## TOWN OF DEDHAM

In Re:

DEDHAM TOWN MEETING

DATE: Monday, November 14, 2022

PLACE: Zoom

**COMMENCING:** 7:00 p.m.

**Excel Reporting Services** 

47 Ledgebrook Road Weymouth, MA 02190 781-626-0405

## PROCEEDINGS

THE MODERATOR: Ladies and gentlemen of the town meeting, a quorum of 203 having been declared by the town clerk, we will please come to order.

As you know, the 2019 Town Meeting voted to join many other towns in Massachusetts in implementing electronic voting. The vote followed a recommendation by the electronic voting working group, consisting of Dimitria Sullivan, Jim Driscoll, Lindsey Barrett, Molly Uppenkamp, Bob LoPorto, Michael Leahy, Paul Munchbach, and myself, and we did have a successful implementation of electronic voting at the spring meeting.

The Chair is going to recognize the town clerk, Paul Munchbach, to give us a brief reminder on how the system works and how you can be sure that your votes are recorded. Mr. Munchbach.

MR. MUNCHBACH: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Welcome, town meeting representatives.

As we've done for the spring annual town meeting, we are going to use the electronic voting devices for our voting for all of the articles tonight. As we all well know, we have a special town meeting that will start up immediately after the moderator makes his instructions, and then we'll go and dissolve that and go into our regular town meeting with

18 articles.

Just remember, each individual has been assigned their own designated voting device for this evening. With those devices -- as you can see behind me, it's a very simple device. With it, a yes vote is green, a no vote is red. So if you're voting yes on the article, you will vote green, 1, or A, or you'll vote no, 2B.

options. There is no abstention button on the voting devices. If you do not want to vote on a specific article, just leave it blank. You will have 15 seconds to vote on an article once the voting is opened up. You can change your vote at any time during that 15 seconds. So if you voted yes on the article to begin with, and you

want to change your mind, you will be able to do so within that 15-second time period, and the last vote that you vote will be the vote that is recorded.

Most of the votes that will be up
there will just be a designated vote that will
show the cumulative number up there, unless it's
a roll call, and if it's a roll call vote, it
will show individual votes. It will first show
up as a blue screen with your name up there, it
will it show that you voted, and then when we
record the votes, you'll see it with a yes or a
no vote at that time.

What we're going to do this evening just to kind of refresh everybody on the electronic voting devices, and for the town meeting representatives that were unable to make it to the spring, we're just going to give you a quick sample refresher, and we have two sample ballot questions for you so you can get used to using the devices again. We do not use internet for this. It's radio frequency. But if you can make sure your phones are either on silent or vibrate, it would be much appreciated.

2.4

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

So the first test question is a question that we used the last time, will the Patriots win the Super Bowl. It's now open for voting. You have 15 seconds to vote, green, yes, red, no. Five, four, three, two, one, and the voting is closed, and the results are no. Sixty-one very optimistic people. I'm with you people.

The second question is going to be, and it's going to be a roll call, will town meeting go two nights. Just throwing it out there. So when you vote, when it goes to blue screen, the voting is open. It will go to blue screen. That means you have cast your vote. the end of the vote, we'll show how you voted. And you can vote now. Only some of those will show. We'll scroll through on a regular roll call vote also. Five, four, three, two, one, and the voting is closed. So the answer is no.

So that will be how the electronic voting devices will work for this evening. you have any questions or if you have a problem with your device, just raise your hand, come to the front. We'll see if we can resolve it right

there. If not, we'll give you a new voting device to use at that point with a new number.

3

4

5

6

We want to thank you for your patience, it worked very well the last time, and special thanks to the committee that worked two years on putting this together. Thank you for your time.

7

8

9

THE MODERATOR: If your device doesn't work, it means you're on Paul's list of people who he doesn't think should be allowed to vote, so that's really what that's all about.

10

11

One of the test questions was two

1213

nights. You may have noticed that this town meeting was posted for two nights. This was an

1415

idea that came from the town meeting forum, that

16

session that we had back in April to look at

17

ways to improve on the town meeting process.

1819

And one of the ideas that came up was people

20

feeling very, very rushed at the end of the meeting.

21

22

So we've made two changes in anticipation of that. One is that the planning board articles, which are frequently more complex, have been moved from their traditional

1.3

2.4

spot at the end of the warrant into the middle of the warrant. I want to extend my thanks to John Bethoney and the members of the planning board for endorsing that, for cooperating with that idea.

The second is that we're posting for two nights in case -- frankly, it's unlikely tonight, but in case we did reach a time when people did feel that productive debate was really waning and that we should convene on another night, by posting two nights ahead of time, we not only reserve the hall, but we shortcut some of what we would need to do to post with a certain number of days' notice, etcetera, for a second night of town meeting.

So it's there, and it will be there at future postings of annual town meetings in case the night does go late, and people will not feel the pressure to stay beyond what they feel is a decent time to stay and conduct the town's business.

As the town clerk explained, a voice vote may still be used on some routine procedures, such as approving the appointment of

the deputy moderator, move the question, unless there's some question about that, a vote to allow non-town meeting representatives to speak, and a vote to dissolve the meeting at the end.

If I waited for roll call, by the time that comes, most of you are halfway to your car. So we're going to do a voice vote, as we've always done, to dissolve.

That having been said, any seven members may rise and ask that an electronic vote be taken on anything before the town meeting.

Two-thirds with electronic voting, again, doesn't change, .667 of the total votes rounded up to a full number. So if the two-thirds math comes out to 105.3, it would get rounded up because 105 is too little, so it would go to 106.

If you leave the hall, leave your clicker with a town hall employee at the front of the hall. There's no voting from the great beyond. You have to be in the hall to vote, and so surrender your clicker, do whatever you need to do, and when you come back, you can get your clicker.

\_\_\_

2.4

Very quickly, I'm going to ask

Marianne Martin, who is chair of the public
service recognition committee, to make a very
brief statement.

While she's coming down here, we want to extend our congratulations to both the Dedham High School boys and soccer [sic]. The boys will play on Wednesday the 16th versus Belchertown. That will be at the Medway High School. And the girls are waiting to see who their opponent will be. It will be the winner of the Stoneham -- oh, has that been resolved? Okay, Stoneham, again, at the Medway High School at 4:00. Congratulations to Don Savi and Sal Letta, coaches of the two teams.

MS. MARTIN: Marianne Martin. I'm current chair of public recognition.

Just a quick throw-out that every year, this body recognizes someone in this town that's an unsung hero, I mean a true unsung hero. So we're trying to plant the seed that we shouldn't -- we don't want to pull from you guys like please come up with someone.

So could you pay attention to your

surroundings, think of that person, and you go 1 2 you know what, Dan Driscoll, he never gets 3 recognized for anything, and he's the AV guy at all of our events. Something along those lines, 4 5 so that when we do come to you in the spring, 6 because we will be presenting it in the spring, 7 we have like a really tough choice between wonderful people. So just planting the seed. 8 9 We'll talk in the spring. 10 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. 11 very much. Please rise for the Pledge of 12 Allegiance to our flag. 1.3 (All rise.) Thank you. 14 THE MODERATOR: The Chair 15 is once again pleased to nominate my long-time 16 colleague, Cherylann W. Sheehan from District 6, 17 as the deputy moderator. All those in favor, 18 please say aye. 19 (Aye.) 20 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 21 ayes have it. Town clerk, please swear in Ms. 22 Sheehan. 23 (Cherylann Sheehan sworn in.)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Let's now

2.4

review the rules of the meeting. Remember, we did elect 80 new town meeting representatives in the spring, so tonight is for a substantial percentage of the group, only their second night. So as we conduct the meeting and discuss rules and prepare for votes and describe what's going on, I will be aware that for many of our members, this is a new experience, and I know that you will also extend the same courtesy to them.

This is a representative town meeting. Elected town meeting representatives shall have precedence over others in speaking, unless voted by town meeting. Speakers who are not town meeting representatives should please identify themselves when they approach the microphone.

When speaking, please always use the microphone. Please don't speak from your seat. State your -- with the exception of that member. When speaking, please use the microphone, and state your name and precinct for the benefit of the official stenographer. Even if you are somebody that everybody knows, please repeat

2.4

that for the benefit of the stenographer. She creates a record of town meeting at the direction of the town clerk.

Upon any article before us, speakers may speak for no more than ten minutes on any question, unless that's extended. We do, again, another recommendation from the town meeting forum from last May. We do have a clock now, which will show you how much time there is left. The moderator does make an exception on the tenminute limit if we are facing a very complex article and there is a proponent who wants to make a presentation so that we really understand what we're voting for. That, as you know, is not very common, however.

As I said, only elected town meeting representatives may vote, including voice votes. If others are found to be voting, they will be asked to leave the hall. When an electronic vote is being used, a roll call will be held at the request of 15 members, so that can be converted into a roll call. The roll call is, by the way, also an electronic voting process, as the town clerk explained to you. Any member

who believes that his vote was recorded in error may stand and request that the vote be confirmed by the town clerk, and we'll make any corrections that we need to make.

All articles are debated in the order in which they're printed, unless you, the town meeting body, vote to take -- by a majority vote, vote to take an article out of order.

In order to make town meeting more efficient, Dedham's bylaws call for the use of what's called a consent calendar. This means that the moderator reads all the article numbers, and in the spring, all the budget line item numbers, and if a member wishes to discuss, question, or amend, they call out the word "pass." You do not need to be recognized. You simply call out the word "pass."

These articles are then passed or set aside for deliberation. Any article that is not passed, the original motion is voted in one omnibus motion. So when we do the pass process, before we move on, I will read the article numbers that we have passed -- and I call that the Dimitria Sullivan amendment, and that was

2.4

worked out after a town meeting in the past. So any article that was not set aside will be voted in that single motion.

The finance and warrant committee has met with the proponents of all of the articles that you see before you, with the exception of the zoning articles, and the planning board has played that role for the zoning articles. They are closely reviewed. They've had posted public hearings on all the articles, and they make a recommendation to you.

Those recommendations are what are printed in your warrant book. When you are voting, you are voting to accept, reject, or amend the recommendation of either the finance and warrant committee or the planning board in the case of planning articles. Remember, these are only recommendations. Your vote is needed to put the article into action.

The planning board also holds posted public hearings on all of their zoning articles, and they issue recommendations on those. Any town meeting representative may propose an amendment to those recommendations of either the

finance and warrant committee or the planning board.

If you are proposing a significant change or amendment, we would like to have those amendments submitted in writing to us before we begin deliberating. The Chair has traditionally been lenient on smaller amendments that are meant to maybe change a number or correct a word, but if it is something significant, we'd like to have them in writing.

Some motions require a two-thirds vote. In most cases, it's state law that triggers the requirement of a two-thirds vote. In some cases, it's our own procedural rules, Dedham's own procedural rules. Some articles generate no debate and are noncontroversial.

noncontroversial and that require a two-thirds vote, state law does give the moderator the option of trying for a unanimous voice vote, and if that does not prevail, then we would -- we could go to an electronic vote.

Again, if seven or more members want anything that is not being electronically voted

2.4

Mr. Moderator, one person stand up, and if six people join you, then that's what we will do.

The same applies to the roll call. Stand up.

If 14 other people join you, you've reached the maximum -- the magic number of 15, and then a roll call electronic vote will be held.

One of the terms that people sometimes get a little bit lost on is the motion to move the question. What that means is stop debate. Stopping debate is a serious step.

Two-thirds of the people in the hall have to agree to stop debate and move on to a vote. The moderator does not stop debate. So please, when you see me in Roche Brothers next week, do not say that I stopped debate.

Motions to reconsider a previous vote will require a majority vote if you ask for it to be reconsidered within an hour of the original vote. If it's longer than an hour, you're going to need to get two-thirds support to have something reconsidered. And reconsideration is not something to be used lightly. There would really need to be some new

2.4

piece of information, or you're convinced that something was totally misunderstood in the presentation originally.

Please remember that none of the votes that we take are in effect until the meeting is dissolved. That's why it's important that we work through until all of our business is completed.

Matters of law will be referred by the moderator to town counsel. Questions of town meeting procedure, they're the responsibility of the moderator, under both state law and our own bylaws, not to mention tradition, I guess.

While we are talking a little bit about rules and procedures, as moderator, I'd like to ask you to adhere to some common sense rules concerning how we conduct our business while we are sitting as elected town meeting representatives. So what you do when you're not in this hall, on social media or in talking to your friends, that's beyond the purview of town meeting.

While we're in town meeting, sitting

as the elected legislative body of the town, there are different and stricter rules than what apply to everyday conversation. For two nights a year, the rules are different. First, focus your comments on the article and the issue at hand as described in the article. Please do not target the speaker who presented those ideas.

Comments that disparage the person of the speaker, such as how long have they lived in town or what part of town are they from or, God forbid, their gender, race, or ethnicity, those comments are not acceptable and will not be allowed. You can disagree, and you can strongly and vehemently disagree with a position taken by another speaker, but it is not acceptable to question their motives, question their integrity, or question their intelligence.

We are all here this evening because we have been elected to make decisions to solve problems and come to an agreement. We do that best when we make good arguments that convince people who are either uncertain, or maybe not initially on our point of view, that they need to change their point of view based on the

strength of your arguments.

2.4

Arguments which attack people tear at the fabric of our community. People have said to me, well, why should I hold back when others attack me. You cannot control what others choose to do. You control what your response is. You just need to make the decision to do so.

So we're ready to begin our meeting. Please silence your cell phones. All members, please remove your hats. Refer to the warrant book, and we will begin.

Tonight we have both a special town meeting and an annual town meeting. Why is that? The board of selectmen -- excuse me, the select board is responsible for issuing the warrant. There is a deadline to when the warrant is closed. If something comes up that is of great importance after that, it's necessary to call a special town meeting in order to get it before you, because, again, generally speaking, town meeting only meets twice a year.

So we have two articles under the

1.3

2.4

special town meeting, it's on Page 4 of your warrant book, and since there are only two, we'll just take them both without doing the whole pass procedure.

So Article 1, which is an amendment to change some previously approved language, and I am told that the only purpose of this change is to update the name of the grant that the town will be accepting for the construction and planning of the town green. Any questions on Article 1?

The vote comes on the original motion of the finance and warrant committee that it be so voted. Get your clickers out. All those in favor, please press green. All those opposed, please press the red button. Five seconds left. By the way, if you get confused with this thing, just scream out Mr. Moderator, unless you're on Paul's list, then we'll help you vote.

Voting is closed. 202 to 1. The article passes.

That concludes the business of the special town meeting. It's been moved by Mr. Delloiacono and seconded by Mr. LoPorto that the

special town meeting be dissolved. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The special town meeting is dissolved.

If you turn now to Page 6 in the warrant book, we're going to start with the fall annual town meeting, and we will do our usual procedure. I will read each article number, and if you would like to question it, amend it, just yell out the word "pass."

As I've said in previous town meetings, it's always safer to say pass and then decide you don't need to later. So there is no stigma attached with yelling pass and then deciding that your question got answered or you don't want to pursue it, and nine times out of ten, we can't tell who said pass anyway.

So Article 1. Article 2. The Chair will pass Article 3. It requires a four-fifths vote. Article 4. Article 5. The planning board articles, since they are amending the zoning bylaw, require a two-thirds vote, so we

2.4

will pass on 6, 7, and 8. Article 9 requires a 1 two-thirds vote because it involves borrowing, 2 3 so the Chair will pass on Article 9, as we'll do on Article 10, because if that were to pass, it 4 5 would require a two-thirds vote. It involves 6 borrowing. Article 11. 7 (Pass.) 8 THE MODERATOR: Article 11 is passed. 9 I'm going to have to figure out this microphone 10 thing. Article 12. 11 (Pass.) THE MODERATOR: Pass. Article 13 is 12 1.3 passed. There has been a substitute motion proposed. Article 14. 14 15 (Pass.) THE MODERATOR: Pass. Article 15. 16 17 (Pass.) THE MODERATOR: Pass on Article 15. 18 Article 16. Article 17. 19 20 (Pass.) 21 THE MODERATOR: Article 18 is passed. 22 That requires a two-thirds vote. 23 So here's what we have recorded as 2.4 articles that have been passed: Article 3,

Article 6, 7 and 8, Article 9, 10, 11, 12, 13,

14, 17 and 18, 15 -- 13, 14, 15, 17 and 18. Is

there any other -- there aren't any other

articles left, but are there any other articles

that people feel should have been passed or want

to pass, because you still have the opportunity

to be added to the list? Yes, Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: On Article 1, pass.

MR. MAHER: On Article 1, pass. (Inaudible.)

THE MODERATOR: We'll pass it, then. Article 1 is passed. Anything else? So only one or two articles that didn't get passed. We will take a vote that the original motion for those articles be accepted by the body. All those in favor, please -- okay, we'll try for a voice. All those in favor, please say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you. Thank you for your cooperation. Article 1, questions, comments?

Mr. Maher?

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5.

Question on the Striar property. Is this an accounting issue that was never cleared up

1.3

2.4

before. Because my understanding is we expended probably 70 percent of that money for the Striar plans.

THE MODERATOR: The Chair recognizes the town's director of finance, Mr. John Arnett.

MR. ARNETT: Thank you. Director of finance, John Arnett. This is authorized but unissued debt, meaning that we have not gone out and -- actually gone out and grabbed the money or bonded the money. This is just sitting out on the books. So to answer your question, yes, this is just an accounting cleanup.

THE MODERATOR: Any further questions on Article 1? The vote comes on the original motion. Green for yes, red for no. Five seconds. Voting is open.

Five seconds. Voting is closed. The original motion does pass.

Article 3 is an issue that comes up every year, and that is the year ends, and there's a bill or two that has come in late. It requires a four-fifths vote. We can try for unanimous. These are generally not too controversial. All those in favor of the

1 original motion, please say aye.

1.3

2.4

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed. It's unanimous. Thank you.

Article 6. Could I have the report of the planning board, please? The chair recognizes the vice-chair, Mr. Podolski.

MR. PODOLSKI: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen of the town meeting. Mike Podolski. I'm vice-chairman of the planning board. Tonight we have six articles -- strike that -- three articles -- 6, 7, and 8 -- which we're asking town meeting to consider revising as per our suggestions.

Town meeting members, as is our course, have been provided with a written statement, an outline of what we're proposing. It was mailed to all town meeting members about two weeks ago. So we hope you've read those and that you understand them, but if you don't understand them, I'm going to give some quick preview tonight of exactly what it is we're asking you to vote on. I will be outlining Articles 6 and 8, and board member, James

McGrail, with permission of the moderator, will do Article 7.

asking that the zoning code in Dedham -actually, the use table in Dedham be amended as
set forth on the table that was sent to you by
mail. One part of the amendment is very simple,
we believe, and one part of it is a little bit
different, but I think with an explanation,
you'll be able to understand it easily.

Article 6 deals with what are called -- presently called common victualler licenses in this town. Now, I would have to say that probably half the people here maybe don't know what a common victualler license is, no offense, but some of us on the planning board found it very archaic language, because really what you're talking about is restaurants. So we are asking to change the use table that describes common victualler uses to now be restaurant uses. So that's Part 1 of 6.

Part 2 talks about the zoning -- the use table for certain uses within certain zones. We're asking that you would now allow as of

2.4

right a restaurant use in four zones that presently exist, but for which you don't have the right to get a restaurant right now. And those zones are PC, RDO, highway business, and central business. PC is planned commercial, and RDO is research and development.

So to put it in basic terms, right now, in these commercial districts, if you want to open a restaurant, you have to go to the Zoning Board of Appeals to get approval to run a restaurant in that district. And the planning board feels because that's already a commercial district, it's kind of an unnecessary step to make an applicant go through to have to go to the Zoning Board of Appeals, get their restaurant use applied -- approved, and then come see the planning board for whatever else might be necessary.

This doesn't do away with restaurant uses, it just -- it makes it a little bit easier for the applicant. We're trying to be business friendly. Dedham has a lot of restaurants, and we're trying to encourage them whenever we can. So in these four commercially zoned districts,

2.4

we are suggesting and proposing that you allow those restaurants in those districts only as a matter of right. That means they don't have to go to the ZBA.

We held our required public hearings on these -- all articles on October 12, and the unanimous vote 5-0 of the planning board was that it be so voted, and we're hoping that you will approve this revision. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Podolski. Mr. Podolski, just for my understanding, this proposal does not add any parts of town where restaurants could conceivably go, it simply changes the procedure from special permit to a matter of right, which is legal talk for meaning you can open without it?

MR. PODOLSKI: That's correct, Mr. Moderator. We're not approving restaurants and single residence A's or B's, and you'll see they all still say no. It's just changing it from having to go get a special permit in four commercial districts so they can get it as a matter of right and skip that step of going to

the zoning board.

The planning department did some research on this for the planning board, and historically, every restaurant that went to the ZBA for approval got approved, and that's looking back at least ten years. So it just seems to be a step that's not necessary. So we're just changing it from apply for a special permit to allow. A restaurant use is allowed in those four zones.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, sir. Are there any questions about this article, Article 6? Hearing none, we will take a vote on the original motion as presented by the planning board, which is printed on Page 12. All those in favor, hit green. Those opposed, hit red. This does require a two-thirds vote. Voting is open.

Voting is closed. 26 to 6. That is a two-thirds vote. The zoning article amendment passes.

Article 7. The Chair recognizes Mr. McGrail from the planning board. This is on Page 12, 13, and 14.

MR. McGRAIL: Thank you, Mr.

2.4

Moderator. Article 7 is a proposal from the planning board to amend the Dedham zoning bylaws by making certain changes to increase the life sciences industry with the Town of Dedham.

The planning board has been talking about this matter for some time. I think each and every one of us, as we drive along the roads and highways of Massachusetts, if we started in the City of Woburn, and we went from Woburn, to Burlington, to Lexington, to Bedford, to Waltham, to Wellesley, to Newton, to Needham, we would find a biotech facility along 128. When we get to Dedham, we come to a screeching halt.

So the thought process was, look, each and every one of us understands that we pay more taxes in the town as residents than we'd like to, so there's always efforts underway by the boards across the town to try and undertake efforts to try to reduce that tax burden on the resident and move that tax burden over to the commercial tax base. We feel that life sciences is one of those areas where we can do just that.

Of course, Massachusetts is the

biotech capital of the world. It's not just the state, it's not just the country, it's quite frankly the entire world. So we're trying to take advantage of that industry in a responsible and welcoming manner.

That was the motivation. This is not something that just came up in the planning board last month or two months ago or three months ago. This is really something that from time to time we had spoken about at our meetings, and we had spoken about amongst each other at certain various times about really the need to try to do something to make our town more attractive to the life sciences community.

So with that, we went forward, and we started to -- we conducted a process where we -- quite honestly, we started the public hearing process. We deliberated. We discussed it through public hearing. We listened to the public at large. We did a review of the zoning districts in town that currently allowed for life sciences, why or why not they might be attractive, why we haven't attracted life sciences to date.

1.3

2.4

So we went through that entire process. We decided that we had to be more expressive about parcels along 128/95 that may be attractive to the life sciences community that they might not know are there, or they might not know are life sciences ready, so to speak.

So what we did was we amended the table relative to the principal uses to allow for such lab research and development facilities to be constructed in the SRA district, but only in instances where that land abuts 128 or Route 95, and only in instances where there's a minimum of ten acres -- five acres at some point, but ten acres in others.

And the point was that obviously we're not looking for this to go into a residential area. This is going into a large portion of open space. Quite honestly, it probably isn't even developed right now and is something that we could develop.

We were also conscious of -- we just didn't want a big lab that all of a sudden came up that just had people shuffling in and out.

We wanted to make it welcoming. We wanted it to be where people could live, work, and play. So what we envisioned is -- we have the language in there that says 60 percent of the net floor area would be for life sciences, because we also wanted to encourage people to come to us with proposals relative to housing and restaurants, retail.

So we wanted to build something that, like I said, would be live, work, and play, not just all work, not just -- and this industry -- these people that work there, they would become part of our community, and it would also open up jobs and opportunities, not only for people outside of the town, but people inside of the town. We want to create that type of dynamic in this community to suggest that, hey, Dedham is on the cutting edge of what is an industry that is going to -- has been cemented in this Commonwealth for some time now and will continue to grow and prosper as we continue.

So that was the genesis. That was the motive behind this. And that's why we're here tonight, and we're hoping you support this

2.4

2.4

for no other reason than to encourage the life sciences industry to take a look at Dedham and to understand that we are willing to sit down and work with them to try to make this as mutually beneficial relationship as possible. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. McGrail. Mr. McGrail, the way the printing in the book, it split the chart in half, so at the top of Page 15, it lists the laboratory research and development. Is that the zoning use that we're discussing?

MR. McGRAIL: Yes, that is correct, Mr. Moderator.

THE MODERATOR: So maybe if you could just read what the headings were -- so as I interpret this, wherever it says yes, then the life sciences would be permitted as a matter of right in those categories?

MR. McGRAIL: Nothing would be as a matter of right, Mr. Chair. It would be a matter of special permit.

THE MODERATOR: Special permit, okay, and which of those districts? You can see that

the headings aren't showing on the page. 1 MR. McGRAIL: It's SRA. 2 3 district is what we directed -- where we are trying to capture in this bylaw, and we'd also 4 5 have planned commercial developments, as well 6 throughout the town. Currently, we do allow for 7 life sciences in the town. We were just trying 8 to create more attractive areas for the 9 industry. 10 THE MODERATOR: I'm sorry, Mr. 11 McGrail, just for my own clarification, I'm 12 looking at SRA, SRB. Is that the category? 13 MR. McGRAIL: Yes. THE MODERATOR: That has a no in the 14 15 box. 16 MR. McGRAIL: I'm looking at Page 13, 17 Mr. Moderator. THE MODERATOR: 18 But the 19 recommendation is on Page 14 and 15, and there's 20 a no in the SRA box, unless I'm reading that 21 wrong. 22 MR. McGRAIL: Correct. Let's go to the recommendation. So under the new -- under 23 2.4 the current bylaw life sciences section, related

1.3

use research and development facilities, zoning bylaw definition. Our purpose is to make it consistent what is used in the industry or the towns. So we're looking to add a new life science manufacturing use definition.

So what we're proposing to do is amend the zoning bylaw to update and expand existing a new life sciences use categories and allow life sciences to be considered as part of a planned commercial development. By doing so, we'll expand the use of the planning commercial development to allow life science parcels — uses and parcels in the single residence A zoning district that provide at least ten acres of land and that abut Route 128/95.

So to your question, Mr. Chair, this would be -- or Mr. Moderator, I'm sorry -- this would be under the planning commercial development is where we would be allowing for the life sciences to be built in SRA zoning districts. So what we're changing on Page 15 -- I'm not so sure why this doesn't --

THE MODERATOR: I see four yeses and two special permits.

2

3

4

MR. McGRAIL: I understand what you're saying. I'm looking at the top. So only under that section, Mr. Chair, you'd have to look under PC 19, so if you go -- what is that -- one, two, three, four, that says yes.

5

THE MODERATOR: Yes.

7

footnote, number 19, and that would say,

9

8

"Planned commercial development may be allowed

10

on a lot or lots consisting of a minimum of five

MR. McGRAIL: Then you read the

11

acres or any development project where at least  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

12

60 percent of the net floor area is occupied by

13

a use meeting the definition of laboratory,

research and development, and laboratory,

1415

research and development as stated in Section

16

10."

17

Then we go down, and it says,

18

"Located in the LMA, LMB, HB, GB, CB, or RDO

19

districts in accordance with Section 6.3 upon

20

approval of a major nonresidential project

21

special permit."

22

Then -- and this is the new language,

23

"Planned commercial development may be allowed

24

on a lot or lots for any development project

1	where at least 60 percent of the net floor area
2	is occupied by use meeting the definitions of
3	laboratory, research and development, and
4	laboratory, research and development as stated
5	in Section 10 that meet all of the following
6	criteria" and this is what we discussed
7	"within the SRA zoning district, abut Route
8	128/95, and consist of a minimum of ten acres."
9	THE MODERATOR: Mr. McGrail, I'm just
10	trying to make this understandable for the
11	average town meeting member who doesn't
12	understand the initials. Where were the life
13	sciences allowed before, and where are they
14	proposed to be allowed that they weren't allowed
15	before?
16	MR. McGRAIL: They were never allowed
17	in a residential zoning district.
18	THE MODERATOR: Which of the multiple
19	initials up here are residential districts?
20	MR. McGRAIL: SRA and SRB.
21	THE MODERATOR: So they're still not
22	allowed there
23	MR. McGRAIL: Still not allowed, but
24	they are allowed under planned commercial

development, which is -- if you go four over,

Mr. Moderator --

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: One, two, three, four, PC 19?

MR. McGRAIL: So PC is planned commercial, and then 19 is the -- and then you go to Footnote 19 would be where it says -- see under the table it says "and with Footnote 19"? Do you see what I'm saying?

THE MODERATOR: Well, I think in laymen's terms, if you can tell us where it was allowed before and where it's allowed now.

What's the difference? I think that's what people -- that will be what's -- and I don't mean to belabor the point, but again, I've heard comments from many town meeting members that when it comes to zoning articles, they're not always sure exactly what they're voting for.

MR. McGRAIL: So prior to this change, it was allowed in RDO, AP, limited manufacturing A, limited manufacturing B. It was allowed as of right. It was allowed in highway business as a special permit, general business as a special permit, and commercial

1 business as a special permit. All we're 2 changing is to -- through the planning 3 commercial development heading to allow for it to be done in the SRA zoning district. 4 5 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. 6 Are there any questions before we McGrail. 7 recognize -- who's on first? MR. BETHONEY: (Inaudible.) 8 9 THE MODERATOR: That's a good idea, Mr. Bethoney. So I'll ask Mr. Davey and Mr. 10 11 Delloiacono just to hold a second, and we'll ask Mr. Rosenberger to -- and Ms. Debbie Martin. 12 1.3 have two Mrs. Martins. 14 MR. ROSENBERGER: Thank you. 15 evening, everyone. Great to see everyone here 16 again. Jeremy Rosenberger, planning director. 17 Just real quick, I don't want to 18 belabor the point. Zoning, as you know, can 19 sometimes be confusing, and we do our best to 20 put this in laymen's terms, and I believe Member 21 McGrail talked very eloquently about this. 22 This article is really about 23 stimulating and providing incentives for the 2.4 life science industry here in Dedham, which I

think we all benefit from our daily lives, and we also want to participate in this great economic development aspect that provides jobs, a great tax base, and ancillary benefits to the town.

So specifically, this use has been regulated since 1995. This came about through yourselves -- maybe some of you were here -- in our research and development office district. This is the area right around Legacy Place, the Holiday Inn, our research development office. This zoning was put in place to stimulate these kinds of developments, and here we are -- sorry, I'm not going to do the math -- a little less than 20 years later, and we want to update this. We want it to be consistent with all of our neighbors that Mr. McGrail talked about, Burlington, Lexington, Woburn, why are they being so successful, and taking a cue from that and updating our zoning.

We want to be proactive. Zoning should be updated to follow the trends and to be an incentive to fulfill what both the town and the planning board might want to get. So

2.4

anyways, this use has been in effect.

2.4

Currently, if you look at your use table -- and I apologize, yeah, the formatting is a bit tricky. I will note if you didn't get a chance, we did provide a revised packet that was at the front that provides a more legible table. Hopefully if you didn't get a chance -- if you want one, there's still some probably out in the front.

But ultimately today, as we talked about the use, this life science use is not allowed in our residential districts. It is allowed in a PC district, and we talked about this. To make things a little interesting, PC, or planned commercial, they are through a special permit process through the board. They are regulated, not guaranteed, and any applicant would need to satisfy the planning board's special permit requirements. However, the use is technically allowed through that process if the board grants it.

It's also allowed in RDO currently, and also in our light manufacturing districts, which are known as LMA and LMB, and also by

And for those who might not be familiar with this, this is primarily the whole corridor of Providence Highway, Dedham Mall, Dedham Plaza, and every point in between. So we want to keep that consistent. We're proposing to update the uses, as we talked about, to be consistent with other municipalities so that the uses are attractive and understandable to the industry.

In our original motion that you will see in there in the tables was it actually said yes in the SRA and SRB. And realizing that that's not our intention to allow it in SRA, SRB, except through what we've talked about, was the process through the planning board, and specifically, only roughly about a handful of properties that abut 128/95 and that are ten acres. And really, this is a handful of properties in and around the exit over by the Norfolk County Jail, Atrius Health.

There's only a couple of properties that meet this ten acres. Ultimately, the planning board wanted to be very specific that the intention of this is not to bring about life

2.4

2.4

sciences into our neighborhoods and potentially disrupt their life, but ultimately that they're situated in a nonresidential area along the corridor and perhaps provide the incentive to this industry.

So I'm hoping that -- I'm trying to be as simplistic as I can. This is complicated. And we'll definitely make sure that the table is more legible next time. We apologizes for that. But ultimately, again, the use is only allowed through the planning board and the SRA district, and again, very specific area, and only a certain amount of properties that it would apply to. And again, as far as the changes, I guess -- I'll leave it at that, and I'm happy to address any questions.

THE MODERATOR: Mrs. Martin. Up to the microphone, please, Mrs. Martin.

MRS. MARTIN: Elizabeth Martin,

Precinct 1, and I apologizes for the cough.

It's not Covid, but it's something.

I stumbled over one word in reading this article, and I think it's a serious word, and if other people agree, maybe we should

2.4

discuss it. The word is "manufacturing." I can understand laboratory, research and development.

Those are a different type of development.

Manufacturing has a special meaning, which is

not the same as those words, in my feeling for it, my sense of how that word is normally used.

I don't know that we want to apply
the same rules to manufacturing that we do to
research -- laboratory, research and
development. It seems to me they are a
different category, and they should be treated
separately with consideration and concern, and I
am concerned about manufacturing. So if other
agree, fine. If they don't, that's okay, too.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs.

Martin. I'm going to give Mr. Rosenberger an opportunity to respond to that so we can try to -- or Mr. McGrail, and then we'll recognize Mr. Delloiacono and then Mr. Davey and then Mr. Maher. Mr. Davey, if you could make your way to a microphone, that will save time. Excuse me, Mr. McGrail.

MR. McGRAIL: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. We included manufacturing for the

simple fact that that is -- the lab and the research facilities tend to stay in the Seaport District, Kendall Square, and Boston and Worcester. We're trying to attract the manufacturing aspect of this, and this is what will create more job opportunities for people that live in Dedham.

Of course, there's plenty of people in Dedham that could be scientists and things of the sort, but there's also a number of people in Dedham that would work in manufacturing, and we're talking about drug products. We're not talking about building automobiles or anything like that. We're talking about drugs and pharmaceuticals and things, so it's not -- this isn't a facility in Detroit, Michigan that we were talking about building. Thank you.

I would also point out that all the areas that we're talking about currently under our zoning bylaw, you could -- for example, a hospital could come forward, a medical facility and build in these areas. So we're basically adding the life sciences to places where medical facilities could already build in this town.

2.4

THE MODERATOR: 1 Thank you, Mr. 2 McGrail. Mr. Delloiacono. 3 MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you, Mr. 4 Moderator, members of town meeting. Carmen 5 Delloiacono, Precinct 4, and for the record, 6 employee of the Town of Dedham. I heard 7 mentioned five acres and ten acres tonight. 8 Could you please confirm that those are single 9 parcels and not individual parcels that could make up to five acres and ten acres? Thank you. 10 11 MR. McGRAIL: Well, they're single. 12 It's a ten-acre parcel. We currently envision 1.3 existing ten-acre parcels. Somebody could, I 14 suppose, acquire land and create parcels and 15 create one ten-acre lot, but that's not our 16 intent. Our intent right now is to take -- we 17 looked that the existing framework in our land within our town and felt like ten acres was the 18 19 right fit and the right size. 20 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. 21 Davey. 22 MR. DAVEY: Steve Davey, Precinct 3. 23 Part of my question was the same one that arose 2.4 because there's already a manufacturing district

that says no, but I think you've answered that. 1 2 My other one was just that you were talking 3 special permit, but it says yes, so I was just 4 wondering where that shakes out. 5 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Steve. 6 MR. McGRAIL: Because the chart is on 7 two different pages and the headings don't carry 8 over, it is a little bit confusing, but again, 9 you have to follow the footnote. Under SRA and SRB on Page 14 and 15, it says no, so then you 10 11 go to PC -- fourth over, PC, and that footnote 12 is 19, and then Footnote 19 spells out the 1.3 process by which you would have to -- you could 14 be considered, and that is a special permit 15 process. 16 THE MODERATOR: I'm sorry, Mr. 17 McGrail. PC 19 says yes, not special permit. MR. McGRAIL: Understood, Mr. 18 Moderator, but in the Town of Dedham, the PC 19 20 process is a special permit process. 21 THE MODERATOR: Thank you. If you'd 22 like to speak, whoever that is, please be 23 recognized and then go to a microphone.

Meanwhile, Mr. Maher.

2.4

Jim Maher, Precinct 5. 1 MR. MAHER: 2 Is any properties on Sprague Street included in 3 the zoning change? MR. McGRAIL: Not that we're aware 4 5 of, no. That wasn't --6 MR. ROSENBERGER: I'm happy to talk. 7 Thank you for that question. So currently, like 8 480 Sprague Street -- sorry, Jeremy Rosenberger 9 again -- is zoned light manufacturing. So as we talked about, light manufacturing currently is 10 11 regulated as allowing these uses, and therefore, 12 the zoning for 480 Sprague Street would still 1.3 maintain. 14 MR. MAHER: Thank you. 15 THE MODERATOR: Ms. Laprade. 16 MS. LAPRADE: Thank you, Mr. 17 Moderator. Lisa Laprade, Precinct 7. I just 18 wanted to say that a lot of the questions that 19 are coming up tonight are very good, but I would 20 like to stand up in support of this article. 21 As somebody who's worked in the 22 industry for 25 years, I have to spend one to 23 two hours a day in my car commuting to Cambridge

for the first half of my -- in this space and

2.4

for the last 12 years to Lexington. 1 2 love to be able to work in my community. 3 I can also attest to the number of visitors that we have come from all over the 4 5 world to our biotech site in Lexington using the 6 hotels, the restaurants, the shopping. So I 7 think this is a great economic opportunity for 8 the Town of Dedham, and it's long overdue. 9 Thank you. 10 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. 11 Laprade. Mr. Davey. Sorry, and again, just 12 MR. DAVEY: 1.3 another clarification. PC 19 is a special 14 permit operation, I understand, but it also says 15 yes in the SRA and SRB. So define the note that 16 said one. 17 MR. McGRAIL: That was updated, 18 That was in the original -- that was the Steve. 19 original motion we updated. So if you have the -- that's in the book but after --20 21 MR. DAVEY: Oh, that's in the sheet? 22 MR. McGRAIL: -- after we published 23 the book, we came up with the sheet. 2.4 MR. DAVEY: Thank you.

1 THE MODERATOR: Mr. McDermott.

1.3

2.4

MR. McDERMOTT: Mr. Moderator,

William Shaw-McDermott, Precinct 1. Question 2, Mr. McGrail or Mr. Rosenberger or anybody, would it be better, given the confusion in the presentation and the lack of illustration of the particular parcels in the materials that we received a few weeks ago, perhaps out in the corridor tonight, but certainly that we received a few weeks ago to delay this for further consideration at the next town meeting when we have a better understanding of the particulars?

And secondly, I want to say I am very much in favor of the work you've done on this and very much in favor, as I have been, actually, for a long time. I've expressed that to the planning board, about doing things to enhance the capability for having life sciences in the town.

But truthfully, I find in the interchange with the moderator and so on and the presentation in the book and the lack of illustration in the five particular parcels a little confusing tonight to do this on the fly,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

just to be honest.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Would you like to respond, Mr. McGrail?

> MR. MCGRAIL: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. I respectfully disagree from the perspective of I think we'd all know or we can all easily ascertain if we look at our zoning bylaw and the map that was provided where the SRA zoning district in this town, and to simple it down from -- and I butchered the answer to the moderator's question, I fully admit. the fact of the matter is all we're doing here is adding that we have areas in town that allow for life sciences.

All we're doing is adding the SRA zoning district to the equation through a planning commercial development process where the land abuts Route 128/95 and consists of ten acres of land. It's that pretty straightforward to me, Mr. Moderator.

Thank you, sir. THE MODERATOR: Butler.

MS. BUTLER: Sure. I'd like to move the question.

1.3

THE MODERATOR:

Move the question.

Again, move the question means to eliminate debate and go directly to a vote. Get out your clickers. It takes a two-thirds vote. All those in favor of ending debate, please click green. We have to program it for two-thirds. Green to end debate, red to talk some more. Five seconds. Close the vote.

The motion to move the question passes. We now vote on the original motion of the planning board, which appears on Pages 14 and 15 in your book, and also in the letter from the planning board to town meeting representatives, where it's a little easier to read, perhaps. One second. All those in favor, please press green. All those opposed, press red. Three seconds. The vote is closed. 184 voting yes, 45 no, it is a two-thirds vote. The article passes.

Now, I understand that the process, which I've subjected the planning board to and the planning director tonight, did add time to town meeting. We have a meeting before town meeting called a Moderator's meeting where we

try to iron out procedural things, and I subjected Mr. Rosenberger to a grilling in that meeting, which probably wasn't the best place to do it.

So I'm going to request, Mr.

Bethoney, that I be granted an appearance before the planning board at your convenience where I could talk about some of the ideas that I've heard from town meeting members that might help us to present the work that you do in a way that for the person who only sees it twice a year might make it easier to make a decision. So if you would consider that as a request. Thank you.

Article 8, two-thirds vote. Any questions on Article 8? Mr. Podolski.

MR. PODOLSKI: Again, Michael

Podolski, planning board. Article 8 is rather simple compared to our last article. But basically, when applicants come before the board, whether it be minor or major site plan review, many times they're asking for certain waivers that kind of make a lot of sense.

Right now, we technically allow this

to come in on what's called an informal basis in what's called a scoping session. And a scoping session means nothing more than they come in, and they kind of show us what they want to do, and we kind of give them feedback as to how we feel about that. What this amendment seeks to do is to make a scoping session a part of the zoning bylaw.

Right now, we're doing it informally and without really authority. Now we want to make it something within the bylaw that if an applicant wants to come in for a scoping session prior to filing their application, we will let them do that. Our vote was held at the public hearing on October 12, and it was 5-0 unanimously recommended approval as presented. Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Podolski. Questions? Hearing none, the vote

comes on the original motion. It's a two-thirds

vote. All those in favor, please press the

green, opposed, press the red. Voting is open.

Five seconds. Voting is closed. That is a two-thirds vote. Thank you.

We move to Article 9. I'm first going to call upon the vice-chair of the finance and warrant committee to present the recommendation of the committee. As you see in your book, the recommendation was to be made at town meeting. The Chair recognizes Michelle Persson Reilly.

MS. PERSSON REILLY: Thank you. The finance and warrant committee had a posted meeting immediately prior to this meeting. At that meeting, we voted 8 to 1 that the language in the handout that you all have be so voted with a slight addition to the language, as advised by bond (?) counsel where the words "or any other enabling authority" is added on Line 8 after 44 of the general laws.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Before we move on to speakers, is there any question about what the finance and warrant committee is recommending? The Chair recognizes the select board town manager. Mr. MacDonald, Chair of the select board.

MR. MacDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Good evening, everyone. My name is

Jim MacDonald, and I'm the Chair of the select board. Over the past few months, each of us members of the select board have contemplated the proposal before you to consider assisting Motherbrook Arts and Community Center and constructing an elevator supporting efforts to make programs within the building fully ADA compliant.

Each of us conducted our own due diligence, engaging in conversations with community members, members of the board of the MBACC, town counsel, administration, and others. Each of us approached this process from different perspectives and positions.

The proposed amendment before you represents accumulation of deliberate process, significant and meaningful negotiations, and ultimately a compromise that achieved our unanimous vote to support during open session in the form that you heard the finance and warrant just say. I know there's a couple of slides.

I'm not going to put them up. You all have them.

Further informing our decision

process, the select board, through our town manager, has engaged in discussions with MBACC on many aspects of the current lease. Last week, the board voted in open session after an executive session to allow for up to a \$90,000 town funded grant and up to \$400,000 issuance of bonds and notes by the town, allowing MBACC to move forward with the construction of the elevator, while retaining in hand secured grant funds and to support future grant efforts.

This is all subject to town meeting passing the proposed amendment to Article 9 by a two-thirds vote this evening. We, the select board, would then codify that action in an amendment to the town's existing lease with MBACC that spells out the repayment of the bond, inclusive of principal and interest over the term of the issuance. There would be equal biannual payments to the town based on a 20-year term.

Additionally, we have started the process of hiring an outside firm to do a study on the current condition of the building, both in and outside. Once completed, we will discuss

2.4

these findings with MBACC and present them at an open select board meeting. We will also ask MBACC to do a strategic plan that sets out the goals and objectives of the center. And we know that they have some items, too, that they would like to revisit in the current lease.

I do think it's important to review some of the history at 123 High Street as part of your consideration tonight so that town meeting members, new and old, can stay informed. Considerable repairs and renovations will be needed on this building in the upcoming years and decades. The school was constructed in 1892, with renovations and an addition in 1999. The school committee and the town applied to the Mass. School Building Authority in 2007 in order to replace it.

And I'm going to quote from a Boston Globe Article in 2010. "Moisture from a Motherbrook tributary that runs under the 88-year-old building raises the basement floors when the water is high, eating away the High Street facility's infrastructure and recently collapsed a gymnasium wall. Among other

2.4

problems are antiquated plumbing, ventilation, and lighting systems. No handicap access, fire sprinklers, or kitchen facilities, inconvenient and unsanitary basement bathrooms."

As you can see, the condition of the building was well-known back then. It was deemed in 2007 by the MSBA one of the worst of 67 buildings that they went and looked at.

That's a pretty sad statistic. That's how bad that school was. And what did the town do about it? Town voted to replace it.

In the spring of 2012, a brand new state-of-the-art Avery opened. Simultaneously, the board of selectmen at that time put together an Avery reuse committee to conduct and to receive extensive input from the community and town departments on the possible reuse of the building. No town department wanted it, and the community was pretty clear they didn't want to see the site redevelop for housing.

Town meeting eventually approved the town to enter into a ten-year lease with

Motherbrook. The condition of the building was well known and discussed during that article at

2.4

town meeting. And when that article came up, I was chair of the select board back then, and we were pretty clear when I just reviewed the transcript of the meeting that we knew what that building was and what that building wasn't.

That being said, there has been a lot of discussions on this proposal. While not perfect, we believe the compromise is the best path forward. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

MacDonald. Speakers wishing to speak on Article

9? The Chair recognizes Mr. Hart, chairman of
the MBACC board of directors.

MR. HART: Good evening. Thank you,
Mr. Moderator, members of town meeting. I have
a couple of slides. I will go very quickly.
Some of you may have heard some of this from
last week. Some of it was reported in the
Dedham Times.

First, I'd like to say tonight you received the new original motion. You heard from the select board chair, Mr. Jim MacDonald. For that new motion, for those of you who are undecided or opposed originally, I hope you find

2.4

3

this motion to be an acceptable alternative to the original funding request.

9 10

12 1.3

11

14 15

16 17

19

20

18

21 22

23

2.4

I won't go through the history, but you heard about ten years ago. What I would like to say is we didn't open the doors ten years ago. I was not there. It is a relatively new nonprofit organization, and I would ask for your understanding when we talk about different items tonight, such as a strategic plan, etcetera, taking also into consideration that 18 months, for the most part, the building -- the facility was not operational during the lockdown. That's significant for consideration.

In that time, though, we have achieved quite a bit. There's been approximately 1.2 million -- excuse me. saying approximately. There's 1.2 million in hard dollars that have been invested into the building, the facility, and the grounds itself on necessary improvements. And as Jim said, I don't think anyone went into it blind and knew the work that was ahead.

The last major piece in making the building accessible and ADA compliant is to

install an elevator, which will make all levels of the building available to people with mobility issues, seniors and our youth, so that everyone can get access to all of the programs and the facility and the organization has to offer, and I have more on those improvements on the next slide.

There's been some questions. Without being ADA compliant, MBACC will not be eligible for many, not all, but many state and federal grants, two granting organizations. I have a third if people care that I could share, but Mass. Cultural Council, the criteria. Programs and activities are held in spaces that are accessible to people with limited mobility.

This includes classrooms, studios, auditoriums, gallery spaces, and reception areas. If not, relocate or reschedule the activities to an accessible facility while planning and making the primary space accessible. That's one organization's criteria. Federal regulations require that all national endowment for the arts funded projects be accessible to people with disabilities.

2.4

1.3

Foundation for Metro West. They prioritize those who make equitable access for all residents.

There's a slide coming up, but MBACC has raised \$378,000 to date. We have proposals out partly through -- or mostly through the Commission on Disability, but another \$280,000 has been applied for, and the project cost is at \$868,000.

Speaking on the Dedham Commission on Disability, they announced a resolution in support of Article 9, characterizing it as a moral imperative. The resolution went on to state, "We have a principled obligation to encourage and support accessibility of arts and cultural facilities and events in the community, particularly for our children. The Dedham Commission on Disability unanimously urges Dedham town meeting to vote in favor of Article 9."

Next slide, please. It may be hard for you to see, but I know many of you got a handout on the improvements that we've noted here on the facility, and I think it just speaks

2.4

1.3

2 3

1.3

2.4

to the commitment that Motherbrook Arts and Community Center has made both to the facility, but to the community, in general, in working towards creating a vibrant and arts and community center.

not the professional in kind services that have been donated by many, many in the community or the Greater Boston area. It also doesn't include the hard work and the volunteers and the many thousands of hours, literally, that have been put into the building and its space. And it also doesn't factor in the individual contributions that the artists have made to their own spaces.

The one question I want to ask -- and I know we have a lot to do as far as programs and classrooms. What if we were able to take half of this funding and put it into programs and classes to reduce the cost or offer more? That's one question that we wrangle with as we put more and more money into the facility.

You're going to hear that there are a lot of needs. Yes, the roof needs to be

addressed in the short future. You also tonight will take up two articles or hear about two articles dealing with solar panels and how the town is purchasing solar power from other entities. That may be a solution to getting a roof installed on Motherbrook at no or little cost to the town. Again, no guarantees, but we need to be creative in looking at these solutions.

As far as the condition of the building, a facility director currently for Emerson College has worked on many, many projects down there. He's a Dedham resident. He worked prior to that with Bryant College, and prior to that UPS. He's very familiar with both new facilities and old facilities, especially in his work with Emerson. And as he has stated, the building is solid. Probably the worst construction on the building is the 1970s era library. The rest of the building, he said, the bones are good, and we've heard that from many people, and as he joked, it was a bomb shelter, you know.

Next slide, please. These are just

some of the many events and activities that are
held there currently or have been held there.

There's a fuller list that's been given out.

The ones you see on the screen I believe are all
items or activities that there is no rental of
space required for them. We tried to prioritize

and do the best we can in request for space.

What I will say is the organization, the building itself, we're much like the Endicott Estate. We have more request for free space than we can manage. We try to do the best we can and prioritize in how that space is used. We obviously look towards the town, whether it's town departments or town committees, that are looking for space outside of town hall when town hall was being constructed or the Ames School building was being renovated or the prior town hall meeting space was at a premium. We always try to prioritize those requests.

Some items that are considered when we get approached for space, are there registration fees or a door or entry fee into the event itself, are they a nonprofit, are there multiple days and dates, is this something

that is a long-term obligation for the space, what are the connections to the town, are they a startup.

We've had new programs, such as yoga, that we've donated the space, hoping that it would take off in the community, and then, as the programs grew, the instructor could make a rental back to the organization. There's been a lot of focus on Dedham youth football cheerleaders. There are a lot of different parts to it. It is not as straightforward as saying they came to us, and we did not offer anything in return.

In reality, when they first approached Motherbrook Arts and Community Center, they were renting school space. It was at a significant reduction from what we were told prior -- to what they were paying prior to that. They had multiple dates over multiple months with equipment that needed to be addressed, and we felt as though we were managing it fairly. So when we hear back that there are complaints about how the pop warner or now Dedham youth football cheerleaders were put

1.3

out, there's more to the story than what you hear.

And, as was stated last week, we do not hide from the town what are rentals, what are artist space rentals or leases, and what are donations. They are all put into our financials, and they are all accounted for accordingly.

Next slide, please. Here is the funding on the elevator to date. As I said, \$378,000 has been raised. We are short \$90,000 to a matching grant by Mass. Cultural Council. We cannot use state funding towards the match of the grant. It has to be from municipal or private donations, and that is part of what you heard from Selectman MacDonald tonight.

Las week we had a great announcement from Senator Mike Rush and Representative Paul McMurtry -- they've been tremendous advocates for the Motherbrook Arts and Community Center -- by that state earmark could not go to the match, just to be clear.

Again, I was talking earlier about being creative and just -- we are not done. We

2.4

will continue to look at raising funds to reduce
both the town's portion, but our portion, but
we're trying to be creative and look at other
sources to keep -- either knock the price down
or raise funds.

Over the past several months since

Dedham Day, Congressman Lynch's office has been communicating with us. He had expressed there was a potential opportunity to bring Local 4, the elevator union workers, into the project.

We are now currently scheduled to meet with the congressman's office and the business agent for Local 4 on Friday.

I state that as no guarantee. I don't know what it means from a cost perspective. All I'm trying to give tonight is an example of how we are looking to continue to bring costs down and be creative in how we approach these capital projects.

The last thing I will leave you on is in 2017, the Commonwealth Conversations tour came to Motherbrook Arts and Community Center.

Commonwealth Conversations was the state senate delegation from the state house in Boston. They

2.1

2.4

would get on motor coach buses and tour the state from the Berkshires to the Cape, and they would visit the different districts of the senators and make a stop in one space within the district that had some interest. There were stops in the Lower Mills. There were stops elsewhere throughout the state. And when they came to Senator Rush's district, he had one stop to pick, and he chose Motherbrook, and I think that's speaking.

And I will just read his comments from that day. "I was very pleased that part of the senate's Commonwealth Conversations tour took us to Motherbrook Arts and Community Center. This building and all the wonderful programs that go along with it is one of a kind here in the Commonwealth. My colleagues were extremely impressed with not only the center, but the community support around it. It is my hope that this endeavor continues to expand and prosper and become a model for all other communities that support the arts and culture."

I ask tonight that hopefully those sentiments are also true here at town meeting.

And I ask for your support tonight on Article 9.
Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Hart.
Mr. Richard Delloiacono.

## MR. DELLOIACONO: Richard

Delloiacono, Precinct 7. A little clarification on a possible disagreement.

As far as cheerleaders and such, with the arts center, whether we were trying to rent or not, it was an astronomical cost, and I do not believe it was with the school department. We went elsewhere because we made donations to certain churches, as opposed to renting for \$3,000 for two months, which is actual fact because I am on the board.

But as far as an elevator and an arts center, I don't disagree with the arts. I do not disagree with handicaps, but I do disagree with the fact that we're going to do this for a nonprofit org, and we do not have one in our own high school, and we had to send handicap students elsewhere because we can't even provide for our own taxpayers' kids.

That is just how I agree on this part

1.3

1.3

of the subject. I will not sit here all night and bore you with any more details because I'm sure there is other people that would like to speak on this matter. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Delloiacono. I didn't recognize you with the mask on.

MS. HOWARD-KARP: Thank you. Marisa Howard-Karp at 96 Garfield in Precinct 3. I am a professional in a nonprofit organization. I work in the field of disability, and I'm a parent and a caretaker to four children with disabilities.

I will say that I have been hearing a lot of talk about the money, and financial constraints are real. I've also heard a number of times people talk about the issue of an inaccessible high school versus an inaccessible arts center. That's not a real choice. That's not how money gets allocated.

We have to allocate our money according to our priorities, but it's not a question of an elevator in one place or in another. This conversation is really about the

2.4

arts center. So what I've been hearing is a lot of conversation about money and very little conversation about people.

I want to talk just for a minute about what it means for Dedham to have an arts and community center that is inaccessible to many of our older residents and anybody with a mobility issue. So when we build an elevator -- I'd like to say when -- it means that the MBAC can offer more programming to more people who right now can't access the building at all.

So we talk a lot in Dedham about the quality of life for seniors and about being a welcoming community, being a place where we have all sorts of interesting things going on that will bring people in, make them want to live here, and this is a great way to do it. Without an elevator, this building is inaccessible to many residents of the town. We talk all the time in particular about quality of life for seniors, and we know that seniors, who tend to have more time, are also people who like to sign up for classes and other things at places like arts and community centers.

So right now, when we think about the quality of life, I guess the question that I would put to us tonight is not is this the right amount of money, has the organization become self-sustaining enough. It's what is your priority. Is it to think about the humans and whether or not we're going to make this a resource for our whole community, or is it to decide that we're going to save a few dollars here because we don't like the idea of the whole art center. That's really the decision that's in front of us tonight. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs. Karp.

The Chair recognizes Tom Polito and then Mr.

Heisler after Tom.

MR. POLITO: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Tom Polito, Precinct 7. I rise tonight just for some questions that I had asked last week at the mini town meeting and there were -- just to answer -- get the correct answer forward.

I rose last week in regards to an email I had sent out to the director about two weeks prior to town meeting asking a bunch of

1.3

2.4

questions about the center. It was about ten questions I asked. I got them back, not at mini town meeting, but I did receive one answer the week before. So I don't consider that answering my ten questions. You answered one.

So that's why I said last week, I didn't get an answer to that night. So I did ask ten questions and received the answer to one, and that was about the nonpayment of \$62,500 from 2016, which they haven't started yet. The only thing is they haven't taken the oil tank out, but they have — they could have made a good faith effort in the past to start paying that back, and they didn't.

The other question was I talked about the rent that was charged by the -- for the rooms -- classrooms, and I might have been misunderstood or a little confused about the issue, but they did receive \$149,000, and I was questioned about that by Mary Gilbert. She said we follow the lease. The lease says we charge by the room. Well, ladies and gentlemen, you can't have it two ways.

You said you follow the lease, but

when it comes to paying the elevator, you don't want to follow the lease. The lease does say it's an all in, and it's a triple net lease, which means the tenant pays all expenses and repairs to the building. So it was very clear back in 2012 by the board of selectmen -- then Chairman Jim MacDonald and the board -- they voted that they pay all expenses. Now, some ten years later, they want to change the lease and go back.

Am I against handicap, no. I said

Am I against handicap, no. I said last week I've had a heart attack and four hip operations, and elevators -- if I find an elevator, I use them right away. So I'm not against handicap. My both parents were in wheelchairs near the end of their lives, so I'm not -- it's not against the elevator itself.

But we have things in the Town of Dedham, like we have a high school here. I went to see that great new library they built upstairs. You had to walk up three flights of stairs because there's no elevator at Dedham High School to get up there.

We have students here who have hurt

1.3

themselves playing sports, and they were on crutches. As the principal told me today, he saw a girl trying to get up two flights of stairs with crutches because we have no elevator for that student to go up. And somebody mentioned earlier we have a student from Dedham High School who has to go to Norwood High School, paying \$50,000 a year, plus the van, so it's over 250 to \$300,000, according to the superintendent of schools, because we have no elevator here at Dedham High School for our students.

1.3

2.4

Let's take care of our students, our schools first. We own the town. We own the school. I believe I would have spent -- I have no problem spending millions of dollars to make sure this building is handicapped for all students in the Town of Dedham, all people.

Motherbrook Community Arts Center -- I'm not sure we -- people say is it really a community center. That's another issue people talk about. But not all Dedham people go there. Let's take care of our own buildings, our own needs here first, and later on, we can vote \$2 million for

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

a football field that's in desperate need that won't get certified.

We just finished the town hall. have police and fire that hasn't gone to the tax rate yet, and there's no more -- and we're going to have soon a new, hopefully, Oakdale School. Use money, and there's no money in the Robin Reyes Fund to pay this, so it's going to be another debt exclusion -- an override -- or not an override, just a loan, so a two-thirds vote to fund that school, and I'm 100 percent behind that because I want to make sure our students are well taken care of in the Town of Dedham.

Let's take care of our own people first. And to go out and say -- I'm not sure I've ever seen a business model from that group. All of a sudden now, it wants the elevator. They're getting into this more interest. wasn't they doing that a couple of years ago?

And the board of selectmen did come to agreement on the loan. If you take the \$400,000 loan, and they only -- and they get no money back, they're going to be paying \$32,000 a year for that place, and over the 20 years,

they're going to be paying \$233,000 interest on that elevator. That's the agreement they made.

If they had paid it 20 years, and they only -- if they go down to \$200,000, we get money back from the grant. They were paying about \$1,300 a month for that, again, paying \$112,000 a year -- \$112,000 interest over that. So the elevator would be very costly. So I think we should look at all of the income. The income for the rooms is \$149,000, and when you add in the director salary and the salary for the maintenance people and everybody else, that's \$175,000. They're only taking \$149,000 in room rentals.

Is this sustainable over the next 20 years? What happens if they default on the loan? They can't pay it back. The town owns -- the town has to take the building back. They have to depend on grants all the time and donations.

So I urge you when the vote does come up, please vote no on the -- the selectmen are friends of mine, but I disagree with them on this one completely. Vote no, and let the

2.4

Motherbrook -- I don't have a problem with the \$9,000 to match the grant. That's something different. But the \$400,000, I would like you to vote no. Let the Motherbrook get their books in order, get their things in order, let them apply for the grant, let them go on their own. Thank you very much.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Polito. Mr. Heisler.

MR. HEISLER: Good evening, everyone.

Joe Heisler, 27 Meadow Street, Precinct 3, town
meeting member.

But I'm here tonight also as the chair of the Motherbrook Community Group, which is the East Dedham Neighborhood Association.

And if I could, if you would indulge me and bear with me, I just want to read a letter in support on behalf of MBACC.

So I rise tonight to urge all town meeting members to support Article 9 authorizing the town to help finance an elevator at the town-owned Motherbrook Arts and Community Center, formerly the old Avery. This would help MBACC meet the matching fund requirements for

2.4

upwards of \$200,000 state and local funding.

That would go away if we turn this down, so it is important.

It's been a little more than ten

years since I chaired the Avery reuse committee

-- you heard Chair MacDonald reference that -
and we were tasked by the town with recommending
the best possible reuses of the historic former
elementary school.

Over the course of many weeks, my

fellow committee members and I heard testimony

from numerous neighborhood residents and various

town departments. Given its historic

significance, we first agreed the old Avery

should never be torn down, but preserved by the

town for future uses.

The question was who wanted it. At that time, the police chief did not think it an appropriate location for a new headquarters, neither did the fire department. The Council on Aging did not like it for a senior center, and already the town's densest neighborhood and home to roughly three-quarters of the town's affordable housing style residentially testified

and rejected the idea of another housing development.

Thankfully, the days of selling former schools to developers for pennies on the dollar, like the former Ames School, which -- only to be brought back decades later and for millions of dollars renovated and refurbished as the new town hall and senior center, or the former Quincy School, which many of you may not remember, it was in our neighborhood, which was sold for \$1 and torn down and redeveloped.

Those days are over, so that was not an option.

Instead, the reuse committee and its members came up with what then was a rather novel idea: lease the property to a community-based nonprofit organization for use as an arts and community center. Little did we know then how this idea would take off and evolve. Here we are nearly ten years later. The MBACC has nearly 90 artists and residents. It's been used by nearly 40 different organizations and groups for community purposes. It has raised and spent approximately \$1.2 million on facility upgrades and programming.

1.3

It has repurposed the former playground into a park and opened a handicap accessible path down to Motherbrook, and, most importantly, as it comes to our organization, it has served as an anchor for the effort to revitalize East Dedham and the Motherbrook neighborhoods.

No one could have predicted the pandemic and the impact it would have on businesses and nonprofit organizations like MBACC. The center made adjustments and has survived thanks to the business savvy of its executive director, Gene Ford Webb, the rent from artists, and the hard work of its board of directors, who, by the way, are all Dedham residents. This is a Dedham nonprofit.

In the final phase of its efforts to become a fully accessible facility and compliant with the ADA, that's why the MBACC has sought funding to install the elevator and to provide access to the mobility impaired. Dan Hart talked about all the various grants that have been applied for and approved.

Also the work of Senator Michael

Rush, Representative McMurtry, our law makers on Beacon Hill, have really come through. However, the cost of the project has nearly doubled since the elevator project was first proposed, and MBACC has still come up short.

With a deadline for meeting the match looming, MBACC has reluctantly turned to the town, which owns the property, in an attempt to cover the shortfall. And with the exception of the replacement of the facility boiler very early in the process, the MBACC has never come to the board — has never come to the town in the past for help with any of the repairs, utilities, etcetera. But that was before Covid. That was before the pandemic.

Now, the select board, as Chairman MacDonald has stated, reviewed the article, and he unanimously came up with a compromise that if approved by town meeting tonight would provide a grant of \$90,000 to help meet the requirements of the match and up to an additional \$40,000 in loan guarantees, which would be repaid over the life of the lease. And it may not even take that, as there's a pending app that the

2.4

disability commission has put in for over \$250,000 in state funding.

1.3

2.4

So I would just add this. MBACC is unique. It has helped to fill a cultural void in the Town of Dedham, providing several different types of Class A showings, productions, in addition to the many community uses it provides. Many other towns could only hope to have such a lively, useful, and entertaining venue.

Motherbrook community group, my neighborhood association and the residents of East Dedham is the economic impact the center is having on our efforts to revitalize the neighborhoods of East Dedham and Motherbrook. MBACC has managed to transform the old Avery from really a dusty, outdated former educational facility into a vibrant and colorful cultural institution that is changing the way people look at our neighborhood.

I know there was an open house. I don't know if you had a chance to go see it, but it's amazing what has taken place there,

especially those that had children that attended the old Avery or spent some time there. To see it now is really something, and all of that is a good thing for our neighborhood.

1.3

2.4

So no matter what some of the nay sayers may say tonight, this is a good thing, and -- I mean, because of Covid, a lot of things have changed, and MBACC needs some help from the town, but they're willing to pay it back, and you'll get it back in rewards, just in terms of all the opportunities coming up and the changes and improvements in our neighborhood, as well, because I know you're all rooting for East Dedham. Thank you. I appreciate it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Heisler. Ms. Krauss.

MS. KRAUSS: It's nice that you know my name. Shari Krauss, 11 Babcock Place,
Precinct 6. I'm going to make this short and sweet. This is related to the article, but not about the article, which I am in full support of. This is about language that we have all been privy to here this evening and some commentary about that.

2 3

Those of us here have heard some of our fellow elected town meeting members use the term "the handicaps," and I would like to clarify for everyone in this room that we don't refer to people that way. We don't refer to children that way. We don't refer to neighbors that way. We don't refer to anyone that way.

So if you're looking for alternative language that's appropriate, that's respectful, that's kind, that's compassionate, you can use terms like "people with disabilities" or just "people." So thank you.

MS. KIJAS: Hi, there. Anna Kijas,

105 High Street, Precinct 3. I'm in full
support of Article 9, and I just wanted to say
that I echo what many people have already said
in terms of MBACC being an important part of our
community. It is one of the reasons why I moved
to my home, because it is very close to me, and
I wanted to be able to access an arts and
community center.

I want to say it's a very important part of the economic development of Dedham, and this is something that is well known, it is

written about, is in reports, including from the
National Endowment of the Arts. It is also a
place that contributes to society and community

cohesion and social cohesion.

And so one of the things that I think having this center, especially in East Dedham, is when we're putting our attention on making our neighborhoods safe or making them more accessible by improving our sidewalks or streets, looking at our speed limits, for example, we also need to think about the accessibility of the building, and so the elevator is a way to make it accessible.

through creating more healthy communities and

And I just want to echo that without the accessibility of an elevator, MBACC will not qualify for many of the grants that are offered by state and federal agencies, and so we would be restricting their ability to become more sustainable and independent by voting no.

So if you vote yes to give them the ability to get an elevator, then they will be able to get more grant funding in the future and to continue their great work. So I really

2.4

2.4

encourage everyone to think about how you might want to be able to use that building, who you might be able to bring with you if it was more accessible, and why the arts and culture programs are important to us and how you can help. So vote yes.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Kijas.
Mr. Lawlor.

MR. LAWLOR: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Andy Lawlor, Precinct 6. I did a

little research on the life expectancy of a

commercial elevator, and it's research folks

could replicate right now through their handheld

devices.

In any event, a commercial elevator has a shelf life of about 25 years, and under this lease, the arts center has the property for 20 years. So by my old school math, the Motherbrook Center will have the property for about 80 percent of the life expectancy of this elevator.

The proposal before us is that we, the town, pay about 20 percent of the value of this elevator and the community pays 80 percent.

2.4

And so, to me, this is a reasonable compromise.

I applaud both parties for entering into it, and
I think it should be supported. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Lawlor. Mr. Wofford.

MR. WOFFORD: Fred Wofford, District

1, one of the co-sponsors of the article and

former school committee member and chair.

I was honored to be invited to cosponsor, and there are three reasons. The first one was many of us were all around when this idea came to fruition, and today, it's proven out to be a very good idea. It serves a lot of people in a lot of ways, particularly the neighborhood that it sits in. It's an anchor.

We've now debated, and we've talked about the benefits and the costs, a/k/a taxes. It will always find its way into taxes. But I view this as an investment, and I ask everyone to vote yes, and let's make these funds an investment, and let's make this a great idea. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Wofford, Mr. Hart.

MR. HART: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. I did just have a question from up back, so I'd just like to clarify.

There is, as I said, approximately \$280,000 in pending grants. We have no idea. There's no guarantees, again. But as we continue to raise or look for funds, that would be put towards reducing the loan or the debt that is owed to the town.

I just want to make that clear, that as we continue to raise funds or possibly find additional funds, that that would be something that we would work with the town on. It would not be something that we would then direct to another portion of the organization itself. In particular, the Mass. office on disability is a \$250,000 grant. Should that be awarded, it is specific to this project. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Delloiacono is next, I believe.

MR. DELLOIACONO: Thank you, Mr. Moderator, and members of town meeting. Carmen Delloiacono, Precinct 4, and former member of the select board.

2.4

I actually signed this lease, and I was proud to sign this lease when I did. It was 13 years ago yesterday, actually, that a You Tube video went out that highlighted the deficiencies throughout the building, the deteriorating building. And why did we do that, because the building was not worth putting more taxpayer money into it, and that was very clear. And most importantly, our children deserve better, and we did it. We made it better.

On March 1, 2013, a triple net lease was signed with the Motherbrook Arts and Community Center. Why? Why was it palatable, why was it a good idea? No cost to the taxpayer, and it stimulated East Dedham, which was very, very important. I had to look back through some old emails, and a blast from the past came, Mr. William Keegan, town administrator, and Bill must have known this was going to happen tonight because the date of the email is dated November 14, 2022, but it was actually sent on December 19, 2012.

And in Bill's email, it's very clear that the -- and I know Mr. Hart did not sign the

lease, but the members that did sign the lease on behalf of Motherbrook knew the improvements to the building needed to be done. They knew the repairs had to be done. They just could not take on the repairs in the initial phase, and it was part of their long-term plan to pay for it on their own.

That was part of what made everything so attractive when I put my signature on the dotted line, Section 4.6 of the actual lease, a triple net lease. And the reason, as Mr. Heisler stated, that they didn't come to the town -- we didn't come back to the town for repairs to look to any improvements is because you can't.

It's in the triple net lease,

"Landlords shall not be expected to require to
pay any such charge in repairs, expressly costs,
expenses, obligations of any kind related to the
maintenance of the premises, including, without
limitations, alterations, repairs, restoration."
You signed a lease. It's a contract. It's a
contract.

So now -- I mean, I know Covid

2.4

happened. The whole world is upside down, but a contract is a contract. They knew what they signed. By the emails, they knew exactly the building they got. The reason we're not using the building, because it wasn't good enough for our children, but they made the deal for low rent to take over the building and flourish. With that, they had a long range goal, long range plans to take care of the building, end of story. I would suggest not vote for this article. Thank you. THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Carmen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Yes, ma'am?

MS. PENDLETON: Christina Pendleton, Precinct 7, Fox Meadow Lane. I definitely hear the language of the lease being that the landlord should not be required, and I agree that that's the language, and we should stick to it.

However, this is not a requirement. This is a request from a community organization that's already invested over \$1 million into a building to continue to improve it. And I'm in full support, and I would like to propose moving

the question.

THE MODERATOR: Unfortunately, if you speak, you can't move the question. No, that's the rule, because it presents an opportunity for someone to say this is the worst moderator we've ever seen. I move the question, and I don't have any chance to say anything. No, that is the rule. It always has been the rule. Mr. Borsellino.

MR. BORSELLINO: Yes, thank you.

Joseph Borsellino, Precinct 6. I'd just like to say that this Motherbrook project, it is Dedham, and it's about Dedham, and it's about the heart and soul of Dedham.

There are certain things that we have in this town, like the Endicott Estate, like the Fairbanks home, like the history here in Dedham, the Motherbrook being one of the oldest hand dug canals in the United States, and it abuts the privileges of Dedham that link to the mills in Dedham, and now the Gould Park is being formed.

It's a wonderful facility. The board are all Dedham residents, and they've put their heart and souls into this, and the board does

nothing but emulate integrity and commitment, and they've done yeoman's work. And there are times in life when we all get together and do something as a community, and this is one of those times. We're doing this for Dedham and for the betterment of Dedham.

And just to add a little asterisk, as regards the elevator at the high school, if the high school -- keeping that building is in the long-term plan, please, somebody bring that before this body to put an elevator. I'm sure that it will be supported. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Borsellino. By the way, the way you move the question is you wait to be recognized, and then all you say is "I move the question." You don't give a speech and then move the question, but we understand that wasn't clear to the prior speaker. Mr. Matthies.

MR. MATTHIES: Fred Matthies,

Precinct 3. We're all forgetting something -it took since the 1970s to build -- and that's
the senior center. It has handicap access for
the disabled, and I went through it, and they

2.4

have art lessons there. They were drawing up. They were doing some great pictures. So why don't we utilize a building that we finally got built and use -- I mean, seniors -- it was mentioned for the art center. Well, there's a senior center for them now, too. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr.

MR. LOPORTO: Bob LoPorto, Precinct I request to move the question.

THE MODERATOR: Let's try a voice I have a feeling how this one is going to go, based on many years of experience. All those in favor of moving the question, please

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a

So what you have before you is the original motion read by -- it seems like a while ago -- by the finance committee vice chair. It's a two-part motion. There's a \$90,000 There's a \$400,000 loan. Because the Town of Dedham will be borrowing the money that

1 makes up the loan part, this does require a two-2 thirds vote. Are we ready to vote? Yes, Ms. 3 Martin. MS. MARTIN: (Inaudible.) 4 5 THE MODERATOR: No. 6 MS. MARTIN: (Inaudible.) 7 THE MODERATOR: No. The finance 8 committee -- there is no motion in the book. Ιt 9 says to be presented at town meeting. finance committee -- their last word is always 10 11 the original motion. I know that sounds a 12 little crazy. 1.3 MS. MARTIN: No, I just want to make 14 sure. 15 THE MODERATOR: They didn't have a 16 motion in the book, if you remember. 17 All those in favor of the original 18 motion of a \$90,000 grant and a \$400,000 loan, 19 hit green. Those who are opposed, hit red. 20 voting is open. 21 Five seconds. Voting is closed. 22 Nothing happens until I declare the vote. 23 members having voted in the affirmative, and 71 2.4 in the negative, that is a two-thirds vote.

I was told it was

1 Article 10 has been passed, and it 2 also requires a two-thirds vote. We're on Page 3 This is the multipurpose synthetic turf field and track for the Dedham High School. 4 5 Anybody wishing to speak on Article 10? Mr. 6 LoPorto. 7 MR. LOPORTO: Bob Loporto, 148 --THE MODERATOR: You're not going to 8 9 move the question already, Bob, are you? MR. LOPORTO: I already started 10 11 talking. I have a question for the finance 12 committee. Spring of 2001, Article 4, there was 1.3 \$300,000 we were going to appropriate for three 14 straight years to fix the field, and it was 15 voted down. Now, 18 months later, you come in 16 with a \$2 million bill, and it was passed. 17 believe it was unanimous. What changed in 18 months with that committee? 18 19 THE MODERATOR: Who from finance and 20 warrant wants to -- Mr. Roberts, chair. 21 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Loporto, you're 22 talking about the 500,000 that was put --300,000? 23

MR. LOPORTO: Yes.

2.4

300,000, and it was going to be three straight 1 2 years. 3 MR. ROBERTS: It was not funded, as 4 you know. 5 MR. LOPORTO: Correct. 6 MR. ROBERTS: Right, and in the 7 period, as it was explained to us in our 8 hearing, with a period of time, the field has 9 really deteriorated so that the \$300,000 that 10 was for repairs, this is now for total 11 replacement of both the field and the track. 12 MR. LOPORTO: Okay. 13 THE MODERATOR: 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Thank you. The superintendent, unfortunately, has tested positive for Covid, and he did give his view on that at the warrant committee -- yes, sir? Okay, that would be fine. Thank you.

DR. KELLY: Good evening, town meeting. Ian Kelly, assistant superintendent. So the field has about a ten-year durable life, and we're about at the end of that period. \$300,000 was a one-time deal that we had going with a vendor who was really excited to get in and do some work when business was slow, and

that was \$300,000 three times over, \$900,000 to replace the field. So that was what that 300 represented at that time.

As you know, the costs of goods and services have gone up substantially. Two million dollars is what we're estimating in terms of the cost of the overall project, and the warrant article is broadly stated to help us. As you know, if you open the walls, sometimes things don't exactly go the way you think they're going to with a repair. So we want to make sure that we have funds available to repair and replace the field and anything around it as necessary. Hopefully that is helpful. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Dr. Kelly.
Mr. Czazasty?

MS. CZAZASTY: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Andrew Czazasty, Precinct 7. I take no pleasure in opposing this article, but unfortunately, I must urge my fellow town meeting members to vote against Article 10. The school committee has asked us to subsidize \$2 million for what I see is unneeded repairs.

2.4

At the warrant review meeting last week, the superintendent was asked what specific projects for lighting, landscaping, recreational amenities, etcetera are needed, and he said the language in this article is written such that there are possibly some other issues that we might see. So in other words, there are no actual projects planned for this time, with the exception of the turf, but we can look into that.

So one can argue about spending this money now will prevent future problems.

However, there's a vast difference between spending preemptively and spending recklessly, and I believe this is the latter. The superintendent proceeded to state at the warrant review that he did not foresee any needed repairs for lighting, parking, or the like, so why would we give money for repairs for which nobody is asking.

I'm sure everyone else will agree with, is the repairing of the synthetic turf. That is good for about a decade, as we've heard, and I

2.4

encourage town meeting to support replacing that turf. However, based on last week's meeting, the turf appears to be the only major project planned for here.

Now, if the school committee returns in the spring with a request just for the turf repair money, I'll be the first one to vote yes, but there is no plan for the rest of the money, and this article, I believe, is just a blank check. And again, I'm more than willing to support the article replacing the turf.

I was competing on behalf of the high school in that field relatively not that long ago, so I have a vested interest in maintaining it, and I have the utmost respect for the superintendent and school committee, and their intentions are noble. However, this article allows too much discretion for unneeded projects, which is, quite frankly, reckless. Therefore, I cannot support this article, and I urge members to vote it down. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Andy.

MR. WELLS: Matt Wells, assistant superintendent for business and finance. This

2 3

language was written to cover any contingencies that we might have seen when we do the field. We replace the turf and the track, but once we lift up the turf, if we see any damage, the language was written to allow us to be able to repair anything that we see underneath.

But the intent of this language, this article right here, is to replace just the turf and to replace the track, which we do hope will come in below the money we were asking for. So this was really a not to exceed \$2 million, and agin, our intent is just the turf and the track replacement.

We do want to fix some of the drainage that's occurring up by the snack shack where it comes down across the track. We're going to fix a little bit of the drainage there to stop organics from crossing the track and possibly damaging it any further.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Wells. Mr. Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Jim Sullivan, Precinct

2. I asked about that at the mini, about the

drainage issue. I was at a couple of soccer

2.4

games last week, and there was a dirt stain across the entire track in that corner. I think this was a cut-through basically that grass wore out, and now every time it rains, it just floods the track. So that's a big issue that's going to need to be taken care of.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Sullivan. Other people wishing to speak on

Article 10? Hearing none, the vote comes on the

original motion of the finance and warrant

committee which is printed on Page 17. All

those in favor, press green. All those opposed,

press red. Voting is open.

Five seconds. Voting is closed. 193 members having voted in the affirmative, and 40 in the negative, it is a two-thirds vote. Thank you. Article 11. Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5. I ask to pass this for one reason only. During the finance committee hearing, Leon had said that he was willing to work with the select board to set up guidelines on communication plans with elected and appointed boards. I just wanted to let you know that I will be following

Page 107 up on that in the next year. 1 Thank you. 2 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Maher. 3 Any further observations? The vote comes on the original motion that it be indefinitely 4 5 postponed. All those in favor, please press the 6 green button. Don't vote yet. Don't vote yet. 7 When wee go from two-thirds of the majority, it 8 takes a second. All those in favor, please say 9 aye. 10 (Aye.) 11 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 12 ayes have it. Thank you, sir. 1.3 Article 12, appropriations for 14 appointed boards, committees, or commissions. 15 MR. MAHER: That wasn't passed. THE MODERATOR: Yes, it was. Maybe 16 17 not by you, Mr. Maher, but it was passed. 18 crack crew up here keep track of it. 19 If there's no discussion, the 20 original motion is that it be indefinitely 21 postponed. All those in favor, please say aye. 22 (Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Thank you.

23

2.4

2 3

Article 13, appropriation for the cost of the Greenlodge and Riverdale Schools.

We have a substitute motion filed by Mr. Maher.

Mr. Maher, do you want to introduce your motion?

And I have a copy here, as well.

??: (Inaudible.)

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Hampe, Mr. Keith Hampe. Keep our Hampes straight.

MR. HAMPE: Thank you, Mr. Moderator.

Keith Hampe, Precinct 5, town meeting member.

I'm one of the 16 co-sponsors of this article.

We put together a substitute motion, which I

will now read for the moderator and the bodies.

"By Precinct 1 town meeting member
Sean Kelleher; precinct 2 town meeting
representatives Matt Brophy, Amy O'Brien;
Precinct 4 meeting representative Jason Maher;
Precinct 5 representatives Keith Hampe, James
Maher, Chris Decker, Shaina Decker, PJ McGowan,
Joshua Langmead and Bob LoPorto; Precinct 6 town
meeting representative, Juliette Burns; and
Precinct 7 town meeting representatives, Ryan
McDermott, Diana Rock, Stephen Gasbarro, and
Andrew Pepoli, to request the town vote to

appropriate the sums of money for the total cost 1 2 of the design, engineering, construction and 3 outfitting of the Greenlodge School for \$1 million and the Riverdale School for \$1.1 4 5 million, recreational fields, including, but not 6 limited to, the cost of removal of any 7 structures or features thereon, site preparation 8 and any other incidental and related expenses. 9 "It has funding, therefore, to authorize the treasurer, with the approval of 10 11 the select board, to borrow said \$2.1 million 12 pursuant to Mass. General Laws Chapter 44, 1.3 Section 7 or 8, or any other establishing 14 enabling authority and issue bonds and notes 15 therefore." 16 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Hampe, is there a 17 second? 18 (Second.) 19 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Hampe, why don't 20 you just in English tell people what it is you 21 want to do here. 22 MR. HAMPE: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. 23 THE MODERATOR: You were forced to

use this language, I understand.

2.4

2.4

MR. HAMPE: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. Keith Hampe of Precinct 5 again. As a cosponsor of this bill, I just wanted to bring everybody's attention -- we obviously understand that there is a situation going on with the schools and the school department deciding possibly we'll put a new school for the future for the elementaries.

What we have tried to bring forth with this article is the fact that the school fields, particularly Greenlodge and Riverdale, which we're focusing on tonight, are in dire need of redevelopment. The nexus or the birthplace of this article was basically that now parents, after having played on these fields some 40 years ago, and now I see my kids playing on the same fields that are basically a collection of dirt, rock, sand, lack of grass.

And although they're considered recreational school fields, there are many town sports associations that actually use them, including football and soccer, baseball. But they could be used for more associations: field hockey, lacrosse, whatever it may be to actually

1 utilize the fields if they were done in the 2 right way.

What I'd like to say, though, tonight is -- in no way is this a slight to the Park and Rec Department. In fact, I think Mr. Stanley and his crew does an excellent job with what they can. There is, as you know, probably a memo of understanding between the Park and Rec Commission and the school committee as to the care and maintenance of the school fields.

That does not get into the actual redevelopment or where the redevelopment starts. The memo of understanding states that if there is going to be redevelopment of the fields, a joint committee between the Park and Rec Commission and the school committee is to be created to oversee, advise, and instruct the engineer or the project manager or the architect on the project itself.

There is no language in there, other than to establish the funding for those projects, other than just the care and maintenance of the existing fields. So as a town meeting member, and with my 15 or 16 other

2.4

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

co-sponsors, we feel it's necessary to bring this attention to this body as the funding arm for any type of redevelopment that we'll do in the future for these school fields.

Right now, Riverdale and Greenlodge are in densely populated areas, in neighborhoods where kids not only use these during their school resource, but they also use them after school, on weekends, wherever it may be. I'm sure if any of you have taken a walk down any of these fields, you can see they're in complete disrepair and in need of complete redevelopment.

That's why the sum of money we're asking for is so high. We're not asking just to put irrigation at the fields, which, as we discussed with Mr. Stanley extensively, it would help, but we have got these numbers and these estimates from Activitas, who provided us with these full develop -- full estimations based on full redevelopment of the fields. experts in the field of the redevelopment of whatever they may be used for in the future.

Those estimates, though, also include

contingencies, contingencies for Covid, for everything.

money up to, not to exceed. We believe that we can do the project, including installing irrigation, pumps, seeding, whatever it may take to actually fully develop these fields. We're also very aware, obviously, of the school department's decision to not back this article because they have a school -- or a study being done as to the placement of the new elementary

So we're asking for those sums of

1.3

school.

But we also wanted to speak on this article because we want to bring it forward to this body and make sure that not only the body — or the co-sponsors that brought it forward, but also all our school committee members and members of the select board. And this body itself recognizes that there is a problem with these school fields, and there's been a problem with these school fields for a long, long time, and generations and generations of kids have had to play on these fields that are just sub par.

We have new families moving into

2.4

Dedham, and that's the first comment they say when they come down the fields in the morning, how come we're playing on this field, this is ridiculous. And I can only just shake my head and say we're trying, we're trying.

We're also not asking the school committee -- there are a couple of big things that came up from our opposition, and one is the loss of custody and care and control of the fields. We're not asking for that at all. In fact, as I just explained with the memo of understanding, the school committee will be directly involved with the Park and Rec Department in any redevelopment of any fields. So I obviously understand the situation, but I ask you for your support in this article going forward. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Hampe.

Are there people wishing to speak on this

article? Mr. Maher?

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5.

As a former member of the Parks and Rec

Commission, this issue has been kicked down the road over and over again. The number

2.4

one complaint that we receive from parents from Dedham Youth Soccer, Dedham Youth Baseball is that the school fields are unacceptable for T-ball and soccer, and in some cases, they were dangerous.

If you've been down to Greenlodge at all, you'll see that there's two big holes in the middle of the running field. Anyone could break a leg there. There hasn't been -- and I will say this is under -- while I was Parks and Rec commissioner, our hands were tied.

What we're trying to do is give the Parks and Rec Department and the school department the tools to fix this field, and that's the money they get to make all of the decisions. If you have a child that's played a baseball game or a softball down there or kick ball, you know what it's like.

Riverdale is a school field, but it's also a playground for the housing authority right next door. So please remember this is a real safety issue that has just been pushed down the road, pushed down the road. Now we want to address it for those

1 communities. Thank you. 2 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Maher. 3 Mr. MacDonald, chair of the select board. 4 MS. DOLAN: Ann Dolan, Precinct 7. 5 THE MODERATOR: No, ma'am. Mr. 6 MacDonald has got the microphone. 7 MS. DOLAN: Oh, I'm sorry. 8 MR. MacDONALD: That's okay. 9 MS. DOLAN: I didn't see you there. MR. MacDONALD: I'll wait. Whatever 10 11 the moderator tells me to do, I'll do. 12 MS. DOLAN: I'll be extremely brief. So the location of the Oakdale project has yet 1.3 14 to be decided, we know, but looking over the 15 handout, that doesn't happen until 2025. 16 Meanwhile, this is 2022, so three more years of the kids playing on these terrible fields just 17 doesn't make sense. 18 19 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, ma'am. 20 Mr. MacDonald. 21 MR. MacDONALD: Thank you. 22 school building rehab committee right now is in 23 the process of developing a feasibility study 2.4 for a new Oakdale School. Where is it going to

be, how is it going to happen, and where, and
what will the final location and project look
like.

Why I agree the fields are a mess down there in all places in Riverdale -- my son 20 years ago was cutting his knee playing soccer, and I'm sure the kids are still cutting their knees today playing in those fields, but I'd just like somebody from the -- if there's anybody from the SBRC here, maybe the Chair, that could just sort of talk about their process and a what if.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

MacDonald. Do we have somebody from the school building rehabilitation committee, or the school committee, for that matter? Mr. Hebert, member of the school committee.

MR. HEBERT: Victor Hebert, school committee vice-chair and member of the SBRC. As Mr. MacDonald just said, we are definitely in the process but at the very beginnings of the process of trying to figure out where and how we're going to build a new school that we all agree we need and what that's going to look like

2.4

with all the schools.

Obviously, we all want the fields to be great. I have kids who play sports also. This may not be the right time just because we can't lock into something that's a multimillion-dollar project on fields that could be dug up, replaced, who knows. We've just decided on a designer. It's not finalized yet.

It will be another 18 months of studies and a feasibility to see what it's going to look like. I think the conversation is great. I'm glad that it's been started, and once we've decided on what we're going to build, then we should bring it back up and address all the other fields. But for now, it probably should be tabled. Thank you.

MR. MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Hebert. Ms. O'Brien.

MS. O'BRIEN: Amy O'Brien, Precinct

2. I'm a mother of four. I've got Joey, who's
a junior at Dedham High, and Danny, who's a
freshman at Dedham High, and then I've got Luke,
who's a fifth grader, and Molly, who's a third
grader.

2 3

I am on the fields around town. I see you guys everywhere about seven days a week. And I think the one thing I was going to say, first of all, is that we're seeing school fields, and I just -- I would beg if you guys -- Mr. Borsellino, you said something earlier. You said this community -- you talked about the community coming together, and you were saying like we need to all --

MS. O'BRIEN: -- we need to all work together. Sorry, I'm so excited. I'm so passionate about this. Sorry.

THE MODERATOR: Ms. O'Brien --

THE MODERATOR: So am I, so am I.

MS. O'BRIEN: So what I was saying is like I actually -- I need your help. So when I -- and I'm sorry, I'll make it short. So I'm on the field, and we're saying school fields, right, but here's Riverdale as an example, right? Riverdale is yes, indeed, a school field, but, for instance, we have -- every single August we have the national night out event there. We have multiple back to school picnics, but also community picnics.

Riverdale School -- I'm sure most of you have been there, but just in case, I don't want to assume -- if you -- the field abuts -- it's in a neighborhood, which is unbelievable, but it also abuts Parker Staples, and my kids, right -- like summer saves me because my kids go to Park and Rec free.

By the way, Dedham is one of those towns that still offers this. It's a lifesaver, thank you so much, and -- so my kids are like 365 days a year at Riverdale, as with all their neighborhood friends. But also, when I'm at Russcraft, it's in horrible shape. All these fields are in horrible shape.

But we're focusing on this three- to five-year plan, and I'm just -- and I'm not being sarcastic, but I'm saying like when I asked my husband when we were going to get engaged, he was like oh, yeah, next year, and then like six years later, I was still waiting for the ring. You know what I mean?

So I'm just saying -- so we're talking about getting the conversation started, right? Well, like just don't let it end up on

1.3

how long it took me to get my engagement ring. You know what I mean? So please -- and I hope I made you laugh, but also, I'm begging you guys, please -- we need you. If you guys do anything, let's work together and get these fields done, right, for the kids. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Amy. All right, Mr. Decker, top that.

MR. DECKER: Chris Decker, Precinct

5. Thanks for stealing my thunder, Amy. The
point I wanted to bring up is so the business
that I'm in is constructing new schools,
constructing athletic fields for municipalities
and cities, etcetera, so I understand the
process of both process and politics getting in
the way of things.

We just voted as a body to fund an elevator project for a privatized group. Let's vote as a body to fund some kid's stuff, right, because I think right now, that's one of the things we've got to focus on, is the kids, as well.

Four or five years ago, I remember being at the Oakdale public forum on starting

2.4

the process, and I think it was three or four years ago, right before Covid, and they talked about hey, in two years, we're going to have a feasibility study and 30 percent design. Well, Covid happened. Well, that's because things happen.

If you're telling us right now that you have a plan for this current process, well, things happen, right? We could be looking back two years from now and saying oh, yeah, well, this happened, and we weren't able to start that process, but we just completely wasted an opportunity to do something for the kids. So thank you for listening.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Decker. Mr. Driscoll.

MR. DRISCOLL: Jim Driscoll, District

4. I was on the fire department for 37 years,
and I was chief for almost eight, and I can tell
you when a builder or some type of a consultant
gives you an estimate, maybe a year, two years,
three years, the best thing to do is take the
estimate and multiply it by three, and you're
going to be pretty close to what the real time

that it is. If you don't believe me, look at the town hall, and see how long that took.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

Driscoll. Mr. Straghalis.

1.3

2.4

MR. STRAGHALIS: Erick Straghalis,

Precinct 1. So actually, a couple of questions.

I had a good conversation with Jim Pepoli about this earlier, and I was not in favor of it because it didn't have a price tag on it. It didn't really have any clarity on what it was asking for. So I'm intrigued now that it has a price tag on it. I'm not entirely sure where the price tag came from.

So the first question is if we know that there's going to be a lot of construction happening at some point in the near future, whenever that is, three years, four years, and we pay \$1 million-plus to put in a new field --we have to put in irrigation systems and all kinds of things, because we know that the irrigation is a problem, we don't have it. You don't grow grass. You can see that with Russcraft and some of the other fields where there isn't great irrigation -- what happens

when we do that construction?

Does that field now get destroyed because you've got construction vehicles going on there? You've got to dig things up. You don't know where you're digging because you've got to put in new lines for sewer or whatever because you're putting in a brand new building or updating the building. So what happens with that investment of \$1 million-plus if we have no idea what that construction is going to look like? Listen, I've got three kids. I'm all for putting in better fields. We need better fields.

And if you look at Russcraft, the school has nothing to do with Russcraft. Why is Russcraft in such terrible shape, and it's been that way? I will say Condon looks much better, and I really appreciate what Parks and Rec has done there. I am just -- I feel like we can't just say Parks and Rec doesn't take any responsibility for the fields at the schools when you look at Russcraft, and Russcraft looks just as bad. My kid has done plenty of practices at Greenlodge, and I hate that, too.

So again, my question, just to be clear, is understanding what happens with that investment of \$1 million-plus? If we have to go and do construction there, does that get totally wasted?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Erick.
Mr. Maher.

MR. MAHER: Jim Maher, Precinct 5.

So we went to one of the top field designers in America, America in the Town of Dedham,

Activitas. They design the best fields in America and the world.

They are a consultant to USA soccer.

They are -- they do all of Harvard's fields

over. They are consultants to the Patriots. So

this is not numbers that were made up, and

Activitas is very conservative with their

numbers.

Now, yeah, one thing that caught us on a surprise, and the quote was, "He's built in contingencies for construction, and then he built in contingencies for Covid," because what we have found in every project that's happened since Covid is there's a premium number over on

1.3

top of that now, and those numbers are included within those \$1 million and \$1.1 million quotes from -- and by the way, he's the most -- he lives in Dedham, and he did this because he knows that we need to have these fields done. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Maher.
Ms. Gates.

MS. GATES: Rebecca Gates, Precinct
7. I want to say this as a mom that had three
kids go through the Greenlodge School that it is
needed, and I think I live my life by lessons
learned. Lessons learned is I've heard at least
twice this evening that we postpone something,
and the price increased astronomically.

And I'm going to leave this with one thing. I'm also a mom whose son had their knee torn up on a field in Dedham here playing sports, a grass field that -- it was a small hole, but he hit that hole the wrong way, and life changes. So I would hate for any other parent or child to go through that situation.

I think that the fields need to be taken care of. Whether or not -- whatever

2.4

happens with the Oakdale School project, that is years in the future. We have kids that are in elementary school for five years, so half those kids will be gone by the time the fields get replaced if we wait then, and then figure out what's going on after that. So I am fully support of this as a Greenlodge mom.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Gates.
Mr. Hampe.

MR. HAMPE: Keith Hampe, Precinct 5.

I just wanted to answer Erick's question, if I may. Per the memo of understanding between the Park and Rec Commission and the school committee, the future development of the fields for recreational fields will be overseen by a joint committee of members from both the commissions and the schools.

The Park and Rec's director and the school administration shall serve as advisors to this joint committee. The purpose of this joint committee shall be to provide the input and guidance to the architects and/or engineers as to the development of the fields. So I believe that answers Erick's question as to what happens

if we do approve the money. The care, custody, and control will still be with the school department. Park and Rec will join a joint committee and manage the projects going forward.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Hampe.

MR. HAMPE: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. McGowan.

MR. McGOWAN: How are you doing? PJ McGowan, Precinct 7. I've lived in Dedham my whole life, and not much has been done since I was a kid. As a couple of other people have said, I feel like we kind of just keep kicking the can down the road, just kind of like we did with all the schools, and now we're paying the price for that.

The age group that kind of plays on these fields are the ages four to eight. That's the age group. They don't have anything else. They can't play at Russcraft because those fields are taken. So it's an important age group. It's when they're starting all their sports, and it would be great to have nice fields for them.

I've coached as an adult since about

2014, and the fields were kind of the same as I
remember as a kid, but in the last eight years,
I feel like they've gotten a little worse. And
I remember at Riverdale in 2014, we were playing
baseball and flag football down there.

At Greenlodge, we had two fields, and we were able to have practice and games at both fields. And now, 2022, we cannot do anything at Greenlodge to start the season. Both sides of the field, they were just in horrible shape. They were — there was a lot of wetness, overgrown grass. There was puddles everywhere, slopes, bumps, just everything, and the temporary fix for that was to kind of throw done some stone dust.

I don't know, it was -- it did the trick just for the season because it kind of evened out the playing field, it pushed down the weeds and grass, it absorbed the puddles, but I don't think stone dust is the answer or the best long-term. You don't want the kids sliding on that. You don't want them breathing it in. So I know we're trying to figure out a new situation with the schools and stuff, but I feel

2.4

2.4

like this has been going on for 40 years. You keep saying three to five years, but I think now is the right time.

My youngest son just finished the farm league and playing down there. So I'm up here now, not selfishly for myself or for him, but for the next generation of kids to come, because I wish they had it a couple of years ago. So I hope you guys go with this question. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr.

McGowan. Lisa Moran, Park and Recreation.

MS. MORAN: Hi, there. Thank you,
Mr. Moderator. I'm not here to speak on behalf
of it -- for it or against it. I'm in the back,
so I couldn't just stand there as a Park and Rec
commissioner and not say anything.

So you have to remember, too, that

Park and Rec doesn't own -- we're not fully

responsible for school fields, so we may not put

all the effort as we do into, say, a Condon or a

Russcraft or a Paul Park or something like that

because we're very limited at what we can do at

those fields -- at the school fields with state

1 guidelines.

So I just want to make sure everybody is clear on that, and it's not necessarily Park and Rec's fault that they're not in good shape. As for Russcraft, yeah, we know it's in rough shape. We do have to remember, though, that we did have a drought. Nobody was able to water things this summer. So everyone really needs to take that into consideration.

And you have to remember, not to pick on any sports, but if you've ever been to any of our permit meetings, we don't have enough fields to give people time. So there is no chance of us resting any fields. So Russcraft is -- the words I want to use I can't say because it's being televised, but it's really a mess.

I was down there the other night to make sure lights were on, and it's dirt.

There's no grass. But if we have no other place for people to play -- unfortunately, when we came here before Covid to have Striar done and get other fields, it was voted down, and I understand that, and the timing then was probably the best thing for that to happen, but

2.4

2.4

we can't replace fields and fix fields if we can't rest fields. And if we have all these kids in town, and everybody is coming to town, and we want them, so it's a vicious cycle.

So everybody needs to know that.

Everyone is quick to say Park and Rec, your fields are a mess, and you're not doing anything, but how about they're working their butts off to get them done because everybody is after us all the time.

So I'm not here for or against because, again, it's for school fields, and we don't have that full responsibility of the fields, but you have to know they're working their butts off, and I applaud the Park and Rec guys. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Ms. Moran.
Ms. Pendleton.

MS. WISEMAN: Liz Wiseman, Precinct

6. Call me a product of a depression era

grandmother, but the fields to me look like the

fields I played on as a kid, and I think they're

in the best shape after a pretty significant

drought, to Lisa's point, and so I applaud

1.3

2.4

everybody for their maintenance, but to me, they look like the fields I played on as a child.

But that's probably my depression era grandmother speaking.

The questions I have for those who are proposing Article 13 are -- we've all talked about it. It's three to five years, run a feasibility study, and the building of a new school if we choose to do that as a town or investing in various structures. Why was Oakdale excluded from this article?

If the fields at all schools are so in disrepair as those are claiming, why was one of the other major elementary schools removed from this and excluded? And I do find it very interesting that we're debating a \$2.1 million investment in sports fields, but at \$90,000 for arts and an elevator. We had a significant challenge on approving that. So I do want us to all note that investment of sports versus arts.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. The Chair recognizes Mayanne MacDonald-Briggs of the school committee.

MS. MacDONALD-BRIGGS: Mayanne

2.4

MacDonald-Briggs, Dedham School Committee. I just wanted to clarify a couple of questions. I cannot answer your question because someone else is going to have to answer that from the proponents.

So a couple of things about the MSBA process. Right now, we do not know where this is going to land, but one thing that we have discussed is in order to keep schools in session and keep the education of students as uninterrupted as possible, that mostly likely that the new school or schools or whatever we end up with will be built on the current fields.

So like at Riverdale, the school right now is currently very close to Needham Street, but it will be built on the back field if that's where we choose to build as a town. Same at Greenlodge. We looked at those plans in the past, and so if we want Greenlodge to be the site of the next school that we put it on where the current fields are, and then new fields would be build out as part of that whole project.

So I want that to be heard and

2.4

Czazasty.

understood. It's not that we're trying to kick it down the can. The school committee has never heard this presentation until this evening, so we had no input, we had no say, we had no advice to give about maybe what could have happened.

So I want everyone to know that, that we are all in favor of improving fields. We have put in backstops at two of the fields in question over the last few years, and it's always a capital request. So we have to weigh out what capital requests we have and what the town is willing to pay.

So this year, it's about the high school football field and track because that is in such disrepair that we had students come before us. So that is our number one goal this year, and as we -- and the feasibility study is slated to be completed by July of this coming year of 2023, not the feasibility for three years. So hopefully we will have an answer for you folks by fall at the town meeting next year. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mr.

1.3

MR

MR. CZAZASTY: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Richard Czazasty, Precinct 7. So clearly, I wasn't able to convince people to vote against 10, but I hope I can convince people to vote for Article 13. So even if we get a new mega elementary school, we're a long way until students actually attend there.

There are hundreds of students that will go through Greenlodge and Riverdale until then, and as the select board chair said, I'm sure there are students getting cuts and bruises on this field right now. So if we believe that's the case, it doesn't seem right to me that we would allow this to continue just because we might build a bigger school later on. There are kids dealing with these bad quality fields now, and I urge you to support the substitute motion.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Mr Hampe.

MR. HAMPE: Keith Hampe, Precinct 5.

One thing I did forget in my earlier

presentation I just want to clarify for the

body's information. I've been appearing at the

2.4

Park and Rec Commission for the last at least two years, pre-Covid and during Covid, regarding this redevelopment of school fields. I've been in direct communication with Superintendent Welch via email and town manager Mr. Goodwin via email regarding this exact redevelopment of fields.

I've talked informally with members of the school committee as I'm standing on the sidelines down at Greenlodge and/or Oakdale. So I just don't want it to seem like we pulled a surprise on the school committee. That is far from it. Park and Rec especially knew about it, and if anybody is trying to say that this is a surprise attack, we think that's disingenuous. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Hampe. Mary Gilbert.

MS. GILBERT: Mary Gilbert, Precinct
4. Move the question.

THE MODERATOR: Let's try a voice vote. Move the question means stop debate. All those who are in favor of stopping debate and moving to a vote, please say aye.

(Aye.)

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. It is a two-thirds vote.

So what you're voting on is the substitute motion that's been provided to you, and it has been presented to you by Mr. Hampe.

I do want to direct your attention to Page 18 and 19 in the warrant book. There is some language there that would also be included, language requests the town for the total cost of design, engineering, construction. So that technical language is consistent with the language that Mr. Hampe has provided, and you could probably best think of this as the two of them going together.

But what you're voting on is spending \$2.1 million on those two schools, and the legal language here is required, but I think you're probably ready to vote based on the merits and not the legal language. So are there any questions about the language? I don't want to preclude anybody. So you know what you're voting for.

All those in favor -- this requires a

two-thirds vote. All those in favor, please 1 2 press the green button. No is the red button. 3 Five seconds. Voting is closed. total votes. 139 having voted in the 4 5 affirmative, and 89 in the negative, it is not a 6 two-thirds vote. 7 Article 14 -- oh, I'm sorry. 8 that was the substitute motion, so the vote 9 comes on the original motion that it be 10 indefinitely postponed. All those in favor, say 11 aye. 12 (Aye.) 1.3 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 14 (No.) 15 THE MODERATOR: The ayes have it. 16 Thank you. 17 Article 14, solar energy, ECEC. 18 was passed. Are there questions on the articles 19 recommended to be indefinitely postponed? 20 Seeing no questions, the vote comes on the 21 original motion -- oops, Mr. Flynn. 22 MR. FLYNN: Micah Flynn, Precinct 6.

I recognize that it's already fairly late, so

I'll be brief. I asked about this at mini town

23

2.4

meeting, and it was explained that the proponents had asked for this to be indefinitely postponed to have some more time to put together a broader plan about how to put solar panels on the schools or make it a more comprehensive plan.

So I have no quarrel with that, I suppose. I guess I would just encourage this body and us, generally, to think about how we can do a better job of putting solar panels on our schools and other buildings, and I want us to just make sure that we're thinking about this in the long-term and that it doesn't, similar to our fields, get kicked the can down the road. So that's it. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Flynn. Any other questions on this article? If not, the vote comes on the original motion that it be indefinitely postponed. Let's try for a voice. All those in favor, say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. The ayes have it.

Article 15, solar energy at Saint

Susanna's. This was passed. Ms. Schortmann? 1 2 MS. SCHORTMANN: My name is Kathleen 3 Schortmann from Precinct 4. I have questions 4 about the language in this article. It states 5 -- I'm going to read it. The organization known 6 as Saint Susanna's Church and also mentions that 7 they have owned or controlled. Well, I think 8 anyone in Massachusetts knows that churches are 9 not owned by the church. This property is owned by the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Boston. 10 11 They have control of the property, the church, 12 and the rectory. 1.3 So I have a few questions, the first 14 being who negotiated this lease with the town, 15 and how was this vetted? 16 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin, town 17 manager. 18 MR. GOODWIN: My name is Leon 19 Goodwin. I'm the town manager. I've missed you 20 all. I haven't had much of an opportunity to 21 speak tonight. 22 THE MODERATOR: I can call on you 23 more often, Leon, if that's what you really 2.4 want.

2.4

MR. GOODWIN: So Ms. Schortmann, you ask a very good question. On behalf of the town, I've been working on negotiating this lease with a company called -- I'm spacing on the name of the company. Well, Saint Susanna has approached the town for this lease, and the company is out of Natick, and if you give me -- Ameresco. I apologize for that.

It's a 20-year lease. We won't be entering into it directly with St. Susanna's. It would actually be a power purchase agreement to purchase just the energy. The town will have no ownership of the solar panels. We'll have no ownership of any of the infrastructure. It is an opportunity to purchase green, clean energy locally through a state program that provides credits to Saint Susanna's and allows the town to purchase the energy for one cent below the market rate, which over the 20-year period would save the town about \$120,000.

MS. SCHORTMANN: So you're saying that you can enter into a lease with the company and not the owner of the property?

MR. GOODWIN: A power purchase

agreement, not a lease.

2

MS. SCHORTMANN:

3

THE MODERATOR: Ms. Schortmann,

4

direct your questions through the Chair, please.

5

We try to discourage a back and forth.

6

So my other question MS. SCHORTMANN:

7

is, just for information, I serve on financial

8

committees in the Archdiocese of Boston.

9

in a building that is leased by the Archdiocese

10

The Archdiocese of Boston today told of Boston.

11

me they have no information about this project.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

They told me that Saint Susanna's has no legal authority to enter into this agreement, that the pastor and the parish are unable to make these decisions because they do not have legal authority. So I guess I'm wondering how this was vetted with the property that the member that is written in the book is not even an owner. They are essentially a tenant. so this would be like entering into an agreement with somebody who rented a house who said, oh, I'm going to do this. Will you buy from me. I don't see how this was fully vetted.

> MR. GOODWIN: I think that's a great

2.4

question. I see the select board chair, Mr.

MacDonald, is approaching, but I will say that
the draft agreement that has been reviewed by
town counsel only obligates the town to purchase
electricity, if it is ever produced. So if
Saint Susanna's has not followed the proper
procedure, which I'm not sure if they have or
have not, we would not be obligated to purchase
energy that is not produced. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. MacDonald.

MR. MacDONALD: Jim MacDonald, chair of the board of selectmen -- select board. Here I go. Old habit sometimes. So I am also a parishioner at Saint Susanna's. I have had conversations with the pastor, Father Stephen Josoma, on this process. Father Josoma is well aware that it's the real estate arm of the Archdiocese of Boston that has to do all approval, and nothing would be done on the Saint Susanna's property without the approval of the Archdiocese of Boston.

So the process that's before you tonight is for an agreement. If this was to happen between the Town of Dedham and the

vendor, they do have to -- the church, Saint Susanna's, does have to go through all the process in order to make it happen. So well aware, and that's what it is.

 $\label{eq:ms.schortMann:} \textbf{MS. SCHORTMANN:} \quad \textbf{Through the Chair,}$  may I ask a follow-up?

THE MODERATOR: Yes.

MS. SCHORTMANN: So why are we proposing that the town enter into this agreement when Saint Susanna's knows they haven't gone through the proper procedures? We don't know if it will ever happen. We have spent the evening talking about leases that are not being followed as they should. This seems to me to be very premature.

It seems as I had asked before how was it vetted. It seems like it should be something that definitely should be postponed until we know that there is legal authority to make this happen, and I guess I would ask that to town counsel.

MR. GOODWIN: I'm happy to have town counsel come up, but again, Leon Goodwin, town manager. So what we are asking town meeting to

2.4

do is authorize the select board to enter into a contract for longer than three years. The select board routinely vets and enters into contracts for a variety of topics for the town, but we have a town bylaw that restricts that to up to three years, so we are here for town meeting's approval to proactively authorize the select board to enter into an agreement longer than three years, which would be a 20-year agreement. But, of course, the select board will still have to go through a number of steps vetting this, as would legal counsel, as would I.

1.3

2.4

MS. SCHORTMANN: May I have one more
question?

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

MS. SCHORTMANN: So I would urge people not to support this, whether you're for solar energy or not. It is not about that. It is about the town creating leases that we can actually follow through with that have been legally vetted with the proper parties. So I guess my question would be if this body chooses to support this article, and as I have said, the

Archdiocese has not given the support, how can that be legal?

THE MODERATOR: Town counsel?

MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Mr.

Moderator. Lauren Goldberg, KP Law. What's being requested under this article is simply authorization to enter into a contract for more than three years. In order to enter into any kind of contract longer than three years, town meeting has to approve that. Town meeting isn't voting on the contents of that agreement or on the particular business terms. That's the executive function of the select board and the town manager.

So what's being asked of you tonight is if the select board were to decide that this is a situation that it would like to move forward with, does it have the ability to sign a contract for that term. This is not about a lease of town property, which is what we were talking about before. It's not about a lease by the town at all.

It's an agreement to buy power from an electric company if they are able to

2.4

negotiate something successfully with Saint
Susanna's or the Archdiocese, in general. So
again, they're just asking for permission if the
situation is beneficial for the town to be able
to enter into that contract for more than three
years, and it's highly likely that it's easier
to have that discussion when they had that
authority in hand, rather than having to wait
until the next town meeting for that purpose.

1.3

2.4

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

MS. SCHORTMANN: Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Ms. Mercer.

MS. MERCER: Hi. Thank you. Ann

Mercer, Precinct 4. So I just -- I don't know

if I have a direct question or to whom I should

address this question. I just sort of want to

know if my understanding of the situation is in

the ballpark.

Saint Susanna's has solar panels.

They're making an excess amount of energy than they need for their purposes. They're willing to sell it to us through a collaborative or through their channels to the town for lower than market value. If they make extra, yay. If

they don't, no harm, no foul? 1 2 THE MODERATOR: Mr. Goodwin. 3 MR. GOODWIN: That's a fantastic 4 synopsis, yes. 5 MS. MERCER: Thank you. My work here 6 is done. 7 THE MODERATOR: The floor is yours, 8 Mr. Goodwin, if you care to comment further. 9 MR. GOODWIN: Just to add onto that a 10 small amount, the idea being, again, it sounds 11 like Saint Susanna's needs to work something out 12 with the Diocese in Boston, but should they move 1.3 forward, they will generate power. 14 There are no solar panels on the 15 building currently, but the proposal would be to 16 put up canopies and panels on the roof of the 17 structure. They would generate in excess of 18 600,000 kilowatt hours per year. The town 19 purchases something around five million kilowatt 20 hours per year, so this would be just a small 21 portion of what the town needs to do. I will say that in the next few 22

years, the town does need to come up with a plan to purchase more solar energy because there's a

23

2.4

2.4

state initiative to do so, and the town needs to start moving forward with that plan.

So 600,000 kilowatt hours is a great start to that if we can work out a deal, and again, that will be vetted through the town manager's office through the select board to make sure we're getting a good deal for the town, and, of course, town counsel would have to sign off on that, as well. And it would save the town \$120,000 over 20 years, which again, is a nice benefit to the town and the taxpayers.

THE MODERATOR: Mrs. Butler.

MRS. BUTLER: Yes, hi. In addition to the questions that Kathy had and Ann, I had a comment, in general really, about solar power, which is not technically green.

I would ask everyone here to do their research on solar power, as well as solar panels, which the vast majority of them are manufactured in mines in China by forced labor for minorities, as well as children. China right now manufactures over 80 percent of the panels that are used in the United States.

If Dedham is going the way of solar

2.4

power, I would ask you to do your research what company you are hiring, where these panels are made, because most likely they'll be made in China, where is the materials being mined and manufactured, because oftentimes even if the panels are made in the United States, the materials that are used to build them are from China, and once again, they're mined by child labor, as well as minorities, including Muslims and Catholics. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mrs. Butler.

MRS. BUTLER: So buy American.

THE MODERATOR: There we go. Anybody else on solar panels at Saint Susanna's? There being none, the vote comes on the original motion that it be so voted. Green for yes, red for no. The voting is open. Thank you.

Five seconds. Voting is closed. 170 having voted in the affirmative, and 44 in the negative, the original motion that it be so voted does pass.

We move to Article 17. The original motion, as printed on Page 21, is that it be so

voted. Are there any questions about this, comments? Mr. LoPorto.

3

4

5

My question/comment is regarding the bus contract. I was just wondering -- Leon, this might be for you -- in the negotiations for the

MR. LOPORTO: Bob LoPorto, Precinct

6 7

bus contract to allow preschool student pickups at our elementary schools, just like our current 8

9

kindergartners, and also to negotiate half day late starts due to inclement weather or reverse

10 11

half days. We're not able to do that right now,

12

and this would be great if you could put that in

1.3

else.

the negotiations. Sorry, Leon, not you, someone

14

15

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Wells.

16

MR. WELLS: Matt Wells, assistant

17

superintendent for business and finance. don't bus preschoolers at this point in time.

18 19

The bust contract is just for our k through 12

20

busing. That's what this contract was set up

21

for. It's something we can discuss, but usually

22

preschool is -- it's hard to transport preschool

23

because the times are not set like a standard school day. You would have two, three, five

2.4

2.4

days, half days, a.m., p.m.'s. It's hard to do busing that way, and it would require purchasing more buses at a higher contract cost.

Your second question was specifically to the snow days. The contract doesn't keep us from doing delayed days. We do have that ability, but there are other reasons within Dedham that we don't delay days. It's often more efficient to just close a day and make it up at the end of the year, and that's something — that's a decision — I don't really know the process within Dedham because I'm still pretty new here, but that is something that — every snowstorm is sort of considered as a delay something that would have value or not, and generally, I think the decision is that we could close the school based upon Dedham as (inaudible).

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Wells. Any more questions on this article? The vote comes on the original motion that it be so voted. Let's try for a voice. All in favor, say aye.

(Aye.)

THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 1 The 2 ayes have it. 3 Article 18 requires a two-thirds 4 vote, the acceptance of Wiggin Avenue. Any 5 questions? Let's try for a voice. All in 6 favor, say aye. 7 (Aye.) 8 THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 9 two-thirds vote. Please drop off your little voting 10 things. Don't take them home. It's been moved 11 12 by Monica Linares and seconded by Anna Kijas that the 2022 fall annual town meeting be 13 14 dissolved. All in favor, please say aye. 15 (Aye.) THE MODERATOR: Opposed, no. 16 17 ayes have it. Goodnight, and God bless you. 18 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned 19 at 10:05 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 2.4

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS NORFOLK, ss.

I, ARLENE R. BOYER, a Certified Court
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
certify:

That the proceedings herein was recorded by me and transcribed by me; and that such transcript is a true record of the proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and notarial seal this 6th day of December 2022.

Arlene R. Boyer, CVR Notary Public

My Commission Expires November 21, 2025