at this point? Just go to the microphone, please, and state your name. Mr. Davey.

MR. DAVEY: Steve Davey, Precinct 3. Now that we are on electronic voting and realize that we have a quorum in the room, do we need a quorum of voters?

THE MODERATOR: No. Our quorum is 170. We now have 247 members present. If the sum of the ayes and the nayes don't equal 170, and if the quorum was not doubted before the vote, that vote still stands. We don't assume that everybody here votes on every article. So that's a longstanding rule. That is not something that electronic voting has brought into play.

So in addition to the time clock, there's one other issue that came up at the town meeting forum that I'd like to very briefly address you with, and that's the use of social media during the meeting to disparage people while they're still speaking.

address this, free speech prohibit any such rule, but consider that people on all sides of all of the major issues in town have spoken about the divisiveness in town. It's a very, very difficult issue to make any progress on because each side on any given issue believes they're correct, and they know they're correct because all their friends tell them they're correct.

Expressing disagreement on social media, especially during the meeting, is one thing. Disparaging the person by making a statement about their integrity, their motive, or a personal characteristic, that's something very, very different. You can have the first without the second. The moderator does not have any authority over this issue, and nor should he or she.

So this is a request. Don't attack the intelligence, the motive, or the personal qualities of the person during the town meeting on social media. This is a very, very small step. You might ask why should I do this, when

1

the other side doesn't extend that courtesy to me. You can't control what others choose to do. You can control your response. You just need to make the decision to do so. So that's the request.

5

7

8

9

4

started, we're going to do a couple of sample questions on the electronic voting, so if everyone can get their electronic voting devices ready. As we mentioned earlier, everyone was assigned their own electronic device especially

MR. MUNCHBACH: So before we get

11

12

1.3

10

for them, numbered 1 through 273. The first two questions we'll do will be for just the general

total, and then we'll do a roll call vote on the

So the first question is will the

14

15

third vote.

1617

18

Patriots win the Super Bowl? You have 20 seconds to vote. Remember, if you're voting yes, it's green, voting no, it's red. You have

1920

five seconds left, four, three, two, one, and

the vote is closed, and the Patriots won't win

2122

the Super Bowl. That's okay.

23

We have another question, so get your electronic voting device ready. Will we be here

until midnight tonight? Vote 1, green, for yes, reluctantly, or 2, no, red. So if you vote in red, it's no, green is yes. You've got ten seconds left, nine, eight, seven, six, five, four -- get your votes in -- three, two, one, and we're going to close the vote, and no, we will not be here.

1.3

2.4

So one more question. Everyone feeling comfortable? Well, we're going to ask. So the last question that we have will be a roll call vote. It will first show up color -- there will be a light blue color next to your name, which we'll scroll through, which will show that you voted, and at the end, when the vote is closed and the vote is there, then it will be shown either red or green.

Do you feel comfortable using the electronic voting devices, is the question. You have 20 seconds to vote. Peter, can we scroll through the pages? There we go. You have a couple of seconds left to vote, and the vote is now closed, and the results. And that's how a roll call vote will be shown on the screen, and we'll scroll through so all the names will be

	Page 28
1	shown.
2	And as we mentioned by the bylaw, all
3	the votes taken tonight by electronic voting
4	will be posted on the town's website three days
5	after town meeting. At that point, if everyone
6	feels comfortable, Mr. Moderator, I would like
7	to turn the town meeting back over to you.
8	THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Paul. On
9	a real vote, we would scroll through all six
10	pages. Yes, sir?
11	MR. DELLOIACONO: Mr. Moderator?
12	THE MODERATOR: Yes, Mr. Delloiacono.
13	MR. DELLOIACONO: Rich Delloiacono,
14	Precinct 7. Just out of curiosity, I thought
15	the quorum was at 236.
16	THE MODERATOR: Well, there were 247
17	when I called the meeting to order.
18	MR. DELLOIACONO: I wrote down 236 on
19	my notes.
20	THE MODERATOR: That might have been
21	an earlier number before people were coming in.
22	MR DELLOTACONO: Meeting into order

THE MODERATOR: 247.

is 247?

MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Any other questions?

So we're now going to move to the warrant book, and you've also received in the mail a report of the planning board, which gives the recommendation on their articles. I believe they only had two articles this year. Kindly silence your cell phones, and all members, please remove your hats.

So I'm going to read through each article and each line item. That doesn't take as long as you might think. If you want to question, debate, or amend, you call out the word "pass." Now, even if you think you want to discuss, it's better to call out the word "pass" because once we vote that all inclusive vote to sweep everything else away, it's very difficult to get that back on the table.

So you can call out "pass." As I say, we're not recognizing people. We're just looking for the word. If when it comes time to go back and go through them you've decided you had your question answered or you don't wish to question it, there's no harm done. We'll just

1 keep moving. So there's no stigma attached.

1.3

2.4

Article 2. We're on Page 5.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

THE MODERATOR: Article 2 is passed.

Article 3 is the budget, so go to Page 7. I'm going to read these fairly quickly. If you want to pass on something, and it's gone by, just make that known -- you don't have to be recognized -- and we'll make sure it gets passed.

So on Page 7, Line Item 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Line Item 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14. Line Item 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27. Line Item 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34. Next page. Conservation department, 35, 36, 37, 38. 39, planning department, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46. The police department, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, and 52.

Please note 52, something called operating capital. The town a year or two ago changed the way in which they present their capital items. Smaller capital items are now included in the operating budget, and if you read across, you'll see the numbers under fiscal

1 year 2023, operating capital.

53, 54, 55, 56, 57, and 58. 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, and 64. Top of Page 9, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, and 72. The school department, you vote that in one lump sum. That is Line 73. That is state law. Going down, 74, the Norfolk Agricultural School, and 75, the Blue Hills Regional School District. We are members in both of those districts.

In engineering, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80.

Public works, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, and 87.

Moving to Page 10, 88. Facilities department,
89 and 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, and 95. 96, 97, 98,
99, 100, 101, 102. Board of Health, 103, 104,
105, 106. Council on Aging, 107, 108, 109, and
110. 111, 112, 113, and 114. 115, 116, 117,
and 118. Top of Page 11, 119, 120, 121, 122,
123. 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, and 130.
131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136. 138, total
debt service. 139, under employee benefits,
140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147.

That is the town budget. There were no passes. We've moving to the second budget,

Article 4. This is the capital improvement

budget. This is the big ticket items in town.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

THE MODERATOR: We're going to read the project, so you can pass on the project that you're interested in discussing or amending.

The recommendation is printed on Page 12. The easiest way to look at this is on Page 13. The way Page 13 is set up is the department requesting the item is listed on the left, and as you move across, there's the department request.

There is an advisory group called the capital expenditures committee. There's their recommendation. T.M. is the town manager's recommendation, and then in the last line, it's the FWC recommendation. That's the finance and warrant committee. That's the number you're voting on, the finance and warrant committee recommendation.

Moving further, you'll see how are we paying for this. There are three ways to fund capital in the operating budget, and you have already accepted the operating capital in Article 3, free cash and by bonding, which means

2.4

```
Page 33
            borrowing.
 1
                       So at the top of Page 13, we'll now
 2
 3
            go to the individual projects. 1.
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
 4
 5
                       THE MODERATOR: 1 is passed. 2, 3,
 6
            4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, 11.
 7
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed. 12.
 8
 9
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
10
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed. 13. Public
11
            works, 14.
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
12
1.3
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed. 15.
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
14
15
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed. 16.
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
16
17
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed. 17.
18
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
19
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed. 18, 19, 20,
20
           and 21. 22, 23.
21
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
22
                       THE MODERATOR: Passed on 23.
23
            25.
2.4
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.
```

THE MODERATOR: Passed. 26, 27.

1.3

2.4

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

THE MODERATOR: Passed. 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 -- I'm sorry, 36 is a heading -- 37, 38, and 39. If you look at the bottom of the page, below all of that detail that we just went through, you'll see the sum of the different headings. So the department requested \$8.2 million, the capital expenditure committee recommended about \$7.3 million, the town manager recommended \$8.3 million, and the finance and warrant committee is asking you to vote for \$8.3 million.

Article 5, prior year bills. Article 6, line item transfers for the current fiscal year. So this is detailed on Page 15, and this is no new money. This is a transfer within money you've already approved among different line items.

This budget gets developed in February before the year starts in July, and the year goes for 12 months after July. So the town hall folks do the best job they can. The school department does the best job they can in

predicting what will be needed in a period that 1 2 is between six and 18 months away. 3 They are requesting that you shuffle around \$664,000. That is six-tenths of 1 4 5 percent of the town budget. That being said, if 6 anybody has any questions on this, they should 7 say pass. Otherwise, we move to Article 7. recommendation of the finance committee is at 8 9 the bottom of Page 15. Article 8 requires a two-thirds vote, so that will be passed by the m 10 11 moderator. Article 9. Article 10. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass on 9. 12 1.3 THE MODERATOR: Pass on 9. Article 14 10, 11, Article 12. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass. 16 THE MODERATOR: Article 12 is passed. 17 Article 13 is passed by the Chair because it requires a two-thirds vote. Article 14. 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass. 20 THE MODERATOR: Passed. Article 15, 21 Article 16. Is 15 passed? 14 is passed. Yes, 22 14 is passed, 15 is passed. 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 2.4 THE MODERATOR: Article 16 is passed.

Article 17. This is passed by the Chair. I've got a little housekeeping thing to do there.

Article 18. Article 19 is a two-thirds vote.

That will be passed by the Chair.

The recommendation on the long zoning amendment that is proposed on Page 23 goes all the way over on Page 33. We can fast forward to Page 33, the report of the planning board. That will be passed because it requires a two-thirds vote.

Article 18 is not passed, unless somebody passed it out there. Article 20, which is on Page 35, it's not two-thirds because it is not a positive motion. So in other words, in order to change the zoning article, it requires a two-thirds vote, but it is not the recommendation that the article actually be changed.

Remember, you're always voting on the recommendation, and since it is not recommended, it's a simple majority vote to accept that recommendation, and I do not hear a pass on that. Article 21, Article 22.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

2.4

1.3

THE MODERATOR: It's passed. Article
2 23.

2.4

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pass.

THE MODERATOR: It's passed. Article 24 on Page 39. Article 35 -- 25, sorry, Article 25. I hear no pass. Article 26. Over on Page 43, Article 27, pass on that. There's a new original motion on Page 45. The Chair will pass. That requires a two-thirds vote. And that is it.

Now, I'm going to read all of the items that you've called out for. If you don't feel this list is complete, now is the time to let your feelings be known.

So Article 4. That's the capital expenditure. We passed on projects 1, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 23, 25, and 27. The Chair passed on Article 8. Article 9 is passed.

Article 12. The Chair passed on Article 13.

Article 14, 15, 16, 17. The Chair passed on Article 19, Article 22, 23, 27, and the Chair passed on Article 28.

Hearing no further discussion, the motion comes on the original motion of all

The

1 articles not passed. We'll do a voice vote. All those in favor, please say aye. 3 (Aye.) THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 5 ayes have it. Thank you. Now we'll go back and 6 deal one by one with the articles that you set aside for further discussion. We'll start with Article 4. It's on Page 13 -- oh, Article 2, sorry. Passed on Article 2. Who wishes to speak on Article 2, Page 5? The Chair

recognizes Ms. Carney.

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MS. CARNEY: Once again, I would like somebody in town administration to briefly tell us any changes in any of the articles, please.

THE MODERATOR: The Chair recognizes our town manager, Mr. Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Good evening. you, Mr. Moderator. Leon Goodwin, town manager. So in Article 2, we have two proposed changes. One is to the union ASME contract for town hall employees, and I'll go through that one first, and then the second change that is being recommended is to the personnel plan, and that covers nonunion personnel, and that is the

2 3

personnel plan itself, plus the three schedules: Schedule A, which lists the employees who are covered by the personnel plan; Schedule B, which sets out the salary grades for those employees; and Schedule C, which covers the fringe benefits for those employees.

So for the ASME contract, that's a three-year contract. It's a 2 percent COLA per year. This is retroactive to July 1, 2021, and that's a 2 percent COLA in FY '22. That's the current fiscal year. There will be a 2 percent COLA in FY '23. There will be a 2 percent COLA in FY '24.

Another change that will come if this is funded, which has already been ratified by the select board, would be a change in the compensation and classification schedule itself. We did conduct a study through a company called GovHR. They surveyed surrounding communities that are comparable to the Town of Dedham to establish market rate salaries and a new salary schedule for our employees set at the 50th percentile, meaning that we will pay more than 50 percent and less than 50 percent. So we're

right in the middle of the weight, which has been Dedham's pay philosophy for a number of years.

So with that scale comes a change.

Currently, there are seven steps within each grade. This would move it to ten steps within each grade. The steps in 1 through 7 are 3 and a half percent, and then they go to 3 percent.

Currently, all steps are 3 and a half percent.

There was also an increase in the COLA -- excuse me, the longevity stipend for town hall employees. That's a 50 percent increase.

\$4,000. It's not a substantial amount, even though when you say a 50 percent increase, it sounds very large, and a non-cost item, but an item that is changed at the recommendation of GovHR and at the request of the employees to assist us in recruiting and retaining employees as they increase their vacation time.

Currently, employees start with two weeks of vacation. They will now start with three weeks of vacation.

That covers the changes to the ASME

1.3

contract, the major changes anyway, within the 1 2 salary -- the personnel plan. Again, this is an 3 annual thing. There's no contract length, per 4 se, because it's approved on a year by year 5 basis. Again, based on that salary survey that 6 was conducted by GovHR, a new salary schedule 7 was created for the nonunion employees. THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin, could 8 9 you try to get a little closer to that microphone? I don't know if we can --10 11 MR. GOODWIN: I can speak louder, 12 too. 1.3 THE MODERATOR: There we go. 14 MR. GOODWIN: For the nonunion 15 employees, again, we have a new salary scale 16 based on the GovHR study, moving it to a 10-step 17 scale, as well, similar to the union. 18 We also are moving a 2 percent COLA 19 for that group in FY '23. We will be 20 establishing -- I should go back. ASME is 21 implementing performance evaluations. 22 group is also implementing performance

Together, tied to the performance

evaluations.

23

2.4

evaluations with the nonunion group will be a proposed merit pay system. If folks score at the highest level within the merit system, they would get a 1.75 percent stipend per year.

If they score one step below that, they would get 1.25 percent of their base salary, and then the next step down would get .75. If you're in the lower tiers of performance, you would get no performance merit pay.

The total possible cost to the merit pay, if everyone got a 5 or the highest score, would be \$60,000 in the first fiscal year.

We're also proposing 12 weeks of paid parental leave. That's consistent with the federal benefits now.

Again, this is something to try and make us competitive with the marketplace.

Technically, there's no cost to this because we are simply paying wages for folks who would otherwise be receiving those same wages, but it certainly is a benefit to the employee.

MS. CARNEY: I have a question on the merit pay program. You have categories 1

1 through 5.

1.3

2.4

MR. GOODWIN: That's correct.

MS. CARNEY: 1 and 2 would be for people who need some help, we need to get them some help. The way it's presented, it says 3, they meet expectations; 4, they go little beyond; and 5, they're exceptional. Could I see on the board the criteria that you're using to put each person in the category?

MR. GOODWIN: We do have a performance evaluation system that will score each individual employee. I can't put it on the board because we don't have that with us this evening, but it is certainly something that I could share with you, if you would like to see it.

MS. CARNEY: Well, you know, it's not just sharing it with me. The biggest part of our entire budget in the town, obviously, is personnel, and I know that when it comes to a merit program, a critical component is criteria. I'd like to see criteria before I vote.

And in particular, you have category 3, which says that they're meeting expectations.

In my mind -- and I've done a lot of evaluating -- when somebody meets expectations, that isn't merit. They're doing what's expected of them.

It goes with the job.

Now, 4 and 5 you could make an argument that if in some way, they're demonstrating above and beyond, then they deserve some additional consideration. But the way it's presented, everyone would get some merit just for doing their job and meeting what's expected of them. I don't know about that, and I would like to see criteria.

You can say you have a rubric or you have something that you use for an evaluation instrument, but I'd like to see what you're using when you're rating that instrument, what's the criteria that you're using to put those people into the categories. And in the absence of seeing that tonight, I would not vote.

And I would think that what we could do is to ratify every other aspect of the contract and to put the merit pay program on hold -- I'm not saying forget about it, I'm saying on hold -- until the fall town meeting

1.3

2.4

when you could present to the body what's the criteria you're using, because I'm hearing that you don't have it, really.

You have an evaluation instrument. I understand that. I want to see documented criteria because that's a significant part of a merit pay. So I would hope that the body would be willing to vote the contracts as presented without including merit pay and coming back to us in the fall, and showing us just how it is that the program will work, and then, at that point, the body can vote it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Carney. Mr. Goodwin, any response?

MR. GOODWIN: Just that I would like to say that we will be using criteria. We do have the form. This is a starting point, moving forward with the town. The select board was briefed on this. We did work together on that document. It's similar to the document that's used to evaluate myself, the town manager, who is currently the only town employee who is getting an evaluation.

But if town meeting so chooses, I

2.4

would be happy to come back and present something in the fall and get the will of this body, as well. It is something that we are confident in, and we think that this is a move that will reflect the good work of our employees.

I think I would only beg to differ on one point, is that this being our leadership group, we do expect high performance out of them, so meeting expectations does, in my mind, merit performance -- a merit increase, just because the expectation is high in this group, and they are leading the rest of our employees.

MS. CARNEY: And that's in your mind, and I can respect that, but what I'm saying is that the category 3 really takes into account everybody that's doing their job, and that automatically adds an additional -- is it 1 percent?

MR. GOODWIN: .75.

MS. CARNEY: .75 percent. So the salaries that they're receiving aren't just two. There's going to be merit added onto these.

Now, you've gone from having seven steps to ten

steps, and you've indicated a three and a half 1 2 percent going from a step to a step? 3 MR. GOODWIN: For the union, steps 1 through 7 are 3 and a half percent. 4 Then they 5 move to 3 percent for the last three steps. For 6 the nonunion personnel, it's 3 percent per step. 7 MS. CARNEY: So either 3 or 3 and a half percent, and then we have the 2 percent 8 9 that everybody is starting with the COLA, and then we have the merit pay that we don't have 10 11 any criteria that you can show us tonight that 12 indicates how they're going to be rated for 1.3 those categories. And in particular, my concern is category 3 is really doing your job, but 14 15 that's for the body tonight to decide. 16 you. 17 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. 18 Carney. 19 MR. GOODWIN: Thank you. 20 THE MODERATOR: Yes, ma'am? MS. WISEMAN: Liz Wiseman, Precinct 21 22 6, town meeting member. I commend the town on 23 actually bringing --2.4 THE MODERATOR: Closer to the

microphone.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

1.3

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 2.1

22

23

2.4

MS. WISEMAN: Liz Wiseman, Precinct 6, town meeting member. I commend the town for bringing our town into best practices, not only for town compensation, but for industry across the state and across the region.

I was pleased to find that we are bringing our benefit plan in terms of leave in line with federal practices, but also most of the companies in the state and surrounding areas. In addition, from my perspective, I've also done a lot of evaluations over my career, as well, and with all due respect, a 3, meeting expectations, means that they're a fantastic dedicated employee and deserve a merit in salary.

So I would ask the town meeting members to approve this article, as is recommended, because of the fact that it's bringing our practices in line. We're able to keep the people we have today and hire a very great caliber of talent, and I'd like to keep Dedham and its town employees qualified and dedicated and loyal, and that is -- one of those

1 things is compensation. 2 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, ma'am. 3 Other speakers? I'd like to ask the town counsel a question before we vote. 4 5 (Pause.) 6 THE MODERATOR: We're checking on a 7 procedural issue here. Sometimes a motion has 8 got a conglomeration of issues involved. So 9 you'll see there's a bunch of contracts that are 10 being discussed in this motion, as well as the 11 nonunion plan. So I'm just getting some clarification from the town hall. 12 1.3 While we're waiting for that, let me 14 recognize our honored guest who came in just to 15 wave and say hello, our Congressman, Steven 16 Congressman Lynch, you have to say Lynch. 17 Congressman Lynch has agreed to attend hello. 18 this record of the Dedham Town Meeting, not that 19 we keep track, Congressman, but we appreciate 20 your dropping by. Thank you. 21 (Pause.) 22 MR. BAUER: Mr. Moderator, a point of order? 23

THE MODERATOR: Yes, Mr. Bauer.

MR. BAUER: Could we have the lights
turned up a little bit? I can hardly
(inaudible).

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: I think you just want to get a better look at me, Brad.

The motion on Page 5 deals with both the transfer of money out of the reserve fund into the departments to fund the labor contracts. I haven't heard anybody speak against the labor contracts. And it also includes the changes and the money to implement the changes for A, B, C.

So the Chair is going to exercise its prerogative to divide the question, and I'm going to ask you to vote on the money for the labor contracts as one motion, and then we will consider the proposal to change A, B, and C and add the money that changing A, B, and C would implement.

Now, if people had argued against both, we'd just do it in one motion, but people are not. Are there any questions about what we're going to try to do here? It's called dividing the question. We don't do it often,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

but when we do it --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Could you 3 please reiterate what you just said?

> THE MODERATOR: Yes. We're going to use a procedure called divide the question. I'm going to ask you to vote on the funding of the labor contracts, which have been negotiated. Now, again, that money is in a reserve fund. What the town does is it tries to guess how much we're going to have to spend on the labor contracts when they're settled; otherwise, we'd be coming here every two or three months when they settle a contract, and then that money gets transferred.

> If it doesn't -- if the labor contract doesn't get resolved, the money doesn't go anywhere. So that's one part. The other part is A, B, C, and hold on for an update. is there a motion to approve that part of -yes, Mr. Carmen Delloiacono.

> Thank you, Mr. MR. DELLOIACONO: Moderator. Carmen Delloiacono, Precinct 4, also the town's wiring inspector and employee. Article 2 is to approve the actual contracts as

1 2 3

2.4

negotiated and written. Wasn't it already funded in the next article? So I just want to be clear on this. You're dividing down, and you use the term fund, which I believe we've already passed, and it's been funded. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Yeah, what I said was the money was put in a reserve fund. It now has to be transferred -- is that the right word -- allocated from the reserve fund. It's already been appropriated. You've already paid taxes on it. It has to be now allocated. If the contracts weren't negotiated successfully, the money would go into free cash, and you'd have it back the next year, but they have been successfully negotiated.

MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Is there a motion to approve that portion of this article's motion, which funds the negotiated labor contracts? Is there a second? Get your clickers out. All those in favor, please vote yes, green for yes. If you're opposed to doing that, red for no. You'll have 20 seconds. Close the vote.

So that is funded. We now move to a

consideration of Schedules A, B, and C, which, 1 2 by the way, are in your book. They've been 3 printed. You had an opportunity to look at them if you so desire. A, B, and C and the money 4 5 associated with implementing A, B, and C. So is 6 that clear? We've done the labor. These are 7 the nonunion people, mostly management staff and town hall. 8 9 All those in favor of approving A, B, and C and the funds that are attached to them, 10 11 please use your clicker and vote yes. If you're 12 opposed, vote no. Five seconds. Close the 1.3 vote. 206 are voting yes to approve A, B, and 14 C, and 28 having voted no, the motion carries. 15 Thank you very much. 16 Yes, sir? Mr. Gallagher, is that you 17 in the mask? MR. GALLAGHER: (Inaudible) 18 THE MODERATOR: We've got our crack 19 20 guy on this, Mr. Gallagher. I'd be very 21 surprised if you're right. 22 MR. GALLAGHER: (Inaudible) 23 THE MODERATOR: We're on that, Mr.

Gallagher. Like I say, we've got our best

people working on this. He just happened to be in the wrong place in town hall this afternoon.

We appreciate it.

We're now moving to Article 4.

Article 4 is on Page 13, and the first project is parks safety/improvements bundle. It's been passed. Mr. Pepoli.

MR. PEPOLI: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Good evening, everyone. Drew
Pepoli, Precinct 7, as the chair of the capital
expenditures committee. Here, as well, is my
vice-chair, Maryanne Martin.

We passed on a number of these capital line items. So if you open to Page 13, you'll see the CEC recommendation, and if you scan through that, you'll see that the town manager and finance and warrant committee both recommended to not listen to our recommendations.

So what is the capital expenditures committee? We are tasked with reviewing every single major capital item that comes from the department heads from this town. They do an amazing job, and my committee does an amazing

job, as well, reviewing. We spend hours pouring through this list figuring out where and if anything we can be responsible and save on money.

Well, \$1 million is what we recommended for a reduction. It may not seem like a lot. We have a spending problem in this town, and we need to start being serious about our spending, and it's going to be a little painful. So we've gone through this list, and we've made some changes. And we're not saying not to do these.

1.3

What we want to recommend is that we hold off on doing these until the fall, see where we are from a budgetary standpoint, see how much of the billions of dollars being printed in Washington makes its way to the state and then to our town. But the town manager did not agree with that. The finance and warrant committee did not agree with that.

So I just wanted to give the department heads the credit and my committee the credit for trying to make an effort to reduce our capital spend in this town, and I wanted

1 everyone here to know that.

Everyone here complains about taxes, and we hear, well, we've got a good debt rating, we've got a good debt rating. We can bond.

We've got \$13 million of debt expenses here, 13 million, and they say it's going to go down.

Well, it might, but you know what, this building itself, just so everyone knows, over the next five years needs about \$14 million worth of necessary work, 14 million. Our entire capital budget this year was 8.

So you know what, a little reduction in our roads, a little reduction in our sidewalks. I know, no one likes that, but we're not saying remove it. We're saying let's wait and see what we can get from other sources.

Thank you very much.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Pepoli. Ms. Martin.

MS. MARTIN: I just wanted to quickly kind of add to that. We kind of look at the capital expenditure as the need to buy, the need to spend money. Everything else, all the articles for green this and pretty that, those

are really nice to have's. But when we say no to things that we should get, and we do it painfully and with a lot of due diligence because we know how high our taxes are because every one of us got reassessed, and even though our tax rate went down, well, it actually went up, on average about 6 percent for each of us.

So we did this with you in mind. We listen to our neighbors when they ask us please don't raise my taxes. We listen to you, and we didn't just cut for the sake of cutting. We actually read every single thing. We asked all the right questions. We went to the experts. We got their really true best guess, because that's all this really is. All that we're doing is a best guess.

But the evidence is we did the due diligence, and can you imagine our shock when we get the book and we realize everything we did was just like, well, thank you for your time, and now we're going to give them more. You know, we're your eyes and ears on this stuff. Drew made a very good point at the mini, that just because you have a credit line of X number

1.3

	rage 30
1	of dollars doesn't mean you go shopping and
2	spend every penny of it.
3	THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Now, you
4	folks have passed on several.
5	MS. MARTIN: Yes.
6	THE MODERATOR: Do you want to try to
7	address those?
8	MR. MARTIN: I can because I've
9	passed on like four of them.
LO	THE MODERATOR: So you passed on Line
L1	Item 1.
L2	MS. MARTIN: So 1 through 4
L3	THE MODERATOR: Well, your
L 4	recommendation is the same as the finance and
L 5	warrant committee.
L 6	MS. MARTIN: Yeah, but we want to
L 7	explain it because everybody is going to come up
L 8	and say what did you cut to get down to 690.
L 9	THE MODERATOR: Well, why don't you
20	go ahead and do that, then.
21	MS. MARTIN: If you look and Drew
22	can explain this probably better than I we
23	looked at the park and rec as one big aggregate
24	ask because everything was bundled into

2.4

different line items, and we gave them \$50,000 to spend, as they will, because some of the estimates were a little high or a little vague, and they can get what they want. So we didn't cut anything. We said here's 50 grand. Try to make it go as far as you can. That was 1 through 4.

THE MODERATOR: Just to help me here,

Maryanne, the sum of 1 through 4, the capital

expenditure recommendation is the same as what

the finance committee has recommended.

MS. MARTIN: Correct, correct. We
agree -- we're happy there.

the Moderator: Well, you passed on
it, though, so --

MS. MARTIN: Just to explain because people are going to ask what was the 690. That was just a point of clarification. The ones I actually passed on, I would like answers to.

THE MODERATOR: In the future, it's probably better to let somebody pass on an article and then answer the question, because we've used up a few minutes here on an article that you don't really disagree with the finance

and warrant committee on. 1 2 MR. PEPOLI: But there will be 3 questions, we know. THE MODERATOR: All right, Drew. 4 You've done this more than I have. We have to 5 6 vote on the passed project, 1, \$690, as 7 recommended by both, capital expenditures, the 8 town manager, and the finance and warrant 9 committee. Let's try for a voice vote. All 10 those in favor, please say aye. 11 (Aye.) 12 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a 13 majority -- it is a two-thirds vote. So is 14 Number 11 you? 15 MS. MARTIN: No. 16 THE MODERATOR: Who would like to 17 talk on Project Number 11? Mr. Czazasty. 18 MR. CZAZASTY: Andrew Czazasty, 19 Precinct 7. So I just want to get a clarification. So after the capital 20 21 expenditures committee recommended the \$125,000, 22 the department went back to the town manager and

requested an additional \$60,000 without

consulting the CEC. Is that an accurate

23

	rage of
1	statement to make?
2	THE MODERATOR: Well, we can get one
3	point of view, which is the CEC, and then we'll
4	ask the town manager.
5	MR. PEPOLI: I think Leon is best to
6	answer that.
7	THE MODERATOR: There we go. Mr.
8	Goodwin? Thank you, Drew.
9	MR. GOODWIN: Thank you for the
10	question. Leon Goodwin, town manager.
11	THE MODERATOR: Leon, would you take
12	that mask off, please?
13	MR. GOODWIN: I'll take that right
14	off.
15	THE MODERATOR: Really give it your
16	all.
17	MR. GOODWIN: Yes, the way you
18	explained it is basically correct. This number
19	increased as a result of us this is a project
20	where we're working with the state on this, and
21	as a result of a new budget that was developed
22	that will cover us through the rest of our
23	obligation in this project, we do expend an
24	additional \$60,000 that was not considered by

the capital expenditure committee. 1 2 That was raised with me. I brought that to the finance and warrant committee. 3 are in the midst of this project. It's not 4 5 something that we can really stop at this point 6 in time, so that's why we're recommending to 7 move forward and complete the project, but there will be an additional cost. 8 9 MR. CZAZASTY: So why didn't the 10 engineering department go back to the CEC first to, I guess, discuss the additional funding, 11 12 because I thought that would be the role of the 1.3 capital expenditures before they go to the town 14 manager? That was probably due 15 MR. GOODWIN: 16 to the timing of the new information. 17 MR. CZAZASTY: Because it sounds to 18 me -- saying due to the timing, it sounds like 19 there is a rush to be put into the budget. That's what it sounds like to me. 20 21 MR. GOODWIN: It was a rush to 22 be included in the hearing with the finance and 23 warrant committee, that's correct.

MR. CZAZASTY: Well, I'm just

thinking that it almost like de-legitimizes the process of the CEC because if they need to -- if they're allowed to -- the engineering department is allowed to just go around that, I feel like it kind of removes the whole process of why we need a capital expenditures committee in the first place is just my view of it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir.

MR. GOODWIN: Certainly no disrespect was meant to the capital expenditure committee or the process.

THE MODERATOR: Let me point out, especially for the benefit of the newer members, in both Article 2 and this article, in the interest of getting to the bottom of things, we've accepted this back and forth between two speakers. That's not generally the way we do this.

A speaker makes their points, somebody, in this case from town hall, would respond. If that speaker still has points to make, then they would be asked to be recognized again. We don't generally do this back and forth. Thank you. Did you pass on -- so we're

	Page 64
1	going to vote on 11. Do you have anything to
2	say on 11? No, okay. We vote on the yes,
3	sir?
4	MR. BROPHY: Matt Brophy from
5	Precinct 2, right off Ames Street there. This
6	is more like a question
7	THE MODERATOR: These microphones are
8	kind of
9	MR. BROPHY: Matt Brophy from
10	Precinct 2, and my question is in the overall
11	general Article 4, it was discussed in the mini
12	hearing. I just want more articulation of where
13	the bonds, the loans, what entities loan us the
14	money, and what's the yield? I'm curious about
15	that. I'm a rookie rep, so I was just curious
16	about that.
17	THE MODERATOR: Okay, Mr. Brophy.
18	We'll ask the town's finance director, our CFO,
19	Mr. John Arnett.
20	MR. ARNETT: Hello, everybody. Can
21	you all hear me? Okay, talk loudly. Got it.
22	THE MODERATOR: All the speakers,
23	please introduce yourself.
24	MR. ARNETT: This is John Arnett, the

Each

director of finance. I'll use my Marine Corp 1 2 So the bonds are put out to the market, on the secondary market, and it is not privately 3 4 placed, so it goes through a competitive bidding 5 process. 6 We use our financial advisors through 7 UNIVIC to help us package the bond together. 8 They go out to bid. Again, through a 9 competitive bid process, they come in, and then 10 we take the lowest true investment cost. 11 every single year when we go out to bid, it will 12 change, depending upon the marketplace. Did I 1.3 answer the question? THE MODERATOR: 14 So what happens, Mr. 15 Arnett, is a bunch of projects get packaged 16 together. There's no bond for any one line 17 item. They get packaged together --18 MR. ARNETT: That is correct. 19 THE MODERATOR: -- and you put them 20 out to bid on the market? 21 MR. ARNETT: That is correct. 22 project is articulated within that bond package. 23 So that's why at the bottom of the list, it

gives the authority to go out to bond for that

total amount, and then each project has a 1 2 project life, as well. 3 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Thank you for your question, Mr. Brophy. Any other 4 5 discussion on Project 11 in Article 4? 6 being none, we'll try for a voice vote. All 7 those in favor, please say aye. 8 (Aye.) 9 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 10 (No.) 11 THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds vote. Article 12 -- I mean Line Item 12. Mr. 12 1.3 Pepoli. 14 MR. PEPOLI: Thank you, Mr. 15 Moderator. Greenlodge Street Corridor & 16 Construction. This project came before us last 17 year for funds for the design. At the time, we were told a certain amount of state funding 18 would be available and that the commitment to 19 20 the town would be approximately \$400,000. Monies weren't available. 21 22 The project cost is now \$700,000 --23 The amount of money they expected was sorry.

not available, so we went with the \$400,000.

2.4

were overturned. I don't know if anyone else wants to explain that about why we are moving forward with the 700 versus the 400. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Do we have any explanation from town hall on that question? Mr. Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you. Leon
Goodwin, town manager. So Mr. Pepoli did
articulate the process correctly. This was once
before the CEC for design. That design was
funded. Once design was completed, at the end
of the design, you typically have a much better
view of what the total project will cost.

engineering consultants is now \$700,000. We have applied for a shared streets and spaces grant in the amount of \$200,000, which would bring down the amount to 500. That's a reimbursement grant, which we do not have word on yet whether we received it. So we would need to appropriate the \$700,000 in any event, even if we get the grant, so that we can spend the money in order to get refunded by the state.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Ms.

1 Butler, are you in line there? 2 MS. BUTLER: I have a question. 3 THE MODERATOR: Come on up to the 4 microphone, please. State your name and 5 precinct, please. 6 Thank you. MS. BUTLER: Susan 7 Butler, Precinct 6. I noticed there were two 8 FINCOM and warrant members who voted no, and I'd 9 like to hear who they are, respectfully, and 10 respectfully ask why you voted no on Article 4. 11 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. 12 MS. BUTLER: Thank you. 1.3 THE MODERATOR: Who from finance and 14 warrant would like to -- Mr. Heffernan. 15 MR. HEFFERNAN: Good evening. 16 Heffernan, finance and warrant committee. I was 17 one of the two who voted no on this article, the 18 reason being is the amount of money that we are 19 bonding. 20 So first of all, to be clear, the 21 reason why we are bonding this much is that we 22 did not have free cash available to us. 23 year and in the previous two years, we had free

cash -- we did not have free cash, so therefore,

2.4

for the past three years, we have been needing to borrow money. What I would have liked to have seen was some of these line items held off until either the fall or the spring until we could see if we had a better financial position. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Heffernan. The Chair recognizes Mr. Emory from finance and warrant.

MR. EMORY: Mike Emory, finance and warrant committee. I was also one of the no votes. And I want to echo what Drew mentioned about the work that the capital expenditure committee did, and in particular, so looking at five years out, the amount of investments that we're going to have to make, the amount of things we're going to have to bond.

You're looking out five years. In a five-year plan, the amount of things that we were going to have to bond, the investments that we have to make, and as we all know, sometimes it's more expensive not to make the investment, such as (inaudible), than to make them. And we are trying to make some tough choices, and we

1 asked the capital expenditure committee to help 2 us with those, and they did a good job, and I 3 just wanted us to do a little bit more before we 4 can approve those. 5 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Emory. Mr. Civian. These microphones have a very 6 7 narrow range. You can be this close, and 8 they're fine. You go another quarter of an 9 inch, and you're not heard well. MR. CIVIAN: I'll put it right on my 10 11 chest. My name is Fred Civian, a member of the finance committee. I abstained from the vote 12 because that evening, I was stuck on an MBTA 1.3 14 train for three hours and was not available for 15 the meeting. I'm here tonight, though. 16 THE MODERATOR: And we're damn glad 17 to see you. Any further discussion on Project Number 12? 18 19 MS. HORNBLOWER: Mr. Moderator, I do. 20 THE MODERATOR: Ms. Hornblower. 21 MS. HORNBLOWER: Patricia Hornblower, 22 Precinct 1, 111 Village Avenue. I just wanted 23 to say in my previous life, I was a member of

capital expenditures for five years.

1 chairman of capital expenditures for four years. 2 And I will tell you, if I opened up this book 3 and I found my numbers had changed without meeting with us -- I know how long you work, I 4 5 know every single department head that comes in front of you, I know the strategic planning that 6 7 we did, the nine months that we spent going to 8 meetings, and I have to tell you, you should 9 have been told if there were changes. 10

And I used to show up at private meetings with different committees and find out before the book, but it shouldn't have been changed. So I support you 100 percent, the capital expenditures, because you're the ones who put the time in, and I would have known that had I been doing this, I would have been speaking quite strongly, as well. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms.

Hornblower. Mr. Pepoli.

MR. PEPOLI: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. I do want to clarify that the town
manager did inform me of the majority of the
changes prior to the book.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

2.4

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PEPOLI: I just want to make a clarification.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Any other speakers? Mr. Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator, for recognizing me. Leon Goodwin,
town manager. I understand Ms. Hornblower's
point. That is not the case, though.

I did reach out to Mr. Pepoli, who is the chair of the committee, and we discussed the changes that I would be making to the recommendations, and, in fact, Mr. Pepoli attended the finance and warrant committee meeting and made a similar argument in front of them as he is making here tonight. So it would be inaccurate to say that they were unaware of the changes.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir.

Further questions? There is only one proposal before you, and that is for \$700,000. That's the recommendation of the finance and warrant committee, so that's what you're voting for.

Get your clickers out. Yes is green, and no is red. You have 20 seconds to vote. Voting is

1 closed.

1.3

2.4

142 having voted in favor, 70 no, I'm going to ask to apply the two-thirds quantum calculator to that, unless you want me to do it. Peter, did you -- what's the thumbs-up here? It is a two-thirds vote. We're working out some of the kinks here.

So the original motion of \$700,000 passes with a two-thirds vote. That was Number 12. We're going to Number 14. Is there someone who wishes -- Mr. Pepoli, do you wish to speak on 14 -- Project 14?

MR. PEPOLI: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. I know it's a long night. I apologize. I just -- I want to give credit where credit is due to the work that was put in.

On roads, again, \$900,000. We recommended a reduction of \$225,000. We're not saying we're not spending money on roads. We're just saying let's dial it back a little bit while we figure out where we are from a financial standpoint.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Other speakers on the project? Mr. Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr.

.

2.4

Moderator. Leon Goodwin, town manager. Again, as town manager, as departments -- I don't mean to speak for the finance and warrant committee, but I'm sure they also appreciate the work of the CEC, and by no means did we mean to disrespect the recommendation the CEC made.

The reason why we did not follow the recommendation with roads and sidewalks, and I assume we're going to have a similar discussion on that, is because we are in year three of a three-year road and sidewalk plan, and the select board has created this rolling three-year plan, and it goes back a number of years, long before I was here.

At that time, the payment condition index, the PCI, was much worse than it is today. Thanks to the leadership of the select board, the hard work of our engineering department, and the funding provided by you all, our roads are in much better condition today than they were 15 or 20 years ago.

We are in the middle -- or the end of a plan, rather. Our sort of rebuttal to the CEC

recommendation was we're in year three of a three-year plan. If the desire as a town is to reduce the amount we're spending on roads and sidewalks, let's have that discussion before we put together our next three-year plan, and we can design our plan around that lowered amount.

Currently, the plan has been adopted. There's a list of roads published on our website that we say we're going to do in FY '22, and if we lower the funding amount, there's a number of folks, maybe some of you sitting in this room, who will be quite disappointed when we reach out to you and say even though your road is on that list, we're not going to do it this year, and maybe not even next year, because we're changing the formula of how we fund our roads.

I think it's fair to follow the process. Certainly, we'll have a discussion, and I've called for it myself. We'll pull together the finance and warrant committee, the CEC, and the select board so we can talk about a funding level that we all feel is appropriate with the next road program.

1 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mr. 2 Pepoli. 3 MR. PEPOLI: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Two quick things. I live on 4 5 Greenlodge Street and recommended a reduction. 6 So I know people will be disappointed, but I'm 7 willing to rip the band-aid off on my own. then we also shouldn't -- the select board or 8 9 any other board shouldn't be committing future 10 town meetings to a certain spend of a capital 11 plan. 12 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. Any 13 other discussion on Project 14? There being 14 none, the vote comes on the original motion of 15 \$900,000. All those in favor, please click yes. 16 Those opposed, click no. You have 20 seconds, 17 The vote is closed. please. 18 158 having voted in the affirmative, 19 and 91 in the negative, it is not a two-thirds 20 The floor is now open for an 21 appropriation amount. As we stand now, there is 22 zero appropriated for that project. Mr. Brophy. 23 MR. BROPHY: Matt Brophy, Precinct 2.

I move for 700,000.

2.4

2.4

that line item be funded at the level of \$700,000. We just voted down \$900,000. Mr. Brophy moves \$700,000. Is there a second? Any discussion on \$700,000? There being none, the vote comes on \$700,000. Using your clickers, please vote green for yes, red for no. Four seconds. By the way, we may find that 20 seconds is more than you need. We thought we'd start there, and the votes are coming in. The vote is closed.

It is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. We now to move to Project 15. The Chair recognizes Brian Keaney. Mr. Keaney is submitting a substitute motion or an amendment to the proposal made by finance and warrant. Mr. Keaney.

MR. KEANEY: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. My name is Brian Keaney, and today I live at 183 Grant Avenue, but 30 years ago, I lived on Madison Street, and every day my sisters and all our friends and I would walk down the sidewalks every day to get to the Oakdale School.

1.3

Twenty years ago, the town re-paved that road, and when they did, they took out the sidewalks. So from the chainlink fence behind the Oakdale School all the way over to the front lawn of the neighbors across the street was just one ugly continuous sheet of asphalt. So we weren't happy about that. The neighbors weren't happy about that.

So at least one person from every house on Madison Street, between Cedar and Mount Vernon, signed a petition and asked for new sidewalks to be put in. We came before town meeting. Town meeting said no. Okay, we move on.

A couple of years later, the same thing happened. Another street had their sidewalks taken out. They came before town meeting and said we'd like new sidewalks, please, and the town said yes. So Madison Street comes back and says we want new sidewalks. Town meeting says no for a second time. So fast forward to last year, the town re-paves Madison Street again; again, no sidewalks.

I don't know what it is about Madison Street that the town doesn't think that it deserves sidewalks, but I do know that every kid that walks down High Street to get to the Avery School has a sidewalk. Every kid that walks down Greenlodge has a sidewalk. Every kid that walk down Needham Street to get to the Riverdale School has a sidewalk. We even took out a 30-year bond for a 20-year road on Whiting Avenue so the kids at the high school and the middle school would have nice streets and sidewalks to walk on.

It just doesn't make sense to me. I don't know what the issue is. Now, I recognize the town meeting is not the best place to be picking and choosing which streets get sidewalks and which don't, and I also know that maybe, possibly, in the future at some point, we might get a new Oakdale School, and maybe, possibly, during that project, it might be time to -- might be possible to put new sidewalks in there.

But we didn't get this right the first time when it would have been cheaper and

easier and better. We didn't get it right the 1 second time or the third time or the fourth 2 3 time. So I don't want to wait for a possible fifth time somewhere off in the future where it 4 5 may or may not be feasible to do it as part of 6 the Oakdale School project. I also know that if 7 we wait until we re-pave Madison Street again 8 that not only will my kids be out of Oakdale, 9 they'll be out of Dedham High. So that's just too long to vote -- to wait. 10 11 So Mr. Moderator, I move that Line Item 15 be amended -- and this is in the 12 1.3 handout, by the way -- Line Item 15 be amended 14 to set aside \$285,000 to be used to construct 15 concrete sidewalks with granite curbs and green 16 strips on both sides of Madison Street between 17 Cedar Street and Mount Vernon Street. Thank you 18 very much. 19 Thank you. THE MODERATOR: Further 20

discussion? Mr. Pepoli.

MR. PEPOLI: I can't disagree with that.

21

22

23

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. So what we're voting on first is an amendment. So you

1	have a proposal from the finance and warrant
2	committee for \$500,000. The amendment is that
3	\$285,000 of that be dedicated solely to the
4	project that Mr. Keaney has described.
5	If you vote yes, it does not raise
6	the appropriation, but it means that 285 has to
7	be spend on that one project. So is that clear?
8	We're not raising it. So because this is an
9	amendment, to pass the amendment requires only a
10	majority vote. Once the amendment is either
11	passed or not passed, then we would go to a two-
12	thirds vote on the half million. Mr. Sullivan.
13	MR. SULLIVAN: Can I still ask a
14	question?
15	THE MODERATOR: I'm sorry?
16	MR. SULLIVAN: Can I still ask a
17	question?
18	THE MODERATOR: Sure.
19	MR. SULLIVAN: Jim Sullivan, Precinct
20	2.
21	THE MODERATOR: Get up a little
22	closer there, Jim.
23	MR. SULLIVAN: Jim Sullivan, Precinct
24	2.

	1 uge 02
1	THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir.
2	MR. SULLIVAN: Are these sidewalks on
3	the list that's been approved?
4	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin is
5	shaking his head no.
6	MR. SULLIVAN: So that's no. So that
7	means someone else's project is not going to get
8	approved or not get funded?
9	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin is
10	shaking his head yes.
11	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.
12	THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.
13	Sullivan. Any other questions or discussions?
14	Mr. LoPorto.
15	MR. LoPORTO: Bob LoPorto, P5. Going
16	off of that last question, what sidewalks are up
17	to be redone next year?
18	THE MODERATOR: I don't know if Mr.
19	Goodwin has got the sidewalk plan in his
20	briefcase. He's looking for it on his iPhone.
21	The Chair recognizes Mr. Flanagan while Mr.
22	Goodwin is zeroing in.
23	MR. FLANAGAN: Thank you very much.
24	Joe Flanagan, public works. So some of the

sidewalks that we'll be doing this year will be the roads that are scheduled to be paved on the paving list.

Unfortunately, if we do Brian's proposal for Madison Street, which would look great, and I'd be definitely for that, but some of the other streets that we are going to get paved will not get done. So we may do the roads, but we would not do the sidewalks.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

MR. FLANAGAN: I don't have the list.

I'll just see if I could look it up. I have a
list that is for next year's work. I know Burke

Street will not get done, Sheila Road. There's
a group in East Dedham that we're working in.

So those roads will probably most likely not get done.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Flanagan. Further questions or discussions?

We're voting on the amendment first, do you want to earmark \$285,000 for Madison Street. Any questions? Mr. Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Flanagan, and thank you, Mr. Moderator. So on

2.4

the town's website, under the engineering 1 2 department, if you look under reports, there is 3 a roads plan that is published there. I could 4 read through them. I'm guessing there's 5 probably 75 on that list. So do you want me to 6 read through each and every one? 7 THE MODERATOR: No, sir, no, no. That was quick. Any other questions or 8 9 discussions on the amendment? Yes, ma'am? MS. RELYEA: Kristin Relyea, 10 11 Precinct 4. I just wanted to know where the 285 12 came from. I'm in support of paving -- I have 1.3 kids that go to Oakdale School -- but it 14 just seems like a large portion of that --THE MODERATOR: So you're asking how 15 16 did that particular number get --17 MS. RELYEA: Yeah. THE MODERATOR: 18 Mr. Keaney? 19 MR. KEANEY: Thank you, Mr. 20 Moderator. That came from Joe Flanagan, who got 21 way more applause than I did. 22 THE MODERATOR: Good answer. Other 23 questions? If not, the vote -- oops, Mrs. Fay, 2.4 Susan Fay.

MS. FAY: Susan Fay, Precinct 1. My kids all went to Oakdale, so I know of Madison. I have some concern about the process that this is the venue in which we discuss individual street projects, which we could all champion an individual neighborhood project, and I'm not sure that in this venue this is the time for us to earmark certainly more than half of the funds in this particular line item. Though I'm sensitive to the need, I just wanted to put that comment out there about the process.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Yes, ma'am?

MS. DELUCA: Hi, I'm Danielle DeLuca, Precinct 5. I'm on the active transportation working group, and we have -- as part of that group, we have a safe routes to school task force, so I wanted to invite Mr. Keaney if this doesn't get passed, and for any others who are hoping to improve walking routes for their kids to get to the schools, to consult with us, and hopefully we plan to develop like a list of priorities for sidewalks that need to get put in place to allow kids to walk to school safely.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms.

•

DeLuca. Any others? If not, the vote comes on the amendment -- oops, yes? Just state your name and precinct for us, please.

MS. O'CONNOR-HEISLER: Hi, Theresa

O'Connor-Heisler, Precinct 3, and I'm a huge fan of Brian Keaney. He was in Precinct 3. And our children grew up and walked to school in East Dedham and graduated from the Avery School, and Avery School in East Dedham, there are not a lot of sidewalks. That's why in East Dedham, it's slated to have sidewalks put in, and we've been waiting a very, very long time, just like East Dedham waited a long, long time for a new school to be built.

So I'm all for Oakdale School getting a new school, and I support new sidewalks, but I stand here and ask the town meeting board of the community here to really consider what they've heard from other members and to think about that list, and think about all the children that haven't had sidewalks.

We're the least economic sound community in the town, and there are not even

2.4

curb cutouts, and they're very high. So please think of that. And for people that have handicapped children, and if your child was in a wheelchair, there's not access for them to take their child. So please think of that when you're voting. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Heisler. Mr. Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. If I could, I would like to expand
on my prior answer, clarify a little bit.

So I was answering the question of what roads would be basically impacted by changes to the plan, which I think is about 75 roads -- I'm scanning it quickly because it includes Mill and Overlay, Reclamation, as well as a whole list of roads that will get routine maintenance. Routine maintenance for those roads -- there are many more of them in that category, but that would not involve sidewalk work.

So the DPW director just informed me that looking at the list of roads that are getting significant work in that Mill and Overly

and Reclamation categories, the ones that would 1 2 potentially lose a sidewalk if this is passed 3 would be Pine, Birch, Schiller, Ridge, and Garfield. I got it. 4 5 So that is to clarify. Again, so those are the roads that are on the table. If 6 7 this body feels that Madison Street deserves 8 this money this year, those other roads would 9 likely not get sidewalks when they are worked on 10 this year. 11 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Delloiacono. 12 1.3 MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you, Mr. Moderator, town meeting members. 14 Carmen 15 Delloiacono, Precinct 4. I believe an article 16 before went from \$900 to \$700,000. That means there's \$200,000 that was accounted for, now 17 floating. If Mr. Keaney would kindly change his 18 article to do one side of the street, I think 19 20 that would fit within that, and everyone can 21 leave here at least semi-happy. Thank you. 22 THE MODERATOR: Ms. Matthews. 23 MS. MATTHEWS: Margaret Matthews,

Precinct 6. After my gyrations there, I forgot

2.4

1 where I lived.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: It's hot in here, Margaret.

MS. MATTHEWS: Mrs. Fay is correct.

This is not the venue, nor am I interested in this body setting a precedent that we will take an individual street and completely overhaul the priorities that we pay Joe Flanagan to create and that we often vote on. So I am not in support of this amendment. I believe it is completely out of order, and I think we should vote on the -- well, however we need to vote. It's just not right to do it this way, so consider that.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Let me point out that you don't have to vote for the amendment if you don't like it, but it's not out of order, literally, and I'm not sure that's really what Ms. Matthews meant, but it is properly before you. Anybody else?

The vote comes on the amendment. Get your clickers. Vote yes if you're in favor of Mr. Keaney's recommendation. Vote no if you are not. You have 20 seconds. Close the vote.

15 voting yes, 229 in the negative, 1 2 the amendment does not pass. The motion now 3 comes on the original motion for Project Number 15 that the sum of \$500,000 be borrowed. We can 4 5 try for a voice vote. All those in favor, 6 please say aye. 7 (Aye.) 8 THE MODERATOR: No. 9 (No.) 10 THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds 11 vote. Thank you. Now we move to 16 Central 12 Avenue sidewalks. Mr. Pepoli. 1.3 MR. PEPOLI: Having just seen the vote on that, you know my position on this. 14 15 Let's just take a vote. Thank you. 16 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Anybody 17 There being none, the motion comes else on 16? 18 on the original motion of the finance and warrant committee of \$175,000. All those in 19 20 favor, please say aye. 21 (Aye.) 22 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 23 (No.) 2.4 THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds

vote. We move down to 17, Colburn Street traffic calming. Questions? Ms. Martin.

MS. MARTIN: Maryanne Martin,

Precinct 5. The traffic calming article that
came before us was for temporary traffic calming
deterrents on Colburn, they were not for
permanent structures, and it was to see
basically -- it sounds to us like an experiment
-- if the neighborhood liked it, then we'd get
permanent ones, and if the neighborhood didn't
like it, we'd just pick them up and move them.
I want to know if that's correct, and if so, can
those temporary traffic calming for \$50,000 be
used elsewhere?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mr

Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Leon Goodwin, town manager.

project that is a recommendation of the

transportation advisory committee, as a result

summary was correct. These are for -- this is a

of numerous complaints that the select board

received relative to speeding and vehicular

strikes on Colburn Street.

2 3

2.4

So these are temporary structures that we would put in place to see if they basically are effective at slowing people down in that neighborhood. If they are effective, we would move forward with a permanent fix. The structures could be removed, these temporary structures, and reused in a different location.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Further questions? Mr. Connally.

MR. CONNALLY: I'm Jean Connally,

Precinct 3. I led the movement on this. A

bunch of neighbors on the Colburn Street area,

the cars were getting hit, including mine. Two

of my cars got hit.

Cars speed down Colburn Street all the time. There was an engineering study done, and the study found that there was a lot more cars speeding down Colburn Street than -- that is in the limits. They're speeding. Cars are getting hit.

So we had the study done, and it was recommended to put these speed bumps in to see if we can calm these measures. Keep in mind that Colburn Street is a key street where kids

are always walking down the street to go down to
the Avery School. So there's kids going down
there all the time, and there's cars getting
hit.

So we brought this up, and it was suggested that we put in these speed bumps, and once the speed bumps go in, yes, there will be more votes in here to keep them in place, but we need to get action done here. This is not something that you can just put aside, because people's safety is at risk, kid safety are at risk, and property is being damaged. So I just wanted to speak up on that.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Connally. Mr. Driscoll.

MR. DRISCOLL: Jim Driscoll, Precinct 4. I'm curious to know if the traffic calming devices are installed and that people want to keep them, can you keep the traffic calming features that you paid \$50,000 stay in place, or do they have to be taken out and have new ones put in, new permanent ones put in, and, if so, how much would they cost?

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin.

2.4

1.3

1.3

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator, thank you, Mr. Driscoll, for the question. I just consulted with our public works director, Mr. Flanagan. It would be recommended that we remove these devices out of the road and put in permanent structures that are made out of asphalt. The permanent structures would cost, estimated, less than \$50,000 to put in place.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Any other questions? Mr. Krueger.

MR. KRUEGER: Charlie Krueger,

Precinct 3. In the last ten years, we've had

these temporary speed bumps put in our streets

because we had the bridge redone, and then we

had our roads redone. They work. Why spend

\$50,000 to see if they work? They work.

It slowed the traffic down in our neighborhood. We woke up one day, they were gone. They are removable. If you're going to be serious about it, why spend \$50,000 on temporary, when we already know they work? Put the regular ones in. We've been asking for them for ten years now.

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Krueger. Other speakers on this project? If not, the vote comes on the original motion for Project Number 17, which is \$50,000. Let's try for a voice vote. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no.

(No.)

THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds vote. We are moving to Project Number 23, parks and recreation move to Capen. Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5.

So I asked this question during the mini town meeting and got an okay answer, but I probably should have noticed that 25 was on here, too.

So the question I have is has the parks and rec commission and the school committee put a memorandum of understanding in place so that they will not be bumped out of that building?

One of the main reasons why recreation programs were removed from the school department buildings is because they would be interrupted or delayed due to the needs of the

school department. There needs to be solid MOU
that's going to protect the recreation
department to be able to stay in a building that
they shouldn't be in permanently.

Secondly, on 25, the Dolan Center roof and structural, ceiling repairs. Is the recreation department then moving back to the Dolan Center once the Dolan Center is fixed? There is serious structural issues with the Dolan Center. Will the rec department be moving back, and if not, there is an MOU, memorandum of understanding, between the recreation department and the board of selectmen on what will happen at the Dolan Center.

By the way, the Dolan Center is named after the very first woman commissioner for the Town of Dedham, and it would be a shame to lose that identity for that building. So if someone could answer me, have both of the rec boards and the school department come to an MOU decision, and two, what is the future of the Dolan Center?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Maher.
Mr. Goodwin.

1.3

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Maher, for the question, thank you, Mr. Moderator. If I get anything wrong -- and I do see the school superintendent over there, and he can correct me -- but as of right now, there is no MOU between the school department and the parks and rec commission for the use of the Capon building for parks and rec.

There have been numerous discussions. We are meeting again, I think in the next week or two, to try to move that MOU forward. There is a draft MOU that has been put together, but there has been no finalized MOU. Similarly, without an MOU to move the parks and rec commission and parks and rec department out of the Dolan Center, there has been no finalized changes to the MOU between the select board and the parks and rec commission.

MR. MAHER: What are the future plans for the Dolan Center?

THE MODERATOR: All questions through the Chair, please. Is there anybody here to answer that question?

MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Moderator, I can

answer that question, as well. We have not come up with future plans for the Dolan Center at this time because this has not been determined at this point in time. Certainly, I think there would have to be a discussion at the select board level with parks and rec, as well, to determine what the future use of that building would be that would best serve the community.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

MR. MAHER: The only department in this town that does not have a permanent home is the recreation department, and if they're going to be bounced from one building to another building to another building, the consistency of the programming will fail. Somewhere along, we have memorandum of understandings, which try to protect both parties or elected boards, but I'm not hearing that decisions are being made.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Maher. You'll notice that we're discussing actually two projects at once. We ordinarily do not do that, but it is allowable when they're interrelated, so we're allowing Mr. Maher to talk on both 23 and 25. Mr. Delloiacono.

2.4

MR. DELLOIACONO: Rich Delloiacono,
Precinct 7. I'm sorry to make this night even
longer, but I just want to know, how did the
roof and all the damage to the Dolan Center add
up to be \$280,000?

I understand, going by that building for the last few years, there's been a tarp on the back of the roof. Did that lead to this?

Was it neglected? How did we end up with this amount of money to take care of this building?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir.

Denise Moroney, director of facilities.

MS. MORONEY: Thank you, Moderator.

Thank you for your question. So the Dolan

Center roof has been a problem for the past

three years. We were originally called to the

building because a light fixture was failing,

and water was coming in the section that you're

talking about that is now tarped. We had

requested funds to replace the roof, and when we

got our bids in, it was significantly higher in

price, and that's why we are asking for the

additional funds.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

1 MR. DELLOIACONO: So there was a leak 2 three years ago? 3 MS. MORONEY: Correct, and we asked for the --4 5 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Delloiacono --6 MS. MORONEY: -- funds three years 7 ago, and we have had Covid, which has --8 MR. DELLOIACONO: Go ahead, Mr. 9 Moderator. 10 THE MODERATOR: Go ahead and answer 11 that, Denise. State your questions, and then 12 we'll see who's going to answer them. Go ahead. 1.3 I'm sorry, go ahead. 14 MS. MORONEY: So because of the 15 delays with Covid and the fact that we didn't 16 have the funds to proceed with the bids that 17 came in, we had to ask for more funds, and 18 that's why we're before you tonight asking for 19 those additional funds so we can go back out to 20 bid and solve the problem. MR. DELLOIACONO: I understand costs 21 22 have gone up due to Covid and such, but it sounds like for \$280,000, it seems like there's 23 2.4 some structural integrity that was taken away

from the building because it's been put off. 1 2 I don't understand why \$280,000 went 3 from a simple roof leak to now dealing with most 4 likely mold, structural damage for roof studs, 5 whatnot. I just have this question because it 6 seems like an awful lot of money for something 7 that probably could have got resolved a few 8 years back. 9 Thank you, Mr. 10 MR. MODERATOR: 11 Delloiacono. Ms. Moroney, if you can answer --12 you've already answered. Thank you. 1.3 MR. DELLOIACONO: I just want to know 14 who's accountable for this from three years ago? 15 I understand you asked for money in the budget, 16 but because --17 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Delloiacono, don't direct your questions directly to Ms. 18 Moroney. Ask the questions to --19 20 MR. DELLOIACONO: Me being a 21 taxpayer, and I'm here representing the rest of 22 the taxpayers of the town --23 THE MODERATOR: Yes, I understand. 2.4 MR. DELLOIACONO: -- I was -- I'm

just curious --1 2 THE MODERATOR: You've asked your 3 question. MR. DELLOIACONO: -- as to how it got 4 5 to be this bad. 6 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin. 7 MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. 8 Moderator. 9 MR. DELLOIACONO: Thanks, Leon. 10 MR. GOODWIN: So again, I think Ms. 11 Moroney, who's our facilities director, answered 12 the question quite well that we were notified of 1.3 this issue three years ago through a series of 14 funding requests, bids that have come in. 15 We all know that construction costs have gone through the roof, no pun intended. 16 17 This project has been delayed. It has been 18 protected for those three years. The structural 19 damage did not occur within the last three 20 years. The structural damage occurred many 21 years prior to that. This was not a new building when we 22 23 took it over. It was an older building that we

purchased. It's very likely that that roof was

2.4

	Page 103
1	leaking in some way for a number of years before
2	the light fixture failed, and then that is
3	probably what caused the structural damage that
4	we are now having to fix when we fix the roof.
5	THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.
6	Goodwin. Mr. Delloiacono.
7	MR. DELLOIACONO: Quick question,
8	please.
9	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Delloiacono?
10	MR. DELLOIACONO: Yes.
11	THE MODERATOR: I'm asking you to
12	listen to me on this.
13	MR. DELLOIACONO: Go ahead.
14	THE MODERATOR: We don't do back and
15	forth. If you want to speak again, ask to be
16	recognized. I'll recognize you. I see you
17	there. Go ahead, my friend.
18	MR. DELLOIACONO: Mr. Moderator, Rich
19	Delloiacono, Precinct 7.
20	THE MODERATOR: Thank you.
21	MR. DELLOIACONO: Just out of
22	curiosity, is there such as an emergency relief
23	fund for structural damage and stuff on our
24	buildings?

Mr. Goodwin. THE MODERATOR:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

1.3

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

2.4

MR. GOODWIN: We do have a reserve fund of \$250,000 that covers emergency funding requests. This really didn't fall within that because we did have a funding mechanism that we thought would work.

> MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: I understand you're trying to get to the bottom of something that's complicated, Rich, but be recognized, and then we do it that way instead of getting a tennis match going back and forth. Yes, Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5. Other than the parks and rec employees, I'm probably one of the few people in this town that's been in the Dolan Center as much as the employees, and I can tell you right now this problem did not start three years ago.

It started six years ago when we had a heavy winter, and there were ice dams that were tearing the walls apart at the Dolan Center. Ice was coming through the roof, down the walls, and flooding the gym area.

This is not a three-year issue.

beam that they're supporting now is all rotted out. They haven't even supported the one that's all eaten out by the carpenter ants. So there's more than \$280,000 worth of damage at the Dolan Center because once they open up those walls, it's not going to be pretty. A lot of the electrical is failing because the mice have chewed through a majority of the wiring. Alarms don't work.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Maher.

I want to remind folks that we're voting on appropriations here. We're not going to try to manage the park and recreation department here.

These questions are legitimate questions, but at the end of the day, what we're voting on is whether you want to spend this money or not.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. PENDLETON: Christina Pendleton,
Precinct 7. I'm curious. We're bouncing back
and forth between the two articles. One article
says that there's going to be a move to Capen of
parks and rec. Is that just a partial move?
Why are we -- I'm just curious why we're talking
about putting almost \$300,000 into a building

that's failing. I've been in there a lot, too.

I couldn't agree more. So why wouldn't we put
that money into the Capen and move parks and rec
there and just find another use for that
building at another point or level it?

THE MODERATOR: There's a fair amount of confusion here, and the confusion is not unfounded. So we're going to ask the question once. We'll have it answered once, and if you're not happy with the answer, it can reflect in your vote.

So the question is why are we appropriating money to move parks and rec into a renovated Capen School and at the same time doing structural repairs on the Dolan Center.

Those may not be at all contradictory, but I'd like one person to answer what the thinking is, and then we'll vote based on the information you have. Ms. Moroney.

MR. MORONEY: Thank you. So as far as the roof repairs at the Dolan, we don't want to abandon the Dolan Center. It's still a building that we can use, and we should be protecting the inside interior of the building

1.3

by replacing the roof and then doing the structural repairs of the beam in the dance studio. So we are looking to protect the building and basically repair all these issues that have been going on for several years.

THE MODERATOR: So it's not contradictory to repair the building, even though you may move the department?

MS. MORONEY: Correct.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Any other questions or discussion? We discuss the two of them together, but they get voted separately.

So first, on Line Item 23, there is a request of \$60,000 to move parks and rec into a renovated Capen School, and a couple of specifics are listed there. All those in favor of spending \$60,000 on this, please click your clickers yes. If not, click them no. The vote is closed.

It is a two-thirds vote, so you have voted to borrow \$60,000 to move the parks and recreation department. Now we come down to the Dolan Center, and I don't want to put words in anybody's mouth, but it sounds like that you are

1 requesting to repair the Dolan Center, 2 regardless of whether parks and rec moves or 3 not. Is that fair? Are there other questions? Are you 4 5 just heading for your seat, ma'am, or are you 6 heading for the microphone? Just the seat, 7 okay. This is Project Number 25. There is \$280,000 being asked for. All those in -- let's 8 9 try a voice. All those in favor, say aye. 10 (Aye.) 11 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 12 (No.) THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds 1.3 Thank you. Line Item 27, the library 14 vote. This is the sum of \$50,000. Ms. 15 entrance. 16 Martin. 17 MS. MARTIN: No, that's my mother. 18 I'm Ms. Martin. I paid a lot of money to be Ms. 19 Martin. So my question --20 THE MODERATOR: I've tried calling 21 you different names over the years, and I never 22 get it right. A couple of years ago, I called 23 you Martin-Levin, and that wasn't right.

MS. MARTIN: No, that's it legally.

2.4

Enough about my personal life. Oh, my God. So if I wasn't here all night, I'd probably be dating. But anyway, on the library, when it was brought forward to us, and I'm going to be probably directing it through the Chair to the facilities, we had asked a couple of different reasons why we were looking for \$50,000, and basically, it was basically a puddling issue in front of the library, and it was involving water backing up ruining the windows, blah, blah, blah, blah,

1.3

2.4

People smarter than me just started talking about trenching and other ways to divert water, and we were told, or at least my recollection is, we didn't entertain that. So that's why we said no, because we thought there was alternative means of diverting the water, as opposed to it may be nice to redesign the windows and whatever on a beautifully historic building.

THE MODERATOR: Others wishing to speak? Ms. Moroney.

MS. MORONEY: Denise Moroney, director of facilities. So for the library

entrance, the entire building entrance grade is

pitched towards the building. The option of

putting in a trench drain could possibly work,

but even if we do look into a trench drain, it

is still going to cost money to do the work.

So I am perfectly fine with, once we go through all of the different options, presenting that to Drew and Maryanne, but I don't know, quite honestly, if a trench drain will serve the purpose because it won't solve all of the problem there of the grade.

So basically, the entire sections of asphalt in front of that building will need to be excavated, and again, you're going to still have excavation, even if you do trench repairs or trench installation. So I understand the concern during the discussions, and I applaud the optional idea of the trench drains, but again, it's going to take money to do that, no matter what we do. So I would respectfully ask that that \$50,000 be approved.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Further questions? All those in favor of the original motion of \$50,000 for this project, please say

1 aye.

1.3

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: No.

(No.)

THE MODERATOR: It is a two-thirds vote. So in order to kind of clean things up a little bit here, the original recommendation was for \$7,925,496. You've reduced that by \$200,000, so the new motion is \$7,725,496, and just to keep everything above board, I'll do one vote that would include all the projects as listed in the book, remembering that you did amend one of them for \$200,000. Is that clear? This is a two-thirds vote. We're going to borrow money here. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. It is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. Thank you for sticking through all that.

MR. PEPOLI: Mr. Moderator?

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Pepoli, yes, sir.

MR. PEPOLI: Thank you all. I truly

appreciate all of the discussion and debate on

it. Thank you.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

14 15

1.3

16

17 18

20

21

19

22

23 2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. Article 8 was passed by the Chair because it requires a two-thirds vote. Does anybody have any questions on Article 8? If not, the vote comes on the original motion. All those in favor, say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. two-thirds vote. Article 9. That was passed from the floor. Are there questions about Article 9? Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: As a former member of the parks and rec commission, we had two revolving funds, and we used to be able to get reports on a revolving fund. Where can all of the revolving fund reports be found online?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. The Chair recognizes Mr. Arnett.

MR. ARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. John Arnett, finance director. So we are in the process of transitioning over to a new financial system. As of right now, we do have open finance and open gov. I would be more than

2.4

happy to start linking those together to start showing revolving funds in the future or looking into that option.

As of right now, I am not aware of whether or not they are actually online, but if anybody has any questions, feel free to ask me any questions as far as revolving funds are concerned, what the cash balances are and so forth and so on.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Further questions? The vote comes on the original motion that it be indefinitely postponed. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Article 12 on Page 18. The Chair recognizes both.

MS. MacDONALD: Good evening, Mr. Moderator. Sarah MacDonald, Precinct 5, town meeting member and co-chair of the master plan committee. I'm joined by?

MS. PORTER: Jessica Porter of the planning board, and also co-chair of the master plan committee.

1.3

MS. MacDONALD: We appreciate you taking just a few minutes today to receive a brief update on behalf of the master plan committee, which has been working for two-plus years now on the project called "Designing Dedham 2030."

In the back of your book, you'll see some highlights of the plan as recommended, and we want to take a minute to thank town meeting for funding what has been a really extensive and exhaustive process looking at planning from all angles across the community. We hope that this report, when finalized, becomes a tool for town meeting, departments, boards, and committees to help set priorities and policies, some of which we've started to poke at here tonight.

Importantly, we also want to thank the planning board and the select board for their interest and engagement in what has been a lengthy process. This all began by the master plan committee appointments and the work that the planning board did to identify a very, very strong and broad cross section of our community. We'll recognize those folks shortly.

MS. PORTER: So we're trying to keep this very quick because we know it's hot, and we're already having a long night, but I just wanted to tell you a little bit about the process.

Over the two years, we had thousands of people in Dedham engage in any number of events. We had -- I've lost track of the number of meetings -- I think there's something in the back that has that -- so a ton of meetings in person, Zoom, a Dedham day booth, we had surveys, so really a record amount of public engagement, and, in fact, the MAPC, who helped us through this process, has noted -- they actually have quantified and noted that Dedham had some of the strongest engagement in the region of any master plan that they've worked with. Thank you.

So I know many of you participated in those. I'm sure your neighbors did, so we thank you for that. The planning board is currently in the process of reviewing the plan and making clarifications and finalizing in a way that's consistent with all of the goals and

perspectives that we've heard from the community.

We will be hopefully finalizing it over the summer or early fall, and so we encourage you to continue to stay tuned on that, and then, meanwhile, we just wanted to thank and recognize everyone who has really been integral on the process.

So what we're going to do is read your names, and if you would just stand up -- at some point, we'll have actually a little physical token of gratitude, but for now, if we could just ask you all hold the applause until the end, but we'll read names. People could stand up and stay standing until we're finished.

So first, the members of the planning board: John Bethoney, Mike Podolski, Jim

McGrail, Jay O'Brien, and Drew Pepoli. And then we have our fantastic master plan committee members, again, Jay O'Brien from the planning board, Margaret Whitfield-Adams, Dieckmann Cogill, Josh Donati of the school committee,

Nathan Gauthier of the conservation commission,

Dan Hart, Justin Humphreys, Dan Maher, Alix

2.4

O'Connell of parks and recreation, Sharna Small-1 2 Borsellino from the active transportation 3 working group, Andrew Tittler. So those are the citizen 4 5 representatives, and I also want to give a shout 6 out to our town planner, Jeremy Rosenberger, and 7 our assistant town planner, Michelle Tinger. if we could give a round of applause for all of 8 9 Thank you. See, we said it would be 10 short. 11 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. So your 12 summary is in the appendix, and the next step is 1.3 it goes to the planning board; is that correct? Thank you very much. 14 Great. 15 The vote comes on accepting reports 16 in Article 12. All those in favor, please say 17 aye. 18 (Aye.) 19 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 20 (No.) 21 THE MODERATOR: All you're doing is 22 accepting the report. The ayes have it, unless 23 that's challenged by seven people.

Article 13 is passed by the Chair.

2.4

2 3

2.4

It's a two-thirds vote that requires borrowing. We have a substitute motion that's been submitted. So what we're going to do first is ask the proponents of the original motion to give us a presentation.

This presentation will not be subject to the ten-minute rule -- this is too big and too complex an issue -- and then we will entertain the substitute motion, have that put on the floor by the proponent, and then discussion will follow. Dr. Teehan, are you going first?

DR. TEEHAN: Well, it's 100 degrees, and it's 10:00 at night, so perfect time for one of our presentations. We have about 12 slides. We're trying to be brief and get through it. There's a ton of interest in this, there's a ton of engagement, so we want to make sure we answer everyone's questions.

Great. So tonight we are here to discuss a very special and unique opportunity for our town, and I believe I can rightly say that it's a truly once in a century opportunity to create a great public space and a green space

in the heart of our community.

1.3

2.4

I can't tell you how many times in my life that I've driven through Needham or Norwood or another town center and saw the green space they had and said boy, I wish we had something like that in Dedham Square.

Well, now, tonight, you all will have the opportunity to create such a space with an affirmative vote on Article 13, an article which, if it passes, will ensure that no matter what, for generations and generations of future Dedhamites, there will be a green space in the square.

We have a great presentation for you tonight. All of us being here tonight has been the result of a tremendous process of civic engagement, a two-year-long process of analysis, study and discussion, collaboration and resources by many, many individuals to look at the project from many angles.

You will hear from the chairs of the Dedham Square Planning Committee, a group created by the select board to study the square and provide the foundation for the future of

.

this project as we hopefully move from concept to design with town meeting support.

You will see that through their extensive process of study and community engagement why we believe that a public space is far and away the best use of this critically located piece of town or land and pay back most to the town in many ways, including financially.

You will learn about the article you will be voting on, what it does and what it does not do. We will explain to you how town meeting will be voting on an appropriation and not a design. That's very important.

And while we have some nice concepts to show you later in the presentation of what we feel confident we can do for the money we're asking for, based on the reports of two separate consultants, nothing is decided yet about how this project will be designed, and a full design phase with a public collaborative process will ensue.

You will learn about the select board's consideration for this site related to traffic, pedestrian safety, cost and the

2.4

possibility of underground utilities on the site. You will see the select board plan for the path forward to get this project from concept to the great final result our citizens deserve, and you will learn about the work the select board has created and appointed already, whose express purpose will be to work with our town manager to help integrate the principles identified important by the square planning committee into the actual RFQ for the design, and you will also learn about the financial considerations about the product from our finance committee chair.

What I hope everyone will see when this presentation is done is that there has been a very thorough and effective process to this point and that has led us here to where we are this evening going back several years.

Everything that has happened to this point has been consistent while many other public projects of this town have not.

It has been a thorough, comprehensive and analytic process, and you will see the select board has, I believe, an effective plan

1.3

2.4

to move the project forward to an effective successful final result. And at that, I'm going to pass to our first presenters, the chairs of the Dedham Square Planing Committee, Jessica Porter and Mike Podolski.

MS. PORTER: Thank you, Dennis. So I'm going to do the first slide. Perfect. One more, I think. So I'm going to talk about the -- give you a brief history of the town green project and how we got to this point.

As we know, the police station will be moving, hopefully in October or November, to our new public safety building, thanks, in part, to this group funding that project a few years ago.

The use of the town owned land is under the jurisdiction of the select board, and the select board tasked the Dedham Square Planning Committee to analyze the potential uses for that building. We actually went through two different phases of our work.

The first was working with architects and real estate analysts to do a very thorough understanding of what are the different ways

that that parcel could be used. It could be torn down, reused as it is, turned into a parking lot, or turned into a town green, and Mike is going to talk a little bit about the specifics, but what I want you to understand is that we have actually cost it out and did a really, really extensive analysis.

All of that is in the report that hopefully you've had a chance to look at. And after understanding that analysis, and in particular, how little financial benefit there would be to the town in selling the property, the committee unanimously voted to pursue keeping the property and having that be used as a town green.

We then went through an extensive design phase because we wanted to be able to come to this body with actual numbers. So we went through an extensive design phase to cost out what would it cost to actually build a great town green for Dedham, and that's how we get to the numbers that we're here tonight.

I think one of the things that's particularly important for you to understand is

1.3

1.3

a level of community engagement in this project. We had over a dozen meetings. Some of those opened to the whole public. Some were focused on stakeholders, such as two meetings that we held at the senior center, knowing how important our seniors are in terms of thinking about both financial expenditures and also the use of land that's very close and walkable from the senior centers. We wanted to make sure that any project would be really reflective of their ideas and priorities.

We had a workshop with Girl Scouts at the site, and I can tell you my favorite thing about that was that as we were on the driveway of the police station working with Girl Scouts talking about what they would like to see in a town green, every police officer who left the building said please tear this down. So the girls definitely got the message that we were not going to -- it was not a good idea to keep that building.

We did, as I said, large community meetings in person, on Zoom. We luckily managed to have about six weeks of low code rate so we

2.4

could go to places like the Endicott Estate, the Motherbrook Art Center, and overall have come up — have really been able to prioritize and understand how people in town really want to see that space used. So with that, I'm going to hand over to my co-chair, Mike Podolski.

MR. PODOLSKI: Good evening. Mike

Podolski, vice-chair of the planning board and

co-chair with Jessica of the Dedham Square Reuse

-- Dedham Police Station Reuse, now known as the

Dedham Square Planning Committee. I'm trying

not to prolong a long night.

Jessica described to you that our committee was formed at the request of the select board who justifiably knew well in advance once the public safety building started that we were going to be stuck with an empty building in Dedham Square unless we did something, so two years ago, we started our committee.

At the request of the select board, our committee was formed, and I just quickly wanted to note to all of you that our committee contained a representative from every district

2.4

in the town, as well as Selectman Dennis Teehan, parks and rec, finance and warrant, Dedham Square Circle, and the Council on Aging.

We were assisted also by our economic development office, which is John Sisson and Michelle Tinger. We met for two years during the pandemic by Zoom, met every month, and then in between months -- within months in order to get to a resolution.

As part of our analysis that's most important to you tonight is on the board. We looked at the economic benefits, security benefits, and environmental benefits of the four proposed uses, starting first with selling the parcel. As far as the committee was concerned, that was a nonstarter.

As is said many times in real estate, they're not making any more land. There's no reason the Town of Dedham should give up a parcel of land in the heart of Dedham Square. You get a one time drop in the bucket financially, and then someone else controls the property for the rest of -- who knows how long.

The second thing was we would keep

the parcel and make it into a parking lot. The was analyzed by the experts that Jessica
mentioned, as well as myself and Jessica as being members of the planning board, and that

5 really became a nonstarter for us.

Dedham Square presently is a very hot spot, as you might imagine. It's all asphalt and roofs, and so when you look down on Dedham Square from the bird's eye view, it's just a heat island. So to take down a building and then put in asphalt didn't make a lot of sense to us.

Plus, it's a very, very, as you can probably all well attest, busy, busy intersection, High and Washington, so it would take a lot of study to be able to put a parking lot there, where you would enter, how you would exit. It just didn't make economic sense to the town. And it would benefit some of the businesses in the town, the square probably, but not as much as we found out the town green would.

The third option was, well, let's keep the building and see if we could reuse it.

2.4

2.4

Well, we took a tour of the police station. I hope some of you had that opportunity. It's basically an unusable building. It's built in 1962. It's got multiple rooms on multiple levels. It's got asbestos through the building. It's got a practice range in the cellar. It's got holding cells on the first floor. It's not the type of place you're going to put the Council on Aging or something like that. Nobody came forward and said, oh, we want that building right there. We're going to reuse it for the Town of Dedham. It didn't happen.

So through guidance from community members, we came up with the final analysis idea, which was, well, let's keep the parcel and tear down the building, and let's build a green. It seemed to make sense, and the more we looked at it, the more we analyzed it, the more we found that economically, community wise, and environmentally wise, it was the best use we could find for that parcel of land.

So after these many years of study, in February of this year, we took a vote -- the committee, that is -- and we voted to recommend

that the parcel be made into a green space park. We sold that idea around, met with Council on Aging neighbors, we met with the neighborhood, we met with the community, and by November, we were ready to -- made our report.

We made our report to the board of selectmen recommending that the parcel be used as a green space in the town square, and we're very proud of that recommendation, and we hope you'll support the recommendation that the park be so sited where we've discussed and reviewed, and hope that you will -- that all of us will get to enjoy it. Thank you.

MS. SULLIVAN: Good evening,
everyone. My name is Dimitria Sullivan, and I'm
a member of the select board, and I'm going to
actually go over the time frame.

In November of 2021, the DSPC presented its recommendation to the select board for a town green, which included a conceptual design. In January of 2022, the select board hosted two listening sessions and gathered additional public input before voting on warrant Article 13.

2.4

Community feedback significantly showed a desire to design a community town green in Dedham Square, and on January 20, the select board voted unanimously to approve the future use of the police station property at 600 High Street for the development of a town green.

On March 24, the select board voted to appropriate up to \$2.5 million for the total cost of design, demolition, construction, and outfitting the town green. Article 13 was then sent to the finance and warrant committee for review and recommendation. On April 13, the select board voted to create a town green working group, and on May 11, representatives were appointed.

So you may ask why a phased approach. As the chief executive officers of the town, the select board was responsible for reviewing the proposal in its totality, including the overall project cost and impact to all residents. The select board considered multiple factors: cost, boundaries, the Verizon parcel, traffic impact, and utilities.

So first we looked at the project's

cost, as presented to the board. The estimated cost associated with the reconstruction of curbs disproportionately increased the overall project costs. We also considered the cost impact again on all residents. Boundaries, the Verizon parcel. The use of the parcel is not currently an option for the town, which reduced the overall project footprint of the committee's proposed parcel boundaries.

Next, we looked at traffic, parking, and safety. An additional study of traffic and safety of the entire High and Washington Streets intersections is needed to analyze potential changes to the existing layout. After completing the necessary study, all changes must be made through the town engineering department, and Article 13 includes \$50,000 for a dedicated traffic study to identify available options.

Then we looked at the underground utilities. Uncertainties exist regarding underground utilities within Dedham Square. A complete underground survey and study must be completed before any excavation work that may

1.3

disturb the utility lines or connections preventing disruption and increase costs, etcetera.

Considering all of the above, the select board recommends a phased approach. Phase one includes the demolition of the existing building, working within the existing police station parcel boundaries, shown in red on the slide, and completing a traffic study of the entire intersection.

Phase two, following additional study and analysis, phase two and future phases could include work outside the existing parcel boundaries, including potential sidewalk, roadway expansions, and additional amenities.

We collaborated on a compromise, a plan to keep the momentum.

The select board is committed to integrating the work of the DSPC and the community into the final design and created the Town Green Working Group, TGWG, to accomplish just that. The group consists of six members: five appointed by the select board, and one nominated by the DSPC.

1.3

who's involved? Well, the TGWG will be to work with the town manager and the town engineer to develop the request for qualifications, RFQ, to solicit designers, help develop criteria to select a designer, develop and execute public outreach throughout the formal design phase, provide regular updates to the select board, and present the recommended final design plans to the select board for the board's consideration and vote.

The select board's role will be to oversee the TGWG and support their community engagement efforts, work with the town staff to share information and updates about the project, overall budget, and then the select board will vote on the final design of the town green. I thank you for your time this evening, and next, I will ask select board member Erin Boswell to come on up.

MS. BOSWELL: Thank you, Dimitria and all of the previous presenters. I think this is a really amazing opportunity for our town. To say that the process that has been undertaken

2.4

under the past two years is a new gold standard in community feedback and design is an understatement.

As has been discussed, hundreds of members of our community have provided their feedback through a multitude of opportunities over the past couple of years in order to share their vision, ideas, wants, and needs for this town green, and I'm excited by the ideas that were presented by our community members and neighbors.

Some of the concepts that came as a result of these discussions, again with the diverse groups around our town, we have an opportunity to create a community porch where there could be tables and seating.

I have many memories over the decades in Dedham Square, and those include memories where I would go to get an ice cream or a cup of coffee and be left standing in the middle of the sidewalk because there weren't benches or seating for me to sit at with my family. I think it's really executing that we have an opportunity to provide that in the heart of our

downtown that can really serve to bring our community and our families together.

Additional items that came as a result of community feedback include seat walls for sitting, benches, large boulders for kids to climb around and keep them occupied maybe while we finish our coffee, trees for shade, and ADA accessible paths, and also bike racks.

I think what's really important is that we have now had multiple proofs of concept that we can build this park using the concepts -- if someone could advance -- the concepts that have been developed by the Dedham Square Planning Committee and the select board. Each underwent a proof of concept that shows for \$2.5 million, we can create a legacy town green for the future generations.

So it's helpful to have an opportunity to look at what some of these designs have been. And to be clear, we're not voting on a particular design tonight, we're voting on an allocation. But just as an idea, we can see that there's designs that provide ample space for people to gather, seating. I

2.4

can imagine, for instance, having a community concert on a community porch or spending a few minutes in between going to different stores and restaurants in our town, further supporting our local businesses.

I'm excited by this opportunity. I will now pass the presentation on to Dave Roberts, who will go into the finances of the project.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Erin. As we can all see, up on the screen here is the breakdown relative to the costs of this project. One thing that -- during our hearing process, the finance and warrant committee asked for a breakdown relative to the cost to the taxpayers per year, and that is reflected on the screen, as you can see.

We also asked many questions, and we were reassured that throughout this process, the town will continue to seek out grants to help with the funding part of this project. We were also assured that there would be a vehicle for private funding.

We use the example if folks can

2.4

remember when we did the fields adjacent to the high school here that there was a program, buy a brick, buy a bench, things like that, that helped to augment and supplement the aspects of the project. I think at this time, I'm going to turn it back to Dr. Teehan.

MR. TEEHAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Roberts. So we're going to open up to questions in a little bit. I'm just going to make a few closing remarks just to go through a little bit about what happens.

If you vote yes tonight, you're voting on an appropriation, not a design. Part of the appropriation includes money for the design process, which is consistent with how we typically do projects. The town green working group would then work with the town manager to help make that design process a reality.

And we would do the traffic study appropriated to help look at traffic and pedestrian safety and some of the other issues around the curbing because we know those issues are really important to our constituents, and we know that because of the engagement from the

1 square planning group.

1.3

2.4

If we get a no vote tonight, what we will do is we will work with the town green working group to help work with the select board and the town manager to take the baton and figure out what the next steps are for the project. So we're asking for you please to consider a yes vote tonight.

I just want to mention one word about this whole process, and that word is "compromise." And this may not be the exact project that any one of us might want -- we may want less. I know some might want less, and I know some might want more -- but what I can tell you and reassure you tonight is that a tremendous amount of work, study, analysis, and engagement has gone into the article that you're voting on tonight, and really, the article you're voting on tonight, it is the moderate path. It is the middle path. It is the reasonable compromise that is the result of a lot of that work.

The original price tag of this project was \$4.5 million, and then when the

Verizon parcel was excluded, through no action of our own, because they just didn't want to give it to us, the price tag went down to about 3 and a half. The article you're voting on is 2 and a half. And that was really the result of a lot of give and take from a lot of the individuals involved.

We have two consultants that we have worked with that have given us consistency in the pricing, and we feel like right now we can sit up here and say, with a reasonable degree of certainty, that we have a great plan, that we have a good idea of what it will cost, and what we can do.

We're not really quite sure how it's going to lay out yet. That's what the working group and the town manager are going to do. But the article before you is modest, it is reasonable, and it is the result of a very, very long and thorough process of study, analysis, compromise, and engagement, and that's why we're asking you to vote in favor of it this evening. And at that point, I will open it up to questions and say thank you for your time.

2.4

1.3

1.3

THE MODERATOR:

Thank you, Dr.

Teehan. I believe there's a substitute motion, so Dr. Teehan, if you want to take a seat. Is there a substitute motion? Ms. Gilbert.

MS. GILBERT: Good evening. My name is Mary Gilbert, Precinct 4, vice-chair and town meeting member, recently reelected, and I'd like to thank people for casting their votes for me.

I have a crowd behind me. Now, you might think they're in support of what I'm about to say. I hope I can change some minds. My substitute motion is to lower the cost of what we're presenting tonight. My substitute motion, which -- is it up on the board -- it is in the handout. Thank you.

I'm proposing an amendment to Article 13 based on the feedback I have received from residents. The message, \$2,550,000 is too much to spend on a 14,500-square-foot park. That would add \$14.88 for the next 20 years to the residents' tax bill, I agree. But just as clearly, the comments included the desire to keep the land and for some kind of green space in Dedham Square, but not at the price

1 recommended in Article 13.

After a close review -- now, I was given -- the numbers were based on beta that I was given, which, unfortunately, I don't believe there was a handout for town meeting, at the front, and I do not have enough copies, unfortunately, for you to see, but their numbers rang out to \$2,500,000, and \$50,000 was added for the traffic study.

so after a close review of the beta estimate, my amended number is \$1,320,000. This should lower that \$14.88 addition to the residents' tax bill by I think -- and I'm doing this as a rough way -- by about 48 percent, which would bring it down to roughly -- and again, this is my best guess -- to about \$7.74. Again, this is not confirmed, but an estimate based on my number, \$1,320,000, is approximately 48 percent less than what the number is in the article.

To give some perspective on the current proposed town green size, let's compare some other green spaces in Dedham. 96 Trenton Road, the Manor playground, is 17,568 square

1.3

feet. Town meeting voted last year \$389,000 to fund the work on that project. Oakdale Square, Oakdale Common, that is 20,000 square feet.

I appreciate and acknowledge the work that has gone into bringing this article to town meeting. I know there was a lot of engagement. I carefully reviewed these numbers and kept what I believe is necessary to create a simple town green. The most important element to create a green space is to demo, obviously, the building and create -- the most important element is to demo the building and create some infrastructure under that for the site.

That would be done in phase one. You can rest assured there will be a phase two, which will involve additional money from us. Planting a lawn, some trees, some plants, and creating a simple walkway will draw residents to use the open space. If the desire grows to add additional features, we can seek private funding and public grants to further develop the park.

Is the town green a want or a need?

I would say it's both. Funding the need is

2.4

clear and a solid base for a park. It's necessary to remove the building. I believe \$1,320,000 would accomplish creating a simple open green space in Dedham. I respectfully ask for your support to approve my substitute motion for Article 13 that asks for the \$2,550,000 presented in the original article to be lowered to \$1,320,000. Thank you.

to talk for one second about the steps we would take here, so this is an amendment to the main motion, to the original motion. So first, you would vote on the amendment, do you want to cut the price. It would require only a majority vote to amend it.

Then that amended package would go before you, and that would require a two-thirds vote because that's where you'd actually be borrowing the money. So it's a two-step process. Mrs. Keough.

(Pause.)

THE MODERATOR: Are there members who wish to speak? Mr. Sullivan. Then Mr. McDermott.

2.4

MR. SULLIVAN: Jim Sullivan, Precinct

2. I would like to ask you to vote against

Mary's substitute motion and support the

original motion. I'm also the chair of the

building and planning construction committee,

currently working on the public safety building.

We tried to do the comparison to other public safety buildings in other towns. Very simply, they were building some of these buildings in open fields with empty parking lots --

THE MODERATOR: Just move a little closer, Jim, to the mike.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- with plenty of space to stage and build. The Dedham site is pretty much an inner city build, just like this park. There are no fields to stage in. You are on that site, and you're trying to demo a building, you're trying to design a space, and you're trying to build a park. There are no excess lands that you can work from. Comparing it to Trenton Street Park, it's not apples to apples. It just doesn't work.

Our public safety building was

2.4

millions of dollars more than these buildings built in open fields, strictly because of the site, and I find that that's exactly what's going to happen on this, a \$2.5 million project. Like Dennis says, it's a compromise. You'll get something more than just grass is what I think you're going to get from your site. So I'd just ask you to support the original motion. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan. Mr. McDermott and then Dr. Teehan.

Thank you, Mr.

MR. MCDERMOTT:

Moderator, and thank you, town meeting members. Some of you may know one of our favorite constituents, whose name is Sister Barbara Lavin, who came up to me, and she said to me -- by the way, I'm Shaw McDermott, Precinct 1, in case you didn't know.

But anyway, she came up to me and said Shaw McDermott, this is a once in a lifetime opportunity. Don't blow it. So now I'm faced with a choice of trying to do what Sister Barbara told me to do and what my dear friend Mary, whom I've worked with many times on

many projects, is asking us to do. And Mary, I'm sorry to say Sister Barbara wins. Any of those of you who are in town meeting who grew up in the 1950's in Dedham, as I did, would recognizes why you better listen to Sister Barbara.

More substantively, let me say in response again to my dear friend Mary, this is a once in a lifetime opportunity. It is a product of work done by the committee, and you've heard about that. I bring to bear my thinking about this from 30 years in this body, having been in the master plan committees from 1996, 2009, and the most recent time, having served, as many of you know, in Washington and in authority and chairing that authority that did big infrastructure projects, and having there read numerous very dense bond documents and applications for the largest loans in the history of the United States for infrastructure projects.

I'm here to tell you, though, I much like our master plan committee report that you will have a chance to see, that the effort and

2.4

the actual written product that you would have the advantage of reading if you'd like to is one of the best things I've ever seen written by any committee in the Town of Dedham, period, nonstop. I could go on. It is as good as gold and as good as it gets.

The effort was superlative. The report was first rate. The analysis was compelling. Any of you who have had the opportunity of going to Post Office Square in Boston will understand the economic power behind this proposal. This is not just a patch of grass, I'm here to say, this is something that is important to the life and the vitality of public health and the long-term commercial benefit of that square and of our town. Have no doubt about it, I am convinced of it, and I hope you will be, too.

Now, I respect Mary deeply, as you heard, but the trouble with her substitute motion, which was filed this afternoon -- or this morning, is that it doesn't give you the pathway. And I'm also here to tell you, having been involved, as many of you know, in private

philanthropy and involved in some of these big

public works projects, and knowing something

about state and federal funding, that you don't

get what you want from a half-baked plan and a

half-baked process. You just don't get it.

Private philanthropy is smart, and they will go to something that is good and that is compelling. You can't ask people for money by a wish and a promise. That won't work.

Ditto, in terms of presentation to state and federal authorities -- I emphasize federal here -- you're not going to get anything that you want by just being half-hearted about this.

The committee has done a brilliant job. The board of selectmen -- the select board, I should say, have done a brilliant job. Ms. Sullivan and Dr. Teehan did an excellent thing in steering this through a very interesting and worthy process of accommodation to various interests.

What they have presented is first rate, and I ask you, with all deference to my good friend Mary, to vote down her substitute motion and to embrace the original motion, which

1.3

2.4

2 | 3

2.4

the select board by five to nothing and the finance and warrant committee by a vote of eight to one, supported. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

McDermott. We're going to hear from Dr. Teehan,
then Ms. Butler and Mr. Maher, and then Mrs.

Gilbert.

DR. TEEHAN: Thank you. I'll be brief. I just want to reiterate one more time that the article being put before you is the result of a compromise around costs, that we did try to do the financially responsible thing with the 2.5 million. So I just think that needs to be said again.

Second of all, we have no idea what we could do for 1.3 million. There are certain costs that are intrinsically associated with working on that site. Getting into movement of utilities, the design is always going to be ten percent. If you go back to Dave Roberts' first slide, I have no idea what, if anything, we could even do. The cost of removing the building is \$800,000 in and of itself, factory design, utilities, you might have maybe a couple

Page 150 of hundred grand left to finish a park, and who 1 2 knows what, if anything, that would be able to 3 accomplish. 4 Thirdly, please remember this is a 5 critical location for the town, and it is worthy 6 of the study, the resources that we have put 7 into it and that we plan to put into it. will leave it at that, but I just wanted to 8 9 mention those last three things. THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Dr. 10 11 Teehan. Ms. Butler. 12 MS. BUTLER: Cecilia Emery-Butler, 1.3 District 4. I think this is a great idea, but I have real problems with the way this warrant 14 15 article is written. The term "playground 16 purposes" is in this warrant article in two 17 places, and yet people are talking about a town 18 green. So I want to know what is it going to 19 be, a playground or a town green? 20 MR. MODERATOR: Thank you. 21 We'll get your question answered, Ms. 22 Butler.

23

2.4

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5.

First of all, I want to thank town meeting for

supporting the Trenton Road playground. As some of the town meeting members know, we had a community planting this past weekend, and we worked extremely hard, and within days of that community planting, more people have been reaching out to me wanting to donate items for the playground to help decrease the cost of the \$380,000 that you voted for.

I just want you to know that it's going to make an impact in our neighborhood, and I know the town green is going to have an impact on Dedham Square. Just the community camaraderie of digging holes and putting 364 ferns into the ground and watering each and every one of them is backbreaking. But I want you to know that I fully endorse the selectmen's \$2.5 million, because Dennis is right, once you open up that ground, you don't know what you're going to find, and the likelihood of receiving state or federal grants is tough.

We heard tonight that grant money was cut on roads and sidewalks at the state level.

So I think that if we don't fully fund this at the \$2.5 million, I think we'll be very

2.4

1.3

2.4

disappointed. Do it the way we did Trenton Road, fully fund it, and then ask for Dedham Square Circle or the town green fund to help defray the cost of that \$2.5 million on donations. Come back next spring and say okay, we spent the 2.5, but we got half a million dollars in donations and trees and benches.

Of the 380K that you voted for for Trenton Road playground, we're almost \$40,000 in donations to defray the cost, and now that we're getting close to the grand opening this Saturday at 1:00, we've got more people who want to donate. So just be aware that if you're fully funding it at 2.5, there's the opportunity for a nonprofit like the Manor Neighborhood Association to help knock down that cost. Thank you very much.

MR. MODERATOR: Thank you, sir.

We're going to hear from Mary Gilbert and then

Dr. Teehan and then Mr. Matthews. Go ahead, Mr.

Goodwin.

MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Moderator, if I may, I would like to answer Ms. Butler's question about where the term "playground" came

from.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Yeah, I told Ms.

Butler that question would be answered, but we have some other people in line, so just hold that thought, if you would, sir.

MS. BUTLER: As I said, I appreciate all the work that's gone into this, but my appreciation doesn't blind me to the cost, and I believe that 2.5 million is too much for this project.

We were talking about doing it in phases. The first phase can be knock the building down, do the site work, plant some lawns. I looked over the budget, the numbers -- I didn't cut anything for the demolition -- 800,000 is in my number.

For site preparation, the number on the beta was 175. That is in my budget. The hardscape was priced out on the original budget at \$450,000. I cut that to \$95,000. The amenities were \$400,000, amenities. I cut that to \$40,000. The community port structure I just frankly cut altogether, I zeroed that out. The design contract documents at 150, I dropped it

to 100. Because the scope is getting smaller, I thought that number would also go down.

So I really did study this. I believe we do want a green space. I'm advocating for, as Dr. Teehan said, a compromise. We're going to do this in phases. I guarantee you phase two, they're coming back for more money. So let's build up.

We can get private donations, as Mr. Maher said, and we need to start thinking about the debt. That's been the theme tonight, and I think we really need to consider it. There are wants and needs. I'm advocating for the need to take the building down and pay for that and then figure it out from there.

The size of the space is 14,500 square feet. It is a small space. It is inner city, if you will. It might not be a right spot, but there is a desire for open space. I'd like to see it. I'm advocating and asking, respectfully, for you to vote for my substitute motion.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Gilbert. Dr. Teehan.

2.4

1 DR. TEEHAN: I just wanted to address 2 Cecilia's question. There'll be a full design 3 phase, ultimately, to decide what actually goes onto the site. Just from the initial conceptual 4 5 designs, no one is really thinking about a 6 playground on that site. It's not a great 7 location for it. So I think that will be extremely unlikely, but until we go to a full 8 9 design phase, no one knows 100 percent for sure, and that's all, Mr. Moderator. 10 11 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Matthies. 12 1.3 MR. MATTHIES: Fred Matthies, 14 Precinct 3. I don't know if people are aware of 15 this, but we used to pump our own gas there. 16 There's a gas tank in that property. They're 17 talking about the underlying costs of what 18 you're going to find when you start digging things up --19 20 THE MODERATOR: Just move closer to 21 the microphone, if you would, Fred. Thank you. 22 MR. MATTHIES: That's something. 23 When you start digging it up, you're going to 2.4 come to the gas tank. I mean, is this something

2.4

that -- this was already -- is this going to be big money, pit number two, because the town hall was quite a big money pit. Is this going to cause another one? We should know what we're getting into before we start any hole digging. There's been projects where we didn't know the final cost or any close to it, and we went way over budget on it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Matthies. Leon, did you want to add anything to answering Mrs. Butler's question or Mr.

Matthies' question?

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. So to respond to Ms. Butler, the -I'm going to call them legal terms of art. Park
and playground purposes came from the state when
we were applying for the federal land and water
conservation grant. They indicated that we had
to have that language and that dedication in our
warrant article in order to be eligible for
those grant funds.

Now, as town meeting knows, we did not receive those funds, but if we wanted to be eligible in the future or to be eligible for

other grant funds, it's advisable to keep those 1 2 in there. The state looks at parks a little bit 3 differently than the town does, and so when we 4 just had parks in there before, that could be 5 interpreted as meaning just open space and not 6 such an active recreation area as this one is 7 intended to be, and that's why we have that 8 warrant article worded the way we do. 9 With regard to this follow up 10 question, we have done some site assessment. 11 When we were doing environmental, we did do a 12 hazardous materials investigation on the 1.3 building. So we have a fairly good idea of what 14 lies in the site, but again, that will all come 15 to bear when we do the study and start doing 16 some geotechnical work on the site. 17 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. 18 Smith. 19 MR. SMITH: My name is Peter Smith, 20 and I've worked on this project for two years. 21 THE MODERATOR: Just get a little 22 closer.

MR. SMITH: My name is Peter Smith from Precinct 1. I've worked hard on this

23

2.4

2.4

project for two years and have been over the numbers many, many times. I'm a real estate professional. I'm a developer. You may know Norfolk House or Court Street, which I redeveloped. So I've lived with this project, and I hate to say this, but you're hearing wishful thinking.

You can't just come and slice this and slice that. We've had two estimates showing 2.5 million. This is the minimal plan. This is a good plan. Thinking of 1.3 million is wishful thinking, and it's diverting us all.

One of the smartest things that's been done is the select board has picked a working group to deal with issues like this on the ground, the detail of it. That's the only way you get a great project like this going.

You don't debate it like this in town meeting.

You get good people working on it, as have been working on it for two years. We can't pass this moment.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

Ms. Paxson.

MS. PAXSON: Amy Paxson, Precinct 1.

2.4

Most of what I wanted to say Mr. Smith just said, but I just want to reemphasize the fact that we are choosing between a plan that many citizens have spent years working on and received input from hundreds of residents in Dedham, versus a plan that seems somewhat hastily put together and I don't think is going to deliver what the town really wants.

And if we're talking about the difference between \$14 a year versus \$7 a year per taxpayer, I don't think it makes any sense to save \$7 in order to have something that's less good than what we could have.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, ma'am.
Yes, ma'am?

MS. FRIDAY: Susan Friday, Precinct

5. I vote to move the question.

THE MODERATOR: So move the question means end debate. It's not debatable whether to move the question. We have to go straight to a vote on moving the question, even though there were people in line waiting to speak.

So the first vote is to stop talking, move the question. It requires two-thirds to

2 3

cut off debate. Please use your clickers. Vote yes to move the question and stop debate. Vote no to continue debating. You have 20 seconds. You're voting on move the question, not the article -- not the motion shown up there. Close the vote.

So it's been voted to stop debate, which means we go immediately to considering the article. Remember, the first thing we do is we vote on the amendment. The amendment only needs a majority vote to get attached to the main motion, but then that main motion would require a two-thirds vote with that amendment attached to it. Now, is that clear, Mr. Podolski? Is that clear? Sometimes this stuff gets a little convoluted. All those in favor of Mary Gilbert's amendment, please use your clickers, and vote yes if you agree, and vote no if you don't agree. Close the vote.

56 having voted in favor of the amendment, and 191 opposed, the amendment fails. The vote now comes on the original motion, \$2.55 million, as proposed by the proponents. Any questions on what we're voting on? If you have

a question, I can answer your question. We can't talk about the article because we moved the question. We moved it right along, right down the road. We moved that question. I like that, "move the question." I don't like "pass," but I like that "move the question."

All those in favor of the original motion of 2.55, please use your clickers and vote yes. If you are opposed, vote no. This requires a two-thirds vote. Close the vote.

190 voting in the affirmative, and 52 in the negative, it is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. Move to Article 14. Mr. Civian.

MR. CIVIAN: My name is Fred Civian, 24 Spruce Street. Two and a half months ago, end of February, I wrote and submitted Article 14 as a Plan B in case the select board was reluctant to leave the building of a high-quality town green.

Now, two and a half months later, we do not need a Plan B. We have an excellent town green working group set up. Let's go where the select board is leading us. Please, indefinitely postpone Article 14.

THE MODERATOR: 1 Thank you, sir. 2 Further discussion? Hearing none, the vote 3 comes on the motion to indefinitely postpone. All those in favor, please say aye. 4 5 (Aye.) 6 THE MODERATOR: Oppose, nay. The 7 ayes have it. Thank you. Article 15, the 8 Powder House. That was passed from the floor. 9 Is there anybody wishing to discuss Article 15? 10 If not, the vote comes on the original motion of 11 the finance and warrant committee, which is 12 printed on the top of Page 21. All those in 1.3 favor of the original motion, please say aye. 14 (Aye.) 15 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 16 ayes have it. Thank you very much. Article 16. 17 Somebody passed on Article 16. Mr. Brophy. 18 MR. BROPHY: Matt Brophy, P2. 19 guess clarification of why it's being tabled? 20 THE MODERATOR: Why is it being 21 indefinitely postponed? 22 MR. BROPHY: Why is it proposed? 23 THE MODERATOR: Okay, sir. 2.4 you. Mr. Goodwin?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator, and thank you, Mr. Brophy, for the question. It's simply that this article was submitted a little bit prematurely. We need to do a little bit more research, and we will be back, hopefully in the fall.

THE MODERATOR: Any further discussion? If not, the vote comes on the original motion. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. ayes have it. We move to Article 17. We have a little housekeeping here to do. The finance and warrant committee has a new original motion.

MEMBER OF FINANCE AND WARRANT

Thank you, Mr. Moderator. The new COMMITTEE: original motion voted by the finance and warrant committee has moved that the town vote to take no action, as the action necessary was taken under a vote of Article 11. As you recall, this was a duplicate article, but just in terms of housekeeping, and to make things very clear, I move that the town vote to take no action, as

action necessarily was taken under a vote on
Article 11.

happened before, but the same article got put in twice. You've already voted for it. We need to dispose of the article that's here, and that's the recommended way of disposing of it, a vote to take no action. Is that clear? You've already voted for this. You already voted for it. So the motion is to take no action. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you. Thank you for helping us fix that. Article 18. This was passed. We will vote on Article 18. It involves borrowing, so we will be needing a two-thirds vote. Let's try for a near unanimous vote. All those in favor of Article 18, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. It is a two-thirds vote. Article 19 is the zoning amendment. We ask Mr. Rosenberger, the planning director, to give the

2.4

1.3

1.3

report of the planning board.

MR. ROSENBERGER: Good evening, town meeting members. I'm Jeremy Rosenberger, planning director. Unfortunately, planning board chair John Bethoney could not be with us tonight, and he sends his best, so you have me.

As such, I'm here to let town meeting members know that the planning board held a public meeting on both Articles 19 and 20, and we're talking about 19 right now, on March 23, 2022, and as you will find in your book and the letters that you received probably two weeks ago, the planning board voted unanimously on Article 19 to recommend approval, as amended. Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. Are there any questions on this article? The recommendation is on the bottom of Page 33. It goes over onto 34. If there are no questions or comments, we will vote on the original motion. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. It is a two-thirds vote. Article

20. It's also a zoning amendment, but we are 1 2 not recommending that it -- the planning board 3 is not recommending that it be approved, so it only requires a majority vote. All those in 4 5 favor, please say aye. 6 (Aye.) 7 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 8 ayes have it. Thank you. Article 22. 9 being no discussion on Article 22, the vote 10 comes on the original motion of the finance and 11 warrant committee on Page 37 that it be 12 indefinitely postponed. All those in favor of 1.3 that, please say aye. 14 (Aye.) 15 THE MODERATOR: All those opposed, 16 no. 17 (No.) 18 THE MODERATOR: The original motion 19 does carry. Article 23, another bylaw committee 20 recommendation. We do have a substitute motion 21 filed by Laura Fukushima. Would you like to 22 take the floor? 23 MS. FUKUSHIMA: So I'm Laura

Fukushima from Precinct 4. Since this article

2.4

holds owners responsible for disposing of property, I just --

THE MODERATOR: Just move a little closer, Laura, if you would, please.

MS. FUKUSHIMA: Sorry. I just wanted some clarification of which owner we're talking about in the cases of when property is sold. So I just wanted to -- I was hoping to add a sentence in the current language; also, to prevent cases in which someone acquires property and is responsible for disposing of bulk items that the previous owner had.

"For cases in which a property is sold, the selling owner shall be responsible for the removal and disposal of any bulk items that were on the property at the time of the sale, with the exception of those items that were intended by agreement to remain on the property as part of the sale." Secondly, just because I'm a grammar nerd, I'd like to insert the preposition "to" in the sentence "bulk items subject to this bylaw shall include."

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. You have

1.3

2.4

this in writing, so what Ms. Fukushima is doing is adding a clause into the text of the motion that you already have. Is there any discussion or questions? The Chair recognizes the town counsel, Lauren Goldberg.

MS. GOLDBERG: Mr. Moderator, through you, I just wanted to point out that there are some concerns about this amendment, mostly because it will be very difficult to enforce with this language. We don't have any access to where a selling owner goes, nor do we have any access to private agreements.

So the idea of having the owner be responsible is that there would be a person for whom we could reach out to to seek compliance.

With the proposed amendment, we have concerns there won't be anyone to hold responsible.

And also, just as a reminder, you have a walkthrough when you're going to purchase a home or a property, and you have the opportunity to enter into a private agreement with the owner about how to deal with those matters. But from the enforcement side, we have concerns about that amendment.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Any 1 2 further questions or discussions on the 3 amendment, which would add the language that you have? If not, first, we would vote on do you 4 5 want to attach this amendment to the main 6 motion. That's a majority vote. All those in 7 favor of attaching the amendment, please say 8 aye. 9 (Aye.) 10 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 11 (No.) THE MODERATOR: So the amendment 12 13 fails. So now we go back and vote on the 14 original motion as it's printed. All those in 15 favor, please say aye. 16 (Aye.) 17 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 18 original motion passes. We're moving to Article 27. Article 27 is a roadway acceptance plan 19 20 that requires -- oh, is there a -- new original 21 motion. 22 MEMBER OF FINANCE AND WARRANT **COMMITTEE::** Article 27, the finance committee 23

voted this evening and moved that it be

2.4

indefinitely postponed.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Any questions or discussions on the finance and warrant committee's recommendation to you on this article? If not, we'll vote on the motion to indefinitely postpone. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Those opposed, no.

(No.)

passes. Our last article of the evening is
Article 28. This requires a two-thirds vote
because it involves a conveyance of land from
one department to another department, so there
we go. Any questions on Article 28? The vote
comes on the original motion. All those in
favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed? The ayes have it. Thank you very much. It's been moved by Mr. Brophy, seconded by Mr. Keaney, that the 2022 special annual town meeting be dissolved. It's a two-thirds vote. I have to say the

	Page 171
1	words. All those in favor of the motion to
2	dissolve the meeting, say aye.
3	(Aye.)
4	THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The
5	ayes have it. Goodnight, and God bless you.
6	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned
7	at 10:45 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS NORFOLK, ss.

I, ARLENE R. BOYER, a Certified Court
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
certify:

That the proceedings herein was recorded by me and transcribed by me; and that such transcript is a true record of the proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and notarial seal this 28th day of May 2022.

Arlene R. Boyer, CVR Notary Public

My Commission Expires November 21, 2025